



MACHINE TRANSCRIPT • CORAGGIO CONSULTING

Chesterfield County Board of Supervisors Meeting - March 25, 2026, Wednesday, March 25, 2026

Date Wednesday, March 25, 2026
Place Chesterfield County Board of Supervisors, Virginia
Length 1h 29m (video)

- Official meeting video (CivicClerk): <https://chesterfieldcova.portal.civicclerk.com/event/2023/media>
- Official agenda (CivicClerk): [https://chesterfieldcova.api.civicclerk.com/v1/Meetings/GetMeetingFileStream\(fileId=3948,plainText=false\)](https://chesterfieldcova.api.civicclerk.com/v1/Meetings/GetMeetingFileStream(fileId=3948,plainText=false))
- Official minutes (CivicClerk): [https://chesterfieldcova.api.civicclerk.com/v1/Meetings/GetMeetingFileStream\(fileId=3981,plainText=false\)](https://chesterfieldcova.api.civicclerk.com/v1/Meetings/GetMeetingFileStream(fileId=3981,plainText=false))

Machine transcript (speech-to-text) produced by Coraggio Consulting. Not an official county record. Names and numbers may be misspelled; check the video at the timestamp before quoting.

Speech-to-text: whisper.cpp, model large-v3-turbo. Produced 2026-10-24. Each paragraph starts with its time [HH:MM:SS] in the meeting video.

[00:04:05] Good evening and welcome to the March 25th Board of Supervisors meeting. I verified that all board members have received copies of the comments posted to the online comment portal for consideration on the matters before us tonight.

[00:04:22] These comments are part of a public record and will be attached to the meeting minutes. In addition, for those citizens that are here for the zoning portion of the meeting, we have one update. The District Supervisor for Case 23SN0124, Taylor Ridge Rezoning and Exceptions, has requested a deferral on the case to the Board's April 22nd public hearings.

[00:04:49] At this time, I'm going to invite Ms. Schneider to give the invocation. Thank you. Creator, may we approach our work today with humility, wisdom, and a shared sense of purpose.

[00:05:06] Guide our thoughts and decisions so they reflect fairness, compassion, and integrity. In moments of challenge, may we find clarity. In moments of fatigue, renewed energy. And may our efforts serve the greater good and bring positive impact to all those we represent.

[00:05:27] Also, please keep the families and co-workers of Marvin Connor and Richard Dunn, both county workers that have passed away, Please keep them close to your hearts and in your prayers as their families and friends find a new normal in the physical world without their presence.

[00:05:52] Amen. We would like to call forward Deputy County Administrator Matt Harris to lead the Pledge of Allegiance. Pledge of Allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation, under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

[00:06:21] Item three, approval of minutes for the March 11th and March 18th Board of Supervisors meetings. Thank you, Madam Clerk. Is there a motion to approve the meeting minutes for March 11th and March 25th? So moved. Do you hear a second?

[00:06:38] That's the 18th. March 18th, thank you. So moved. All in favor? Aye. Aye. Madam Clerk. Item four, request to postpone agenda items and additions, deletions, or changes in the order of presentation.

[00:06:54] Staff has no requests. Item 5, reports. Are there any questions regarding the reports? Seeing no questions, the reports have been approved. Item 6, county administration update, Dr. Casey.

[00:07:11] Thank you, Chair and members of the board and the public that's here and the public that is watching. As part of the county administration update and just for the public's purposes, tonight's purpose of this meeting is primarily the budget public hearings that are later on the agenda. So some of these administrative matters that people are witnessing at night are usually done in our 2 o'clock afternoon session on full-day board meetings.

[00:07:34] With that said, if I could have Natalie Spillman come to the podium, our intergovernmental relations leader, and usually we bring closure to what the state General Assembly has done and what might be on the governor's desk or already signed, but alas, part of tonight's presentation is they're not done yet, even though we're on our path to trying to be done and estimate as best we can.

[00:07:57] Following her will be Clay Bowles, who will do the consent agenda highlights. And that would be the end of our admin updates to you. Good evening, Mr. Chair, members of the board, Dr. Casey, Mr. Minx. Dr. Casey, I think you stole my thunder. So General Assembly session for 2026 adjourned on March 14th, about two weeks ago.

[00:08:19] We made a presentation to you all, and not much has transpired since then. With a little over 1,100 bills that crossed out of the General Assembly, They are now headed to the governor's desk for signature or not to be signed and potentially come law. I want to just bring to your attention collective bargaining.

[00:08:34] That's been a hot topic this session, and so the two bills came out of conference, went to a second committee of conference, and was the last bill that the Senate took action on before they adjourned. The binding arbitration language did come out of that bill. However, a number of other provisions that are of significance are still in the bill.

[00:08:52] We are working with the governor's office along with our peers across the state and the statewide organizations continuing to communicate our concerns and challenges with the bill as it is presented. The budget did not move out of this session, and so reconvene session is on April 22nd. Immediately following that on April 23rd is the date that was set for special session. They will take up the budget. It's not clear to us yet whether they will take action that day or move into a longer duration

through negotiations, so we will keep you apprised once we know more. This long session really lived up to its namesake. I just want to take a moment to sincerely thank all of our incredible Team Chesterfield folks from the attorney's office

[00:09:31] to the planners to our transportation folks and everybody else in between helping to answer calls and messages at all hours, responding to us to be able to take the moment and pass that information along. And also big special thanks to Casey Walker for all of her work and continued advocacy during this session as we wrap up and finally due to the timing on the veto session and the special session we'll be back before you in May with hopefully a final update on where things have landed along with hopefully a final update on the budget and then following that Mr. Minx and his team will be with you in June to discuss any items that are going to take action before the July 1

[00:10:08] effective date so with that happy to take any questions. Any questions? Mr. Ingle. Could you help this board, if it was our decision to move forward, to pin a letter to the governor with the pieces of the legislation that are still intact, that are problematic, so that we could send those, our concerns, to the governor? Yes, absolutely.

[00:10:36] Thank you. Other board members? Thank you, Ms. Pillman, for all the work you do. It's been a lot. Thank you so much. Good evening, Mr. Chairman and members of the board.

[00:10:52] Dr. Casey, four consent agenda highlights tonight. First is to set a public hearing for April 22nd that will return the Drury's Bluff voting precinct to Bensley Elementary School from its current temporary location at Bensley Rec Center. The school is expected to be completed this summer and this will allow again that precinct to return to its previous location as well as eliminating any conflicts with this year's National Night Out event. Also have the next batch of school bus loops, right-of-way designations to

[00:11:26] add these to the state maintenance system a construction contract in the amount of 2.4 million for the center point parkway extension project from tomahawk creek road to old hundred road this request also includes authorization to appropriate a little over 267 000 in developer contributions from thales academy as required by the zoning case then finally an item to accept a 1.558 acre parcel where the Falling Creek Police Station is being constructed at Springline from

[00:12:03] the Economic Development Authority. Three retirements. Three retirements this month representing a combined 80 years of service to the county. Jennifer Erisman, mental health support services, 32 years. Captain Bryce Ford from fire and EMS, 26 years. And Corporal Craig Eckrich of the Police Department, 22 years. Mr. Chair, with that, I'm happy to take any questions you may have.

[00:12:35] Board, any questions? Seeing none. Thank you, Mr. Wilson. Item 7, New Business. A, consent items. Mr. Chair, there have been no requests from board members to remove items from the consent agenda for public comment.

