



MACHINE TRANSCRIPT • CORAGGIO CONSULTING

Loudoun County Board of Supervisors, Business Meeting, Tuesday, July 2, 2024

Date Tuesday, July 2, 2024
Place Board Room, First Floor, Government Center, 1 Harrison Street SE, Leesburg, Virginia
Length 6h 28m (video)

- Official meeting video (county, Granicus): <https://loudoun.granicus.com/player/clip/7710>
- Agenda (Granicus): https://loudoun.granicus.com/AgendaViewer.php?view_id=73&clip_id=7710

Machine transcript (speech-to-text) produced by Coraggio Consulting. Not an official county record. Names and numbers may be misspelled; check the video at the timestamp before quoting.

Speech-to-text: whisper.cpp, model large-v3-turbo. Produced 2026-10-18. Each paragraph starts with its time [HH:MM:SS] in the meeting video.

[00:02:39] Good evening supervisors, good evening staff, and good evening Loudoun. I'd like to call

[00:08:06] to order the July 2nd, 2024 Loudoun County Board of Supervisors business meeting. This room has a hearing loop. If you need hearing assistance, please switch your hearing aid to the telecom mode. If you need other assistance, we have those, we have assistance for hearing aids available as well. Please see the clerk to request one. This evening, Ms. Bricksman And we'll do words of inspiration and lead us in the Pledge of Allegiance.

[00:08:35] Ms. Bricksman. Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you. It's a little bit difficult to be inspirational right now with all that's happened in our court systems and race for the presidency over the past week. But I will repeat what our president said last night.

[00:08:51] May God help preserve our democracy. Please join me for the pledge. I pledge allegiance to the flag of the United States of America and to the republic for which it stands, one nation, under God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for all.

[00:09:13] All right, I'm going to read the consent agenda. Listen real closely because there are a few things that have changed. item 1a appointments and confirmations with the exception of the ccjb nomination from the broad run district um miss glass would you like to defer that nomination to the next

meeting yes madam chair okay so we'll just defer the ccjb nomination from the broad run district to the next meeting item two administrative items report of July 2nd 2024 item 9 F

[00:09:50] 2024 housing advisory board annual report item item 9 being affordable dwelling unit program improvements through amendments to chapter 1450 of the codified owners of Loudoun County and the housing trust item 9c FY 2024 affordable dwelling unit advisory report item 9d planning Commission bylaws updates and presentation of the 2023 and report, item 10A, 2024 through 2025, joint committee work plan, item 10B, 2024, joint committee revised schedule of meetings, and

[00:10:26] having spoken to the Office of Supervisor Letourneau, we will, without objection, add Item 7, that is not what we're doing. Item 7, Legit Report 2023-0025 Defender Drive ZMAP 2021-0023.

[00:10:49] Madam Chair, objection to that being on consent. Okay, it would have been motion number two for approval. That request came from the district supervisor who would be a little late.

[00:11:06] If anyone ever asks for something to not be on consent, I always respect my colleagues' request for that. So item seven, Defender Drive, will not be on consent, although the district chair did make that request. All right.

[00:11:23] Any discussion on the consent agenda, which actually is where that statement should have went versus what just happened. But any discussion on the consent agenda? But Ms. Glass. Thank you, Madam Chair. I just wanted to say that I look forward to working with my colleagues on the school board as we review, discuss, and work through the 2024-25 work plan.

[00:11:46] We will discuss child care programs offered by LCPS in the county, the J-LARC study findings on school funding, the possibilities of a speed camera enforcement program in our school zones, and special education transitioning, just to mention a few of the topics on our work plan. Thank you, Madam Chair.

[00:12:01] Thank you. May I ask, on that committee, it's Ms. Glass, Mr. Crony, and Ms. Vice-Chair Bricksman. The discussion on special education transitioning, is that specifically the transitioning of people who are aging out of the school system and are not able to work?

[00:12:24] Is that the discussion? Yes, Madam Chair. Not only to work, well, those who can't work and to work, just in general. just transition transition okay all right so the okay so that so the discussion we were we were having at the at the retreat that's something you all are also doing on the on that yes all right that's good to know anybody else for the consent agenda seeing none all in favor say aye any opposed that motion will pass 702 is my understanding that mr. Sains and thank you very much that's

[00:13:03] it sure amazing yes mr. Sainz and mr. Letourneau are on the way yes sir yes we did not we did not catch the maker or the second of them I think it was miss Brixman chair Randall made the motion I made the motion yep thank you I made the motion okay what we whether we've been doing over the past couple of weeks I had a meeting yesterday with the NACO board of directors and committee chair The current president of NACO, Mary Jo McGuire, called the meeting.