[00:12:53] Do any board members have items that they wish to remove? If not, I need a motion to approve the consent agenda. So moved. Second? Second. All in favor? Aye. Madam Clerk. Item 8, 15-minute citizen comment period on unscheduled matters.

[00:13:13] We have no speakers. Item 9, deferred items from previous meetings. We have none. Item 10, public hearings. This date has been advertised for the board to hold five public hearings on the following. Proposed ordinance amendments relating to Department of Utilities fee changes, advertised for 6 p.m.

[00:13:32] Proposed tax rates for calendar year 2026, advertised for 6-05. Proposed FY 2027, Community Development Block Grant and Home Annual Plan, advertised for 6-10. Proposed FY 2027 to FY 2031, Capital Improvement Program, advertised for 6-15.

[00:13:55] And proposed FY 2027, Budget, advertised for 6-20. Mr. Chair. Thank you, Madam Clerk. The purpose of these public hearings is to allow the public an opportunity to comment on these items. In order to allow everyone a chance to speak, each speaker will be limited to three minutes.

[00:14:15] The clerk will advise you when your time is up. I ask that you keep your remarks focused and concise. It is not necessary to repeat a previous speaker's remarks, but feel free to let us know if you agree with what others have said.

[00:14:30] If you have written comments and are unable to finish them in the allotted time, please provide them to the clerk and she will provide copies to the board members. The Board will not be taking any action on these items tonight. Tonight is the time for the Board to hear your comments on these proposals.

[00:14:49] Madam Clerk, please call the first public hearing. A, to consider proposed ordinance amendments relating to Department of Utilities fee changes. Mr. Harris. Mr. Chairman, the Board will be a very brief introduction for each of your five public hearings.

[00:15:12] First up is utilities. As you have seen over the course of the last couple of months, Really, headline here is a 4.3% proposed increase in the bimonthly bill. Would still leave the county as the lowest in the region as far as that goes. And on the capital side of the utility budget, the highlight continues to be funding for the fourth water source.

[00:15:33] With that, I will turn it over to the public hearing. Thanks. For my board, is there any questions you have for Mr. Harris? Everyone, this is a public hearing to consider proposed ordinance amendments related to the Department of Utilities fee changes.

[00:15:51] Is there anyone who would like to speak to this issue? If so, please come forward and state your name. Seeing no speakers, this public hearing is now closed. Madam Clerk. B, to consider proposed 2026 tax rates.

[00:16:10] Mr. Harris. Your tax rate schedule, which again, you have been working on for the last couple of months, the real estate rate is proposed unchanged at \$0.89.

[00:16:28] The primary difference here is on the personal property side. It is proposed at a \$0.10 reduction, which would take it down to \$3.25. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Harris. Any questions for Mr. Harris?

[00:16:45] Everyone, this is a public hearing to consider proposed 2026 changes, 26 tax rates. Is there anyone who would like to speak to this issue? If so, please come forward and state your name.

[00:17:04] Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Fred DeMay and I'm from the Matoka district. Chesterfield residents have long been the highest tax county residents in central Virginia. We've had continually the highest county real estate taxes in central Virginia. these high rates have contributed substantially to the increasingly unaffordable cost of living here in Chesterfield. Last year, the Board of Supervisors authorized a one-cent reduction in the property tax rate from 90 cents to 89 cents. In addition,

the Board authorized an additional one-cent rebate reduction in the real estate tax, bringing the effective rate to 88 cents per 100 of assessed

[00:17:41] value. And that was a very good decision, ladies and gentlemen. This year, we see a very different situation with the average increase in real estate assessments being about four and a half percent well above the rate of inflation. This along with no reduction in the 89 cent real estate tax rate and the elimination of the one cent rebate will make the effective tax rate approximately five cents higher than last year. Very unaffordable. The proposed fiscal year 2027 budget having no reduction in the tax rate of 89 cents per 100 of assessed value will break a multi-year string of

[00:18:16] consistent tax rate reductions. Several years of consistent real estate tax rate reductions, as well as the additional one cent rebate on the real estate tax rate, has demonstrated a very positive and ongoing commitment by the Board of Supervisors and the county government to Chesterfield taxpayers. But this year, all that changes. With the proposed elimination of the one cent real estate tax reduction and no additional one cent rebate on the real estate tax rate, combined with the 4.5% increase in assessments, Chesterfield taxpayers will be seeing a very

[00:18:50] substantial increase in taxes at a time when affordability is critical to people. In this scenario, the effective real estate tax rate will be about 5 cents per 100 of assessed value over last year, a huge increase compared to the last several years, and it will be very unaffordable for Chesterfield real estate taxpayers. To help offset this large increase in the real estate taxes, a \$0.10 reduction is proposed on the personal property tax rate, which would be going from \$3.35 to \$3.25 per 100 of assessed value.

[00:19:26] And while any tax rate reduction is welcome, the unfortunate fact is that this is nowhere near enough to compensate for the massive increases Chesterfield taxpayers will see from a very large real estate tax increase. I'm asking you tonight, the Board of Supervisors, to reinstate at least the one-cent reduction in the real estate tax rate, making it go from \$0.89 per \$100 to \$0.88 per assessed value.

[00:19:52] Thank you. Are there any other speakers who would like to comment on the proposed 2026 tax rates? Come on down.

[00:20:13] Robert Taylor. I've been in the county since I was born. on my taxes from, I'm retired, bought my house in 79. Now, what I paid for in 79 is not a lot of money now compared to what house I'm selling for now in the county.

[00:20:35] My new good truck would make what I paid for that house. But being retired, the taxes on it, once you pay that house off, an individual who's retired, you're crossing the fine line to where I paid my house off, I'm retired, I know how to pay taxes.

[00:20:54] I don't want no free lunch here. I want to support the county. But you get to a point where when the taxes get so high on it, it becomes like another mortgage. Like the county owns my property until I die. And that's the wrong mindset.

[00:21:11] I believe in paying my fair share, and I believe everybody else that's retired believes that. But in 2022, I had the county come out and look at my house, all the improvements I had done on it, and they lowered it by 2%. in 2024 they took it to 8.6 percent last year was 3.8 this year is 4.7 16 percent over three years um i don't qualify for any county assistance i've called on that last year they i called it told me

[00:21:45] come down but my revenue income is a little bit more than um what it falls under um i called in Ricoh County to see what they did, and they have a system similar to Chesterfield, but to me, it caps a little different, and I'd like for y'all to take a look at this for next year. I know this year is gone. I can't back the pony up on this one, but next year, I talked to a girl named Crystal, and she said they cap it at minimum income at \$75,000, and they also have where

[00:22:20] tax bill gets to a certain point, you don't pay no more than that, for as long as you own the house until you sell it or die. To me, that falls more in line to where, because it's 16% over three years, in another two years, now granted I didn't give but \$42,500 for this house in 79, but in two more years, my income tax bill, if you divide it by 12, will be what I paid for that house every month now that seems don't seem like pennies now but back then that was a pile of money and it when

[00:22:58] you retire it's a pile of money now so i would like to y'all take a look at that and you could the program you have now works fine if you make under 50 000 but in that and i know that uh i've had relatives and uh friends and close friends work in the police department sheriff's department the fire department and i know that y'all offered a good race for them next year coming up starting July. Mr. Taylor, your three minutes are up.

[00:23:31] I think they've earned that race, but when they retire, they're going to be in the same boat. Thank you. Thank you, sir. Are there any other speakers who wish to comment on the proposed 2026 tax rate? Seeing no more speakers as public hearing is now closed. Madam Clerk. See to consider FY 2027 proposed community development block grant and the home investment partnership annual plan. Mr. Harris.

[00:24:07] Chairman's Board, your home CVG program totals it proposed at \$2.18 million. It continues to focus the majority of those resources in home repairs and other emergency services in the housing space. That's it. Thanks.

[00:24:23] Thank you, Mr. Harris. Any questions for Mr. Harris? Everyone, this is a public hearing to consider the FY2027 proposed Community Development Block Grant and the Home Investment Partnership Annual Plan.

[00:24:39] Is there anyone who would like to speak to this issue? If so, please come forward and state your name.

[00:24:54] Seeing no one, the public hearing is now closed. Madam Clerk. D, to consider FY 2027 to FY 2031 proposed capital improvement program. Mr. Harris. Chairman's Board, this is public hearings on your proposed FY 27 to 31 CIP.

[00:25:20] It continues to focus on three primary areas, transportation improvements, continuation of the referendum projects from 2022, as well as a heavy focus in this particular five-year stretch on major maintenance and maximization of existing facilities.

[00:25:37] Were there any questions for Mr. Harris? All right, everyone, this is a public hearing to consider the 2027 to 2030-31 proposed capital improvement program. Is there anyone who would like to speak to this issue?

[00:25:53] If so, please come forward and state your name. Seeing no speakers, the public hearing is now closed. Madam Clerk. E, to consider FY 2027 proposed budget.

[00:26:09] Mr. Harris. Chairman's board, lastly, your last public hearing is on your proposed operating budget for FY 27, proposed at an increase of about 4.7%.

[00:26:26] It focuses heavily on strengthening existing services, headlining investments really in education, public safety, and infrastructure. Thank you. On the board, is there anyone who has any questions for Mr. Harris? No? Okay. Everyone, this is a public hearing to consider the FY 2027 proposed budget. Is there anyone who would like to speak to this issue? And if so, please come forward and state your name.

[00:27:03] Hello, my name is Rob Hamill, and I am the president of the Chesterfield Education Association. We are the labor union that represents our employees in Chesterfield County Public Schools. So first of all, I would like to compliment this board for working so closely with our administration in crafting the budget this year. I've heard from some folks in CCPS that the budget process was collaborative and collegial, and I sincerely appreciate all the work that went into making this time of year run smoothly. As far as the budget itself, we don't have a lot of notes.

[00:27:32] Our members have repeatedly expressed support for the compensation package offered in this budget. We applaud Dr. Murray for setting a visible and obtainable goal of \$60,000 starting salary for teachers and \$15 an hour for support staff. We believe that goal and the plan to get there reflect the hard work and dedication we put into our classrooms in Chesterfield. Raising teacher pay addresses the problems of inflation and affordability in a head-on and realistic way.

[00:27:56] Making our salaries more competitive with our surrounding counties also helps us attract the best talent. Again, no notes there. We're very happy with the proposals. We were also pleased to see the significant investments made to our transportation and nutrition departments. All of us in the buildings know how important it is for our kids to arrive safely and eat healthy.

[00:28:14] It's wonderful to see the importance of those services reflected in the budget. We applaud CCPS in Chesterfield County for stepping up and providing greater food assistance to our communities in the face of federal funding cuts. No child can succeed in school on an empty stomach, and they definitely can't succeed if they can't make it to the building.

[00:28:30] So thank you for recognizing those important aspects of the school day. Our government relations team at the Virginia Education Association has been lobbying hard at the General Assembly for increased funding from the Commonwealth. We are hopeful that our legislators will deliver on the funds we need. A few months ago, I presented testimony to the General Assembly detailing the need in CCPS for more secondary math and special education teachers.

[00:28:52] It is our hope that the new budget will include funds to help us staff those high-need positions. Our union is always open to collaboration on legislative and budget matters. Please reach out to our office if you find opportunities where we might lobby our elected officials together. And thank you for this opportunity to speak on behalf of the Chesterfield Education Association.

[00:29:11] Thank you so much. If I may, I just want to say as a former high school English teacher and probably on behalf of this board, thank you for all the hard work and the collaboration that's gone into this priority of the board. So thank you so much. Wonderful. Thanks. Good evening again, ladies and gentlemen.

[00:29:37] My name is Fred DeMea. I live in the Matauka district. Over the past several years, we've seen inflation jump to levels not seen in 40 years. Inflation peaked at a year-over-year rate of over 9% in June of 2022, but has now dropped to a year-over-year rate of about 2.8%.

[00:29:54] Inflation is now moderating, however, it is still doing great damage to people at every socioeconomic level. In Chesterfield, we have seen a pattern of steadily increasing budgets of about 1.5 to 2 times the rate of inflation over the last several years. The proposed total fiscal year 2027 county budget, including schools, is estimated to be almost \$2.4 billion, an increase of about 4.7 percent over last year's budget, making this budget similar to years past, running at about 1.7 times the rate of inflation.

[00:30:27] And that's higher than should be considered reasonable, given that affordability is a primary concern to people right now. County budgets that are running substantially over the rate of inflation certainly have enough built-in excess taxation to account for a fiscal safety cushion. And in reference to last year's budget, that is demonstrated by Chesterfield County's over \$20 million budget surplus for fiscal year 2026. In attending several county budget meetings, it's apparent that there are some important needs in Chesterfield, but the county also has a long list of wants. And at this point in time with inflation still running well above the federal reserve's target of two percent

[00:31:05] those wants must be weighed against providing much needed tax relief for chesterfield citizens the proposed elimination of the one cent reduction in the real estate tax rate of past years and the elimination of the one cent rebate on the june real estate tax bills in the proposed fiscal year 2027 budget is definitely a step in the wrong direction because it's going to cause a substantial spike higher in real estate taxes just when chesterfield citizens can least afford it according to the county budget staff one cent of real estate tax yields about six million dollars

[00:31:40] a reduction of six million would result in a one quarter percent reduction one quarter of one percent reduction of the overall fiscal year 2027 budget an incredibly small percentage for the county but a big demonstration to chesterfield real estate taxpayers of your commitment to consistent real estate tax reductions and better affordability for county property owners.

[00:32:05] I would strongly urge you, the Board of Supervisors, to reinstate the one cent reduction in the county real estate rate going from 89 cents per \$100 of assessed value to 88 cents for the fiscal year 2027 budget. Thank you. Good evening. My name is Ian Ritskar-Marcovich and I'm speaking tonight to express my concerns about mounting budgetary costs associated with the flock safety contract.

[00:32:42] The private company that provides Chesterfield with AI-enabled automated license plate readers. These cameras track the movement patterns of ordinary citizens and those suspected of crimes alike, storing our information in a county-owned database accessible without a warrant for 21 days. Our contract with Flock Safety costs taxpayers \$225,000 every year and runs through June 2029.

[00:33:04] However, after reviewing the proposed 2027 budget, it appears that the real cost of these cameras is almost certainly much higher. On page 315, for instance, the un- or underfunded additional funding requests appendix, there's a \$60,000 estimate listed against license plate reader system replacements.