[00:13:40] It is in advance of the annual NACO conference. And basically it was to review what we've done in NACO over the past year and some of the things that will be handed off. Now, remember, NACO changes their president every single year.

[00:13:58] And so the incoming person, James Gore, may have a whole different set of priorities. Although, as I've been very, very involved in the equity, many of the priorities will go through.

[00:14:16] Ms. McGuire's meeting was very, very well attended. And what was discussed was, one of the things that was discussed was the importance of elected officials actively listening to constituents in every way possible.

[00:14:32] So it was a really, really good meeting. I also want to announce that this weekend, on Sunday, in fact, delegation from Uruguay will be coming to Loudoun. And, of course, the sister county, sister city program is ran out of the Department of Economic Development, so Mr. Reiser's department.

[00:14:53] So they are doing most of the planning of the activities for the delegation. I know that they will arrive, like, really late, more like early morning, Sunday morning. And Mr. Reiser and Ms. Erickson have quite a few things planned for them to do.

[00:15:12] Of course, we have already voted to have, Mr. Kirshner, you might know this term better, the dual tanat wines. Is that the right way to say that from Uruguay? The wine, is it the, is it, put your line, how do you say that?

[00:15:32] It's tanat. Okay, listen, one more time. Do not help me unless you're helpful. Don't help me to be wrong. To be or to not be. It's tanat, it's tanat. So the dual tonight, I'm looking at you like, because you and I are the big drinkers, right?

[00:15:50] So I'm like, dual tonight, neither one of us drink at all. So Caleb and I are looking at each other like, how do you say these words? I'm telling you, that's other stuff. Anyway, so that discussion, and of course the interns from Uruguay have come here, and vice versa, we voted on that.

[00:16:15] And so some of those things will be discussed and how that relationship is going. When I, when we get the schedule, and we hope to have the schedule finalized by the morning, I will put the schedule public events on the four-year calendar.

[00:16:31] Right now, I know that the vice chair will be joining me for some things, and I think, Mr. Kirshner, you are going to be joining us for some things also, or at least just in case you are, We'll make sure it's on the FOIA calendar so that everyone knows, let's say that one more time, that the delegation from Uruguay is coming here this weekend.

[00:16:50] It will be on the FOIA calendar for public events, okay? All right. All right. My voice for a cause is one that I cannot believe I've not done already. It is the Wendy Hill Foundation. Would Eloise Wapeski please come to the podium?

[00:17:07] The Wendy Hill Foundation is a nonprofit that provides safe and affordable housing to low and lower-income individuals, families, and the elderly populations in Loudoun and Fauquier Counties. In 2019, the Windy Hill Foundation provided 310 units of housing in both Loudoun and Fauquier Counties. Windy Hill Foundation also provides no-cost on-site programs and services for all residents who live in Windy Hill Foundation communities. I think for us, one of our larger

[00:17:42] Maybe not larger anymore, older, one of the older ones, one of the first ones, is in the Middleburg area. And now we have them in quite a few places, but Middleburg was the first, and for a long time, they were almost all located there. So thank you for coming. I appreciate you being here.

[00:18:00] As a nonprofit organization, how does the Windy Hill Foundation manage its budget to ensure that the units on the properties are renovated when they need to be upgraded and kept up with modern living standards. Thank you, Chair Randall. Good afternoon, members of the Board of Supervisors.

[00:18:18] I briefly prepared my remarks because I have a four-and-a-half-month-old at home, so a little sleep-deprived. Okay, that's fine. And congratulations, but yeah, go with the remarks. So thank you for asking this question as I'd like to touch on the structure of low-income affordable housing in Windy Hill.

[00:18:36] Properties supported by low-income housing tax credits have rigorous real estate rental lease conditions to ensure that residents who live in these units meet the criteria. This requires that LIHTC homes be managed by property management companies that meet the standards set by Virginia Housing and other agencies. Windy Hill outsources this work to a property management company, and the rent generated by the low-income properties pays for the property management salaries, as well as maintenance staff, property insurance, landscaping, and other vendor contracts. The remaining funds are allocated to maintenance. As you can imagine,

[00:19:10] when you're collecting \$150,000 in rent for 14 homes for one year, a new water heater, HVAC unit, floors, or other needs can quickly overwhelm the limited maintenance budget. Since joining Windy Hill in November 2022, I've done a property audit of our oldest homes in Middleburg, which comprised 20% of the housing in Middleburg, and we did a reserve study to assess our property's needs. From roofs, plumbing, HVAC, flooring, and more, it was determined that over the next 12 to 15 years, we'll have \$12 million in repairs, with close to \$400,000 allocated just for 2024.