[00:33:21] My understanding of this section is that these are essentially part of a wish list outside of the primary budget. But if granted, this adds nearly a quarter of the annual subscription cost to supplement or replace a camera system that has only been in place since 2023. On page 79, there's a request for

enhancement that would add three new full-time intelligence investigators at a cost of \$263,000. The justification for these new positions specifically lists leveraging technology like license plate readers. If these requests are approved, the cost that can be connected to the flock safety contract in 2027 would look a lot

[00:33:57] more like \$608,000 than \$225,000. These cameras are extremely controversial. More than 30 localities across the country have canceled or suspended their contracts with Flock Safety since 2025 in response to residents' concerns about privacy, data usage, and the long-term effects of mass surveillance on their communities. Notably in Stanton, Virginia, Chief of Police Jim Williams made the decision to end its contract with Flock Safety after receiving an email from Flock's CEO that demonized residents opposing the cameras, calling them part of a coordinated attack on both his company and law enforcement. In response to that email, Chief Williams corrected the CEO,

[00:34:34] calling the community's voicing of concerns democracy in action. I hope you'll follow his example and take concerns like mine seriously. The citizens of Chesterfield deserve that kind of responsiveness and transparency from the board when it comes to costly and controversial technology sold by big tech companies that are run by adversarial executives.

[00:34:53] I urge you not only to cut added surveillance expenses from the 2027 budget, but also to give county residents a chance to weigh in on whether we want these cameras here at all. Our other local governments have afforded the public that basic courtesy. I ask everyone here tonight why hasn't the board of supervisors given us a say on whether these risks the risks these cameras present are worth the costs financial and otherwise. Thank you. Hello my name is Lauren

[00:35:31] Dixon and I'm representing myself and nearly 200 community members who have signed our petition urging the board to oppose funding for automatic license plates provided by Flock. \$225,000 is our annual subscription, and again, that number does not reflect the full picture.

[00:35:51] There are hidden costs, such as system upgrades to further our surveillance capacity, and the consequences our community members in Bellwood and Halsley communities are suffering due to the expanding energy infrastructure to support data centers.

[00:36:08] Typically, our county has a performance metric or method of evaluating the benefits that taxpayers receive from paying a vendor for a service. The board and police have both agreed that there is no way to accurately measure or define a cost-benefit to this service, so there is no accountability to this vendor.

[00:36:30] Instead, I've been pointed to a small percentage of cases that these cameras have assisted in and an urge to speak with those who use this service to hear their experiences. So right now, our community is being forced to fund a system of mass surveillance that fundamentally undermines our expectation of privacy on vibes alone.

[00:36:50] Since there is no measurable benefit, just a few antidotes, I can assure you that if this is left unchecked, the system will expand before our current imagination. And ALPRs collect data on all of us, law-abiding citizens that are trying to live our life.

[00:37:06] Every trip you take to receive health care, to worship, to enjoy your right to own a firearm, is being tracked, stored, and analyzed. And we need to consider together that this is not a public service. This is a private company in which our data is their business model.

[00:37:24] We pay them to take our data to enhance their systems, which ultimately benefits their shareholders. And we are funneling our public funds to build and enrich private equity without meaningful public oversight.

[00:37:39] So this raises serious questions to me. Are we comfortable allowing our daily movements to become part of a commercial data ecosystem? Are we willing to trade fundamental privacy rights for uncertain gains and law enforcement efficiency?

[00:37:58] Do we not realize that this level of surveillance, a private company can create a registry of any type of person they want, bypassing any legislation. A right that is tracked is a right that is chilled, so I urge the county to pause this contract, conduct a full public hearing and review, and prioritize solutions together that do not compromise the trust and the privacy of our community.

[00:38:28] Thank you. Thank you, Lauren. Hello. My name is Wendy Little. Most everybody knows me. I have a son, Aaron Little, who has been deprived education for the last four years and uh he's supposed to graduate this year which is why i'm wearing cap for him um i first approached the board a couple years ago to 2 p.m meeting with a sign and said aaron been deprived education chesterfield never fixed it media we

[00:39:02] never enforced it i now have jay jones coming in with the clutch grand slam in the bottom of the 12th grade it appears that chesterfield county public schools may very well lose federal and state grant funding. It does not happen during the budget cycle but it is something that you must be aware of and quite frankly at this point I don't care if they do. I don't care but it is something that needs to be brought to the board and I have the things here. He doesn't make that personal

[00:39:37] decision. I want a state complaint and an appeal because they deprived him the first year of education and under no circumstances are they supposed to comply within later than one year. That was two school years ago. So instead, what they did was sue me with an underground ultra-veries, void ab initio lawsuit, suing me by my initials, suing on the wrong dispute resolution mechanism.

[00:40:07] And I'm like, how does this keep going? Nobody's complying. Nobody's making up for the time he lost. Education, you know, escalates. You know, we all know that. So he's left without a public grade education, and he's 18 years old. So that creates a whole lot of other concerns. Another concern is under Jason Mieres, there are illegal attorney contracts costing state taxpayers to stay in this underground, underhanded lawsuit for three years now, I guess, costing state taxpayers at least a quarter of a million dollars.

[00:40:40] Emily, I'm sorry, Senator Barbara Favola wrote a letter to Board of Education, Department of Education, and said, you and Superintendent Murray, you work on this and fix this by last summer, which was a year after the final deadline. nothing happened. School board didn't look at it. Nothing happened. President Trump initiated an OCR on June 3rd, 2025. This is an investigation where they take a lot of time. The school board, the school superintendent, they knew that. Still nothing. Senator Sturtevant wrote in for the

[00:41:18] attorney general's opinion. And I don't know what to tell you, but I know y'all don't like manage the school division, but when it came to teacher budgets and stuff, everybody chimed in. I need everybody to chime in to not only write a letter, but to have a meeting with Murray and fix this, because he cannot not walk that stage.

[00:41:42] He knows he's supposed to two years before his little brother. This is unacceptable, and it's wasting tons and tons and tons of taxpayer dollars for no reason. Ms. Little, your three minutes are up. Thank you, Ms. Little. Welcome.

[00:42:06] Good evening. Renee Eldred here. I just want to thank the budget department for the hard work that they have put into doing the budget. I went to, I think, all but one of the meetings, and I had many questions, and they all got answered.

[00:42:25] It's never an easy job, and it's never an easy job to tell people that you have to pay for your services that you're getting. So me personally, I don't like to pay taxes, but it's one of those evils of living.

[00:42:45] So I do support the budget. I would say that next year when you go to do all of your meetings, maybe have two meetings, one for each side of the county, because I don't think we had 25 people total that attended the meetings, 25, 30 people, and I wish there were more, but it's just a fact that that's

[00:43:20] That's always been the case, and there's a lot of time and effort put into doing five meetings and each in a district that, I mean, I got a lot of questions answered and I wouldn't have been able to do that if I hadn't gone to all of them, but it's still, it's a lot of money that could be put elsewhere, and I'm glad to see that the police fire and the teachers are finally getting some compensation for what they do and I'm also glad that this board

[00:43:57] has been working with the school board because that's not always been the case in the past and I'm glad that that has worked out and that y'all are no longer arguing with one another and things are actually getting done so support it thank you thank you Renee are there any other speakers. Welcome. Thank you. I apologize if this was not the right moment to say this, but my name is Alexandria Wall and I'm the Director of Human Trafficking Services with Safe Harbor, a non-profit

[00:44:35] that works with victims of domestic violence, sexual assault, and human trafficking. And we just came tonight to say thank you to Chesterfield for considering providing funding for our programs because it will really help the Chesterfield victims that we serve. So thank you very much.

[00:44:51] You're quite welcome, Ms. Wall. Any other speakers? Seeing no more speakers, the public hearing is now closed. This ends a series of public hearings related to the proposed ordinance amendments related to the Department of Utilities fee changes, proposed tax rate, proposed community development block grant, and home partnership annual plan, the proposed capital improvement plan, and the proposed fiscal year 2027 budget.

[00:45:24] I want to thank the public for coming out and speaking in this process. Your input from tonight, the various community meetings that have been held, and other comments that may have come forward will be helpful for this board continues to do its work leading up to the adopting of a budget planned for the evening session of April 8th.

[00:45:47] Madam Clerk. F. To consider proposed ordinance amendments relating to setbacks from private wells. Mr. Jenkins. Good evening, Mr. Chairman, board members, Dr. Casey.

[00:46:12] This public hearing involves Chapter 12, Health and Sanitation, specifically setbacks relative to private wells as described in Section 12-53. Three changes are proposed. First is the reduction of the setback between a private well and a building foundation or accessory structure from 50 feet down to 15 feet.

[00:46:32] Second, that setback, that 15-foot setback, can be further reduced to 10-foot if the building foundation or structure uses a boron-based termite treatment. And third is the reduction of the setback between a private well and a property line from 50 feet down to 5 feet. The Virginia Department of Health regulations relative to private wells and these setbacks were last updated in October of 2024, and this amendment is consistent with those regulations.

[00:46:57] Any questions for me? Mr. Ingle. So just to be clear, the changes that we're proposing here make us in line with the state health department guidelines, And these are the same standards that the neighboring jurisdictions around us have been following.

[00:47:17] We've had a stricter interpretation, and we're just going with the state's interpretation. Yeah, we'll be consistent with the state. I don't know what other neighbors are doing. I'll speak for you. They are following the state's recommendations. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Engel.

[00:47:32] Mr. Carroll. Mr. Banks, we went through this exercise a couple of years ago to amend this. In reference to accessory dwellings, and the way we're amending this now, we are essentially adopting what the state actually has on file.

[00:47:56] My question is, in the wording that we're changing this to, if the state decides to change in the future, are we going to have to do it again, or will we automatically adopt what the state changes this? No, it would come back to you in the same way that this came to you this time.

[00:48:14] Just like a lot of regulation there's regulation that they impose on you that we have to follow There's certain other things that we can do we can be more restrictive, okay, but we can't be Less restrictive however you look at it But we can do what we did up there even though the regulations changed What we're doing is bringing them now in line with the regulation if there is going to be another change in the ordinance It would come back to the board. I can't imagine a situation where it would not

[00:48:47] Other board members. Thank you, Mr. Jenkins. Everyone, this is a public hearing to consider proposed ordinance amendments related to setbacks for private wells. Is there anyone who would like

[00:49:05] to speak to this issue? If so, please come forward and state your name. Good evening, Mr. Turner.

[00:49:29] Jerry Turner, you may be following the state regulations or what are they changing it to, but they're making drastic cuts in the distance that they're going from 50 foot to five foot or whatever it was.

[00:49:49] I'm not sure the county needs to follow the state on this one. Once you drill that well and somebody messes up and they can't get clean water, then it comes back on the county.

[00:50:11] Well, we're just following state regulations. I'm not sure y'all need to follow the state's regulations on this one. Thank you. Does anyone else would like to speak to this?

[00:50:30] So I'm going to go ahead and close the public hearing. It's back before the board. Is there any discussion? Mr. Ingle? I understand what Mr. Turner said, but I also know that this wasn't actually in response to a change in state law.

[00:50:49] This has been the state law for a long period of time. No other jurisdiction in the state has had any issues with any of these setbacks. There was an issue one time 20-something years ago that nobody can even remember when it was that somebody got upset about and changed these rules in Chesterfield County only, And all we're doing is going back to what the state has been doing for many years.

[00:51:16] So with that, I make the motion to approve the amendments. Is there any discussion, other discussion, Mr. Carroll? I'll second it, but I have a discussion as well, Mr. Chair. I had someone in my district who lived on three and a half acres of land, had a nice house and decided that they retired and they wanted to build a garage and a workshop so they could teach their grandchildren about woodworking.

[00:51:38] And they went to locate the building on the property, and they found out that they were going to be within too close to where the well was. It's their well, their decision. But because of the greater county restrictions that we had, they said, well, sorry, you can't build your building.

[00:52:00] And my response to that was, well, let's change it. Let's make them get a water test prior to construction, a water test after construction, to determine if anything's changed in the water and make sure that they take the proper precautions that they should. But I can't imagine, and believe me, I've been down at the General Assembly and listened to them debate stuff.

[00:52:20] I can't imagine when they pass legislation at the state level that this wasn't debated at that level either. We changed it a couple of years ago, and they were able to build their building. And they haven't called me up and said my water's poisoned. They're very happy with the building, though, and he's able to teach his grandchildren about woodworking.

[00:52:40] and so there are instances and this doesn't generally affect the developer it affects homeowners people live in our community who decide they want to build something on their property and then they go sorry you can't do it because the well's too close or the setback's not right so it's really about you know if the state set these standards I think adopting was fine if for some reason there's an issue that comes up and we have to change it again we can change it

[00:53:11] again, but I haven't heard of any. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you, Mr. Carroll. Any other comments? All right. We have a motion. It's been properly seconded. All in favor? Aye. Anyone opposed? Madam Clerk. Item 11, zoning requests. Mr. Chair, you have one deferral request, case 23SN0124 in Matulka Magisterial District, Taylor Ridge rezoning and exceptions is a request to rezone from agricultural aid to community business C3, with conditional use

[00:53:46] to permit townhouse and multifamily uses plus conditional use plan development to permit exceptions to ordinance requirements fronting approximately 1,360 feet on the north side of Hull Street Road, 450 feet east of Doss Road. The district supervisor is requesting deferral to the board's April 22, 2026 public hearing. Thank you, Madam Clerk. Everyone, this is a public hearing on the deferral of case 23S and 0124 Taylor Ridge rezoning and exceptions is there anyone who would

[00:54:23] like to speak to this deferral if so please come forward and state your name seeing no one I'm going to go ahead and close this public hearing on the deferral and it's back before the board this is in the Matoka district Mr. Carroll Mr. Chair thank you um in speaking with the developer there's some uh road alignment issues that they need to work through but I also had a concern return on one of the road cash proffers. In 2017, the Board of Supervisors changed their

[00:55:01] road cash proffer policy and the proffer policy for houses. And what happened is developers were given an opportunity to get credit for the work that they did on off-site proffers. So if someone, for example, was going to get \$2 million in cash proffers that they would have to pay the county based on the amount of units they were going to put in, if they did \$2 million in road improvements, then it was a wash.

[00:55:27] In this case, that's not the case. They have proffered to pay for all the road improvements that they have to do within the development and outside development improvements along Hull Street Road, including putting turn lanes in a third lane, which we need on the road, as well as all of the road cash proffers for each unit.