[00:19:47] My primary focus when I first joined in November 2022 was to build a strong team as I joined with just one other full-time employee. Now having a great team in place with four other members, my focus has been on sustainably funding the foundation through fundraising and grant writing.

[00:20:04] In November 2023, I secured a \$100,000 donation to support the renovations and refurbishments of our eight cottages on Windy Hill Road, which launched our story as Windy Hill Foundation, and I also secured a \$100,000 grant from the PATH Foundation for our Fockeer properties.

[00:20:20] My primary focus now is to raise funds to meet our ongoing needs across our different properties. That's absolutely incredible. You know, I don't think I knew that it was 20% of Middleburg's population, I mean, homes of Windy Hill homes. I did not know that. That's an astounding number.

[00:20:37] Kudos to Windy Hills and kudos to Kim Hart. One of the programs, you did mention that you, or I mentioned that there's programming offered to people who live in Windy Hill homes. It's not mandatory, right? It's offered.

[00:20:54] Correct. It is offered. And as the foundation evolved, it became evident that providing a roof over our most under-resourced citizens is the first step to self-sufficiency. and that offering resident services programs can and will prevent residents from becoming unhoused.

[00:21:09] We serve over 850 residents with 106 in Middleburg and over 600 in Brambleton and Sterling. More than just a housing organization, our three-person resident services team works with our residents delivering programming and referrals to resources to assist with five key areas of impact: health, nutrition, community engagement, education, and financial support.

[00:21:32] Our three-person resident services team offers and partners with these organizations that include programming such as after-school programs in Middleburg and Bramilton, with looking to start programming in Falk here in the fall, on-site food deliveries with the Chitana Foundation, Seven Loaves and a 16-week CSA with Bainham Foundation Farm, hygiene pantries sustained by Wakefield School, Atlantic Union and BCT, AARP Fraud Prevention webinars, Northwest Federal Credit Union programs alternating between Finance 101, which features how to build credit, how to balance your budget, and much more, as well as First Steps to Home Buying,

[00:22:11] which builds on the Finance 101 course and goes over how to obtain a mortgage, what a mortgage is, how to work with a realtor, et cetera. We also host bingo, painting classes, trivia, and potluck dinners. More importantly, however, our resident services team serves as a fundamental connection between residents and their individual goals and needs.

[00:22:31] As we depend on our partners for programming, our team can focus on providing the following services. Assistance with filling out various rental forms, including recertifications and obtaining verification documents, applying for social service benefits from county agencies and other nonprofit service providers, assisting with emergency financial requests, and Windy Hill education scholarships, such as the Horizon Nest to Wings applications, Developing monthly newsletters that cover the range of services available through Windy Hill, Loudoun County, and Fauquier County, and other various nonprofit partners in both counties.

[00:23:08] And addressing special needs as they are brought to the attention of a team member, which can be crisis intervention or acting as a liaison with property management. I have to tell you, you know, you said a lot just and I really do appreciate everything you said. One of the things that stood out is teaching about fraud prevention to our senior citizens because they are such, they're just a target for those activities, which I think is literally just borders on cruel, to be honest.

[00:23:38] But the fact that you guys are focused on that and so that they're not victims of people who just seek to hurt them, that's really, really important. So thank you for doing that. And my last question, of course, is how can people who either need your services, I want to volunteer to help, how can they reach you?

[00:23:54] Thank you. So applying for low-income housing is a complicated, time-intensive process. Applicants are required to provide six months of bank statements, different forms of ID, six months of pay stubs, any assets or debt that they have, child support documentation, and much more.

[00:24:11] Once their application is complete, the property manager sends it to their compliance department to ensure that the file is correct. Windy Hill has 10 properties within its portfolio, and each has its own application, with many applicants on each of our waitlists. It has taken some applicants five years before they are moved off of one of our waitlists.

[00:24:30] I encourage interested parties to call the foundation or reach out directly to our property management company, either via phone or by stopping by their office. Applicants can obtain hard copies in person or electronic copies via email. The Middleburg Rental Office is responsible for 116 units in Middleburg, the Plains and Marshall, whereas there is a rental office at the Brambleton property and one at the Sterling property.