[00:55:49] And there's some language in cash proffer and proffer 24, I want to get cleaned up, which is why I'm deferring this for a month to make sure we have it right so that when someone from the public reads this case, there's no ambiguity about what it means.

[00:56:05] It means that the developer is going to actually pay those additional funds, and that money will go into the transportation shed for the road widening, additional road widening of Hull Street that needs to be widened from out of their road all the way to the terminus of where Polway Parkway is going to come out on Hull Street.

[00:56:24] And so, Mr. Chair, I make the motion to defer case number 23SN0124 to our April 22nd Board of Supervisors meeting. Thank you Mr. Carroll. Do I have a second? Second. Any discussion? All in favor? Aye. Anyone opposed? Madam Clerk. Mr. Chair, you have five zoning requests on your consent agenda. For the benefit of the public, consent cases are those cases where the applicant accepts staff's recommendation and there is no previous opposition.

[00:56:58] For each case on the consent agenda, staff has received written confirmation from the applicants that they agree with the conditions being imposed and that all proffers are offered in compliance with state law. First is 25 SN 1247 in Matulka Magisterial District.

[00:57:15] Lattice Hall rezoning and amendment is a request to rezone 12.16 acres from agricultural A to residential R12 with conditional use plan development to permit exceptions to ordinance requirements plus conditional use to permit neighborhood recreational facilities and amend zoning approval case 21 SN 0606 on 151.6 acres to modify the conceptual plan, density, architecture, amenities, and road cash proffers on the entire 163.76-acre tract,

[00:57:51] fronting approximately 2,750 feet on the south side of Genitoe Road, 600 feet west of Weatherbury Place. The 163.76-acre property is proposed for a maximum development of 333 dwelling units.

[00:58:09] An expansion to the existing Lattice Hall subdivision, including the addition of 26 cluster-style lots and reconfigured amenity area, is proposed. Planning Commission and staff recommend approval subject to the conditions in your staff report.

[00:58:25] Thank you, Madam Clerk. Everyone, this is a public hearing on Case 25-SN-1247, Lattice Hall Rezoning and Amendment. Is there anyone who would like to speak to this case? Please, if you feel, come forward and state your name. Good evening, Jerry Turner.

[00:59:00] During the discussion on this development, there's one little strip in there that they don't own. My question is, is that one little strip, because they're going to put sidewalks in for a change, y'all requiring it, Are they going to skip over that, or are they going to work out some kind of agreement where the sidewalk will continue on across that little strip right there?

[00:59:30] If not, then the county is going to have to do it, and that little strip will probably cost a million dollars. Thank you. Are there any other speakers? Thank you, Mr. Turner.

[00:59:47] I'm going to go ahead and close the public hearing, and it's back before the board. This case is in the Matoka District. Mr. Carroll. I have a question for Mr. Geiger, who has jumped from his seat. Have you all been discussing with that neighbor to see if there's a possibility of doing the right-of-way with the sidewalk going across the front of it?

[01:00:10] Yes, Mr. Carroll. Jeff Geiger here on behalf of the applicant. I appreciate Mr. Turner keeping me on my toes. That land was part of the original rezoning case. Unfortunately, in between the time of when we originally did the zoning and then the original landowners decided to sell, We sold that land and the home on it to a home buyer.

[01:00:37] We tried to get it back. We weren't able to. But I do have good news. That original zoning case requires that land to be dedicated as part of the right-of-way. And so the shared use path will go in the right-of-way. So the shared use path will not be on that homeowner's land.

[01:00:58] It will be in the right-of-way to be maintained by VDOT. So the quick answer is yes. Very good. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Carroll. Mr. Chair, I make a motion to approve case number 25SN1247, subject to the conditions in the staff report.

[01:01:21] Thank you, Mr. Carroll. Do I have a second? Second. All right, now that I have a motion and properly seconded, any discussion? Ms. Schneider. So, just so I'm clear, the little sliver that's alongside the red that's in white is the one that was sold.

[01:01:42] And the other part is the one that's been added, being added to the case. Okay. and the sidewalk will continue at the road i didn't realize that there was that little i didn't notice that little white strip until you guys just said when i looked at it the first time sorry thank you they will plan on selling their house later after all the houses are built i'm sure any other discussion all right so all in favor all right anyone opposed madam clerk next is 25

[01:02:19] SN1288 in Cloverhill Magisterial District. Sanco Auto Care Rezoning and Adjustments is a request to rezone from Corridor Commercial CC to Corridor Commercial CC with adjustment to ordinance requirements for development standards plus conditional use for automobile sales on 0.79 acres known as 8259 Midlothian Turnpike.

[01:02:43] The applicant is requesting to bring an existing non-conforming automobile repair and sales facility into compliance with the zoning ordinance. Approval of the request will allow Sanco auto repair, which includes accessory automobile sales operating on site since 2021, to continue its operations. Adjustments to ordinance requirements include parking lot paving requirements, perimeter landscaping, and building and parking setbacks. Planning Commission and staff recommend approval subject to the conditions in your staff report. Thank you, Madam Clerk. Everyone, this is a public hearing on case 25S and

[01:03:21] 1288, Sanko Auto Care Rezoning and Adjustments. Is there anyone who would like to speak to this case? If so, please come forward and state your name. I'll make you feel so short.

[01:03:45] Renee Eldred here. This is one of my pet peeves. This is a person who has done something that they weren't supposed to, got caught and then had to come here to get exceptions. So I just would like to remind everybody that they need to own up to what they are supposed to do and do it within a time frame that they're supposed to be doing it in. A lot of times we give them their permission to

[01:04:22] keep whatever it is that they've done without permits and then when they're supposed to like plant or do things like that, it doesn't get done for quite some time. So hopefully they'll get their stuff planted and get everything the way it's supposed to be within a reasonable amount of time.

[01:04:43] Thank you, Whitney. Good evening. My name is Nassim Saman. I'm representing Sanko Auto Care. And I would like to first apologize to the lady over here about making the mistake of modifying our property without prior knowledge. I'm terribly sorry.

[01:05:11] Now, in her argument that's saying that we are asking permission without getting punishment, I'm actually getting punished from this. I actually have a summons from the court and I am taking care of it within the actions of the law.

[01:05:28] Now, I understand her problems, but I also prefer that I handled it within the realms of you guys, the Planning Commission, and try to follow the rules accordingly.

[01:05:45] Now, I don't want her to think that I am not facing any sort of punishment. I am. I am also complying. And I also want to reassure her that I am going to comply with everything here within acceptable terms, which I've already agreed to.

[01:06:09] So that really ends my comments. All right. Thank you very much.

[01:06:22] Jerry Turner. This is one of those cases where one of the committees that you have volunteers on apparently has found something, people not doing what they're supposed to do.

[01:06:40] And last month I told you, y'all don't recognize these committees as much as you should. They don't get the recognition that they need to give, especially as far as I'm concerned from this board. Somebody had to go out and see what was being done that didn't comply with the code.

[01:07:08] So more than likely it was the code department had to get involved with it. But they didn't go out out there on their own. They did it because somebody went to them and said, these people are not in compliance. There again, the committees that are doing this are not being recognized by this board, as far as I'm concerned, to the extent that some of these other things that go on, they should be.

[01:07:38] Every one of those committee people should have been recognized down here. They're taking up their time, just like everybody else that comes in here. And I really would like to see more people get involved with this. But there again, we're not here to talk about that.

[01:07:55] We're here to talk about this case. And there again, he got caught. He's trying to straighten up what he's supposed to have done. But probably if you went to every business in this county, they're not doing something to code. If they really wanted to get down in the weeds, they'd find something that they wanted to that they could write them up on.

[01:08:15] It may be minor stuff because the sign was too high, was too low, wasn't straight or something. So you got to be a little more lenient sometimes on some of these cases. Like I said, he's trying to do the right thing.

[01:08:33] Maybe the committee will keep watching and make sure he does the right thing. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Turner. Are there any other comments? I'm going to go ahead and close the public hearing.

[01:08:51] It's back before the board. This case is in the Clover Hill District. Ms. Schneider. Thank you. I appreciate you. You reached out to me when you were first contacted and we had a conversation on the phone, I think a couple of them also.

[01:09:07] And I appreciate you going through the trouble of making what you didn't know was wrong right and making the effort. And I wish more people would do that. So thank you so much. So given that, I would like to make the motion to approve case number 25-SN-1288 with all the staff recommendations.

[01:09:37] Mr. Chair, I'll make a second, but I have a comment on the discussion. Yes, so I have a properly second discussion. Mr. Carroll. Sometimes, Mr. Chair, and we've seen a couple of these cases come before us, there is what I would consider to be the mistake of the heart and mistake of the mind, right?

[01:09:56] So the question is whether or not someone intentionally did something or not. And sometimes I think perhaps the county in some ways when someone decides to open a new business, we need to be more definitive with that person on what the restrictions are on the property that they get. I think it makes it easier to enforce it later, quite frankly, and perhaps if they decide they want to do something else, they'll go through the proper course to do it first instead of doing it and then having to come back like this. And I'm not sure. I think this gentleman, I can't know which way it was. I think it was probably a mistake of the heart.

[01:10:27] He probably thought he was trying to do a good business for the community, and that's where he ended up. I would, you know, but I agree with what Renee said in the sense that we've seen several of these cases come forward and I'm not sure what our procedures are when someone comes forward to get a business license within the county and at a particular location.

[01:10:47] Maybe we need to look at that and determine if perhaps there's a notice that they're giving when that happens that says that the property you're on is zoned for this and this is what the acceptable uses are. And if you want to go outside of these uses, then there is a process for that.

[01:11:03] but this is what you're held to, may cut down on this because people know up front what they can and cannot do. So I think that's a process that we may have to look at. That's just my comment on that, Mr. Chair. Thank you, Mr. Carroll. I have a motion. Any other discussion? Mr. Engel.

[01:11:20] I would say that one thing that we did more recently is we made auto sales a conditional use, and he would have had to come back for that if it wasn't originally zoned for that, but he may not have understood that because it's very likely that the person that was there before him did auto sales and they were within compliance and then he came in and thought he would be able to do it as well, but we've since changed it to need a conditional use.

[01:11:48] This has been in the works for a while, but I think I spoke to you probably six or so months ago. So it was actually started before, but we wouldn't have had to add the conditional use tonight if we hadn't changed the statutes.

[01:12:04] All right. Any other discussion? All right. We have a motion and properly seconded. All in favor? Aye. Aye. Anyone opposed? Madam Clerk. Next is 25SN 1299 in Bermuda Magisterial District.

[01:12:22] Negrete Accessory Dwelling Unit is a request for conditional use to permit a detached accessory dwelling unit in a suburban community SC district known as 15624 Silver Tree Lane. The 1.32-

acre property is developed with one single-family dwelling, and this request proposes one accessory dwelling unit detached.

[01:12:45] The applicant intends to convert an existing detached garage into an accessory dwelling unit for a family member to occupy. Planning Commission and staff recommend approval subject to the conditions in your staff report. Thank you, Madam Clerk. Everyone, this is a public hearing on Case 25, SN1299, Negrete Accessory Dwelling Unit.

[01:13:05] Is there anyone who would like to speak to this case? If so, please come forward and state your name.

[01:13:29] Jerry Turner. This is another one of those cases where this family is creating another house on a single family lot that as long as this family is there, everything will be okay.

[01:13:52] But 10, 15, 20 years from now, when things change, that will become a rental unit to somebody. I don't know if the county can do anything about that. You haven't in the past, because there's one that was for y'all where they turned the barn into a house for the brother.

[01:14:22] And both the brothers went to a nursing home and they rented everything out. I'm not sure what the county can do to eliminate this kind of future things because you're letting the single family become a double family.

[01:14:45] Maybe there's something legal you could put in there that it can't be rented out to any other member or could another It had to be a family member there again All they got to do is said we're kin or that's my cousin that Wouldn't it in the county is not gonna try to prove that they're not kin to each other. I'm not sure we're gonna go with this I'm sure he's doing what he think is right I would like to have had been able to put a house behind mine for my my mother or my dad

[01:15:19] It's one of those cases where you're in a bad situation no matter what you do because if you let them do it, that's great. But 10 years from now or 15 years from now, you never should have done it because it's now become the rental house.

[01:15:36] Thank you.

[01:15:46] Good evening. Ian Rudz, it's Karamarkovich. This one's not about flock. I grew up down near Pocahontas State Park and have certainly seen a lot of the green space in this county disappear, even in the limited time I've been here.

[01:16:02] I went to school out at Clover Hill. And I've seen that area change dramatically over the last 15 years. I just want to extend my support for this type of development. I think there has to be respect in the way that these are put up, especially when it's infill in suburban areas.

[01:16:21] But I'd much rather see this sort of use where you've got much smaller units working with established, already developed areas that support family, that give that opportunity, especially as we face a mounting crisis when it comes to affordability rather than sprawling developments that are taking over the edges of our county where we've got a lot of green space that is being sacrificed.

[01:16:44] I worry about that all the time. So this sort of accessory dwelling unit, I just very much support and I hope that we have more of this, frankly, in our suburban area. Thank you. Is there anyone else who would like to speak to Case 25S and 1299?

[01:17:07] All right, I'm going to go ahead and close the public hearing. it's back before the board this case is in the bermuda district make the motion to approve case 25 sn1299 subject to the conditions in the staff report do i have a second second and i have just something to add to that mrs schneider um i know there's been bills put forward in the general assembly in 24 as well as this year to make them by right and right now i think it's in flux they're they're still debating as to how they're going to remedy that because there are a lot of localities

[01:17:44] that you know by right doesn't really work very well when they're on septic and wells but this is an issue or this is something that has been very much in contention and people want to add the opportunity for extra housing I think one of the things that they did put in the bill for sure that I think it's very important is no short term leases or rentals on them like for Airbnb and stuff like that.

[01:18:14] So I just want you to know, Mr. Turner, this is something that is being looked at in the General Assembly as well. So it might go out of our hands. Thank you, Mr. Senator. Mr. Carroll. Mr. Chair, I do want to point out that if you look in the staff report, there is a note in here which talks about the occupancy and deed restrictions.

[01:18:35] So when this PLAT is recorded, it'll have a deed restriction in it that says it can only be used for family, marriage, adoption, guardianship, foster children, personal guests, or household employees.