[00:24:52] The property manager can also walk an applicant through any questions they have regarding completing them. And office hours vary, but they are generally available from 11 to 4 Monday through Friday unless they're meeting with vendors. Regarding volunteer opportunities, on the back of each infographic that I've provided you is information on how you can sign up to receive communications from Windy Hill and volunteer, as well as follow us on social media.

[00:25:16] I also provided in your packet infographics that highlight our work and our priorities as defined by our strategic plan. The first is the Windy Hill Foundation by Numbers, which demonstrates key facts and figures, as well as highlights four of our community partners. We also have our Windy Hill volunteer opportunities, including information on how you can volunteer with us and provides a few examples of the work that we do we also feature the Barton Place cottage renovation which represents the completed refurbishment work at one of our oldest properties in Middleburg the Virginia Lane HVAC project which illustrates a substantial

[00:25:52] 200,000 maintenance issue that occurred when our 19 year old geothermal system expired in June of 2023 and then I include the refurbishment work we have launched in Middleburg and how we're using a swing space to move residents to as we renovate and refurbish their homes and lastly I have a press release from our 2024 annual sip and soiree fundraiser and to save the date to our 2025 annual sip and soiree celebration which will take place on Thursday April 17th from 6 to 8 p.m. at Salamander Resort in Middleburg and it's a sip and soiree celebration yes I think it's been noted that Mr

[00:26:29] Mr. Kirshner and I need to come to the Sipper and Shoray celebration since clearly we know what we're doing with wine, right? Yes, you're all invited and all welcome to attend. I'm going to ask you one question that I didn't give you in advance. So what happened, well, let me change this how I ask it.

[00:26:47] Is a person who is without employment eligible to be in a Windy Hill unit? They have to have some sort of income. So if they have disability or Social Security, there has to be some income.

[00:27:06] So six months of showing some type of income. Correct. Okay, all right. Can I just say that was incredible, and this package that you gave us, that was one of the most, and I've done Voice for a Cause now for, I'm in my ninth year every meeting.

[00:27:24] That was one of the most complete reports I've ever received. It was fantastic. Thank you very much. Thank you for all you're doing. Now go see your baby. We appreciate you. Thank you, Chair Randall. Thank you. Mr. Hemstreet, do you have any remarks?

[00:27:44] Thank you, Madam Chair. I have four items for this evening. The first is that Virginia PACE is reporting the launch of the first CPACE project in Loudoun County. The project involves the Hotel Berg and is a nearly \$4 million CPACE loan to implement energy efficiency improvements to the facility in Leesburg.

[00:28:04] As you know, the Commercial Property Assessed Clean Energy Program is a financing tool. It allows commercial property owners to fund energy efficiency, water conservation, and renewable energy projects with minimal upfront costs. More information about the program is online at loudon.gov/slash/CPACE.

[00:28:22] Second, the next session of the program sponsored by Loudoun County to help fathers build skills to become even stronger dads begins Tuesday, July 9th. All fathers are welcome to attend the free 12-

week virtual program, which teaches parenting techniques and strategies, provides fathers with peer support and guidance, and allows fathers the opportunity to discover their own parenting strengths.

[00:28:44] A link to register is available at [loudoun.gov slash fatherhood](https://loudoun.gov/fatherhood). Third, while the upcoming 4th of July holiday is a festive occasion, I want to remind everyone to stay safe and remember that fireworks are more than just colorful lights in the sky. These are explosive devices that can cause serious injury and property damage if not handled correctly.

[00:29:04] Thousands of people are injured every year by fireworks. The safest way to enjoy fireworks is to attend a public display conducted by trained professionals. The Fire Marshal's Office has a comprehensive list of public fireworks displays in our area. along with safety information at [loudon.gov slash fireworks](https://loudon.gov/fireworks).

[00:29:22] And then finally, Loudoun County Parks Recreation and Community Services has organized multiple events to celebrate the 4th of July safely with lots of fun and fireworks. Tomorrow evening, the Lovett'sville Community Center and Community Park will host a celebration. There are also events planned on July 4th at Franklin Park and Claude Moore Park.

[00:29:41] Details on these events and more can be found at [loudon.gov slash celebrate independence](https://loudon.gov/celebrate-independence). Those are my comments this evening, Madam Chair. Thank you, Mr. Hemstreet. Supervisors, tonight we do not have any information items, and we do not have any resolutions. I know.