[01:18:51] That's what the deed restriction is going to be. So if it does get sold down the road, there is a deed restriction. But I also will ask staff, I believe if you take a stove out, it's no longer an accessory dwelling. You simply remove the stove, it's no longer an accessory dwelling.

[01:19:10] Maybe we should put that in all these cases and say, you've got to take the stove out when you sell it. But this is, I think, a very appropriate use, especially when it comes for family who necessarily can't maybe afford to buy some of the other products that are being built in Chesterfield County.

[01:19:31] So that's why I'm supporting it, Mr. Chair. Thank you, Mr. Carroll. Any other discussion? Mr. Engel? No, I'm just ready to vote. Okay. All right. We have a motion, and we have properly seconded it. We've had some discussion. All in favor? Aye.

[01:19:47] Anyone opposed? Madam Clerk. Next is 25 SN 1301 in Clover Hill Magisterial District. Rockwood Plaza signage amendment is a request to amend zoning approval, Case 86 SN 0115, relative to signage requirements in a corridor commercial CC district on 5.2 acres, known as 3501 Courthouse Road.

[01:20:13] The applicant would like to remove previous zoning restrictions relative to signage and allow today's zoning ordinance requirements for signage to apply to the property. Planning Commission and staff recommend approval subject to the conditions in your staff report. Thank you, Madam Clerk.

[01:20:28] Everyone, this is a public hearing on case 25 SM1301, Rockwood Plaza signage amendment. Is there anyone who would like to speak to this case? If so, please come forward and state your name. Seeing no one, I'm going to go ahead and close this public hearing.

[01:20:45] back before the board. This case is in the Clover Hill District. Ms. Snyder. Thank you. This is one of those strip malls that I'm happy to see that there's attention being paid to and people moving in and I'm hoping that they can make it. One of my favorite restaurants is in this strip mall and it's been there for just over a year so I'm crossing my fingers that it's going to make it.

[01:21:09] This is hopefully going to help with that just to bring more attention to this. So I move to approve case number 25, SN 1301 with the staff recommendations.

[01:21:26] Second. All right. I have a motion to second. Any discussion? I just want to add, Ms. Schneider, so what is your favorite restaurant there? Latin Quarter. Latin Quarter. Okay, thank you. Street corn nachos are amazing. Thought important.

[01:21:43] She gets no commission for that. I don't. Nor does she get a break on her dinner. All right. We have discussion. All in favor. All right, Madam Clerk. The last case on your consent agenda is 25 SN 1318 in Dale Magisterial District.

[01:22:01] Jones Family Daycare Home is a request for conditional use to permit a family daycare home in a suburban community SC district on 0.21 acres known as 6713 Philbrook Road. The applicant proposed to allow for the care of up to 12 children from the home.

[01:22:18] This is the applicant's initial request on the property for this use as is reflected in the proposed conditions. Planning Commission and staff recommend approval subject to the conditions in your staff report. Thank you, Madam Clerk. Everyone, this is a public hearing on case 25 SN1318, Jones Family Daycare Home.

[01:22:38] Is there anyone who would like to speak to this case? And if so, please come forward and state your name. Seeing no one, I'm going to go ahead and close this public hearing. It's back before the board. This case is in the Dale District. Dr. Helton. make a motion that we approve 25 SN 1318 subject to the conditions of the staff report second all right I got a motion it's been properly second any discussion I think anytime we can add some daycare that's an awesome thing as long as it's done well and I think that I'm sure that you're gonna keep an eye on that and

[01:23:17] this is a great thing in a neighborhood it offers opportunities for people to go and work makes money. You're welcome. Thank you, Mr. Schneider. Any other discussion? All in favor? Aye. Anyone opposed? Madam Clerk?

[01:23:33] Item 12, 15-minute citizen comment period on unscheduled matters. We have no speakers. Item 13? No, sir. We have no speakers? Correct.

[01:23:49] You both have spoken this evening and you need to sign up. There are no speakers. You're on to the next time. There are no speakers. Okay. I just wanted to check and make sure it was clear on that. Yes. All right. Any closing announcements? I'm just curious to know. Mr. Chair, can I ask one question? Yes, sir. Mr. Engel. Did you receive any requests before 12 p.m. today?

[01:24:10] No, sir. I did not. Did you receive any requests at all? For unscheduled matters, no, sir. Okay. Ms. Little and I spoke, but she did not ask to be signed up on unscheduled matters. We talked about public hearings for the budget, tax rate, et cetera.

[01:24:27] Okay. Thank you, Madam Clerk. Mr. Chair, I mean, I'm maybe out of question or out of protocol, But I'm if it's okay. I'm happy to hear whatever needs to be said or if she wants to meet a Particular board member outside of our meeting just happy to you know hear hear people at any point So I just want that to be said so we don't know I want to make sure I'm clear on what you're asking. Just it. I don't know what it well. I think Jeff Yeah, I'm just a mister. We do have

[01:25:01] rules that you all have not only imposed but enforced on exactly how we deal with unscheduled measures. There are three different opportunities to speak. We have a protocol on how to sign up for those. We don't have any speakers tonight, so there is nobody that has signed up to do this.

[01:25:21] Certainly, there are staff that can talk to Ms. Lill. I think that her issues are mostly school board issues and they need to be addressed with the school board but it's she is not on the agenda to to to speak to the board tonight and so you've your next item is is closing announcements all right thank you for that yeah then we're going to move forward ahead and go closing announcements I think yes in April 8th it's coming up in there there'll be an opportunity then as well that's very close okay thank you mr. minks before I speak to any I just want to check in with my board or anyone that wants to speak to anything this evening Mr. Chair. Yes, sir. Two things. One, if you'd like to sign up now for next month, we can get you

[01:26:01] signed up early. Wendy. Yes, yes. Well, apparently there was some confusion, but if you want to be signed up for next month, we'll make sure you get signed up. You're certainly welcome, too.

[01:26:34] Absolutely will. Yeah, thank you. Thank you. Mr. Chair. Yes, sir. I just wanted to say thank you to the community for coming out to the budget hearings that we had coming here tonight, giving their input, and I would encourage if they have continuing questions, And I have received some information from some of the community.

[01:26:55] I appreciate the information that they've sent. I am reviewing it. But I just want to thank you for all the information that was sent in and the comments and the people who came to the community meetings. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Thank you, Mr. Carroll. Any other closing comments? Mr. Engel. Mr. Chair, I'd like to take a seven-minute filibuster.

[01:27:14] But instead, in the sake of the citizens that are here and this board's time, I will refrain from that. very kind of you, sir. Any other comments from the board? I too want to thank everyone for going through this process, for the board, for the community meetings, support from not only those that make the assessments, but also those that work so hard to pull together the budget. We couldn't be more grateful. It's a very challenging process, and I promise I am not going to talk for

[01:27:50] the next seven minutes. Madam Clerk. Mr. Chair, we need a motion to adjourn and convene to a 15-day closed session at undisclosed locations pursuant to sections 2.2-3711A1 and 2.2-3712B Code of Virginia 1950 as amended solely for the purpose of interviewing candidates for the position of county administrator. The board is adjourning to its regularly scheduled Board of Supervisors meeting on April 8th, 2026 at 6 p.m. in the public meeting room, where it will come out

[01:28:26] of and certify the 15-day closed session at the beginning of the meeting. We need a motion. So moved. I hear a motion. I hear a second. Second. All right. All in favor? Aye. We are adjourned.