[00:29:57] But we do have Mr. Quintine and Ms. Marsh and, no, no, no, Ms. Marsh, Mr. Schultz, and Mr. Kalindo coming to us to talk to us about the DPZ work plan. There's a presentation. It is seven slides, and we are going to watch it. Good evening.

[00:30:20] Good evening. mr turner i'm going to go to you as chair of tluck for a motion after they finished presenting and we get all the questions to ask okay you're welcome okay we're ready when you are okay good

[00:31:00] evening i am dan galindo director of the department planning and zoning i also have with me tonight assistant director and zoning administrator mark stultz and assistant director jackie marsh as well so this is our third quarterly update for 2024 of our work plan i've been in front of you in january and april and are scheduled to come back in october as well as typical we have included all of the updates for existing projects in the work plan i will note that there were also two

[00:31:41] additions in table two which we will cover in a moment to recognize the gloucester parkway c pam which has been previously initiated by the board as well as also to go ahead and include the faa community process given that that's become a more increasingly involved project so we wanted to have that placed on here for the board track as well so in the zoam work plan as you all know we did recently complete the the prime soils zoam so that has been one of our two that came off the work plan

[00:32:16] in the past quarter we are currently working on items one and two on this work plan which are both dual cpam zoam projects the data center standards and locations we will actually update you on tonight for phase one and if you recall phase one was essentially created by motion when we first brought that item to the board for initiation to try to speed up a few key issues that we will cover phase two would look broader more at potential standards whether it's revising or adding things in the in the general plan and or in the zoning ordinance at a later date western

[00:32:54] Loudoun rule use and standards is currently at TLUC. We also presented that to TLUC earlier, well I guess it's a new month now, recently in June. We'll be bringing that item back to TLUC in July for consideration of some additional potential additions that some supervisors want to propose. So whenever the packet goes out you'll be able to see all of those requests as well. We're We're also in the background working on the what we're calling the ZOR adjustments, number

[00:33:27] one, the ZOAM, where we are trying to correct anything if there happen to be some either overt errors or things where we just want techs to sync up a little bit better that's used throughout the document. So we are currently working through that and hope to bring Aroria to you shortly.

[00:33:45] As you may note in the project plan, the intent is that we'll do at least one if we need to do more as we find more things. If we find more things, we will continue to add them to the bottom of the list so we can try to do corrections adjustments quickly so that we are keeping in mind any feedback we're getting from industry, anything that staff is finding as we continue to work with our new ordinance. The last project that is active at this time is the floodplain overlay district. B&D is largely leading this with a little bit of help from our zoning staff. You'll recall

[00:34:21] B&D had brought the board an item that laid out three options and they are pursuing option two, which is the one that you had chosen at that point, which would remove the floodplain as an overlay district and turn it into standards that need to be met. So that is ongoing and will be a relatively long-term project. For our non-historic community planning initiatives, the St.

[00:34:48] Louis Village Plan is ongoing. There was recently a community meeting sponsored by chair Randall and supervisor to crony I believe there's an intention to have another community meeting at least before the plan is taken back to the Planning Commission purchase the development rights program having it ranked as number two in TBD is a little bit off but it follows the board's most recent direction we are taking that to look as an information item largely in September for T luck to essentially learn about the documents that that staff has previously prepared which admittedly have been kind of on hold for about a year now, but for TLUC to see if there are any recommendations they want to make

[00:35:27] to the board about that potential program, anything that TLUC recommends would come back to the board for further discussion. We've talked about items 3 and 4. Item 5 is the general plan review CPAM that was also at TLUC recently for its project plan. The board adopted it on consent at a recent meeting. The rural historic village classification CPAM is also ongoing staff has been meeting with the Loudoun Historic Village Alliance about not specifically about that CPAM per se but about sort of the larger efforts

[00:36:06] and potential follow-up projects for village plans we have discussed the classification CPAM a bit but there I think our largely our conversations of focus have been a little bit more on a second phase after this will be completed the Gloucester Parkway CPAM as noted was was requested by Supervisor Turner in conjunction with I'm gonna draw a blank on the name of the development Belmont Innovation thank you Jackie so that is ongoing DTCI staff has been working with a consultant to gather necessary data we're on the model in the background and we are essentially waiting on those that

[00:36:45] information be finalized for review and to keep that process going the FAA community process is no update since really that we brought the last item to board and that that is continuing to to continue at pace the lueckett small area plan in the previous work plan i had associated a completion date with it and pulled it off of this one because if you recall our commitment to the board has been that when the st louis

plan is at the point where the board is formally considering it during that period of consideration is when we will bring

[00:37:19] the work plan for lucketts to the board so not knowing exactly when st louis will make it there I didn't want to just keep changing the timeline on that for now since it's not an active plan at this point. But that is still our intention that whenever we bring St. Louis, we'll bring Lockett's to kick it off, if that's the board's intention at that time.

[00:37:40] Whenever we had taken the general plan review CPAM project plan to TLUC, as part of the motion that TLUC made to recommend the project plan, was a request that staff add a countywide transportation plan review to the work plan as well and the language essentially said this put it behind anything not not affect the timelines of any other projects so this placement here although that will obviously be a very important project reflects that guidance for now as other projects and and that one starts one day it may be

[00:38:19] moved higher in the priority list compared to where it's at now but for now it's sort of just there to acknowledge that we have heard the board. We will be working on that soon, but that is not something that is active at this time. For our historic community planning initiatives, the landmark committee that makes recommendations to the National Park Service back in May has recommended that the Loudoun County Courthouse be listed as a historic landmark. It's our understanding that that was really the biggest hurdle to clear, so our expectation is that

[00:38:59] roughly December, it may be pushed to January, the National Park Service will move forward with accepting that recommendation. And ultimately, the Secretary of the Interior will sign officially to have that added to the list of landmarks. So there's likely, at least at this point, we're not aware of any additional steps. We're just kind of waiting until we receive that official notice back from the federal government. Beyond that, there are no significant updates to two three and four on this work plan and i'm happy to answer any questions that was very complete

[00:39:36] thank you so much supervisors questions mr crony thank you chair randall um and thanks dan for all your work so um during the primag soils discussion um it looks like that um one request was looking at existing conservation easements to calculate density for the cluster subdivision option you You know, that was something that needed to be looked at.

[00:40:04] Is that gonna be done in the adjustments for, I'm just wondering when that would be done and what project? - Yeah, I don't think there was a definite decision made on how that, or what project that would be included in.

[00:40:22] I guess the thought at the time was possibly in the rural CPAM, ZOAM, that it may be done then. I know that TLUC is discussing the scope and I'm not sure. Okay, I didn't put it in the scope, but if you could add it to the scope, that would be great.

[00:40:37] I just assumed that's where I think that's where staff was originally thinking, but, you know, it's open to however the board wants to do it. What do you think, Dan? I think it could go in either project, honestly. I think Western Loudoun might be the most appropriate place, but if the board wants to move at a faster pace, putting it in the adjustments is a possibility as well.

[00:41:01] Okay. My next question is about, we have a Gloucester Parkway CPAM, and I'm kind of wondering, I know we're looking at the countywide transportation plan as well. I'm kind of wondering if

we could fold all these in together into one comprehensive review of the countywide transportation plan, because I know Supervisor Glass is making a motion tonight on WAC's pool.

[00:41:21] It's on number seven. I'm sorry, go on. I thought you just talked about that. I was just going to note that the intent of project listed under 10 there would be to be more comprehensive Gloucester was already initiated and kicked off if the board wanted to add supervisor glasses concept emotion to to doing this that would be fine the issue is that for at least from DPZ standpoint I can't speak for DTCI I don't really have any more project managers to give for any more active projects right now so if something else were to get started I'd have to take something else off the list of what we're already working on but

[00:41:59] that that is the intention that number 10 would be a more comprehensive look overall okay And then I noticed that you were hiring a consultant to look at the locations of high voltage transmission power lines. What priority is that? So our intention as staff, and I believe Mr. Hemstreet talked about this at your retreat recently, is to bring the concept of CPAM for that forward after the August break.

[00:42:23] I'm not sure exactly if that'll be a September or October meeting. At that point, I think it would be a fairly high priority. Also, our initial intention is for, I guess we'll call it phase one, at least. The initial concept we're going to bring to you should be something that's relatively simple to do structurally.

[00:42:43] So it should not be something that has to take an extended period of time from a process standpoint. But I couldn't tell you an exact priority right now. But we do think it's very important for that to be something done quickly. Okay, thank you.

[00:42:59] Thank you, Ms. Hemstead. Thank you, Madam Chair. I could have brought this in up under item number four, but because this item in part relates to that one, I'll bring it up now.

[00:43:15] In item number four, you've got on page three of the staff report under phase one, properties owned by data-related company to the best of staff's ability, no explicit information could be obtained. the data center coalition reached out to me today and asked me to relate to you that they can probably help you identify any helpful information in that if you wanted to reach back out to them and we i don't think we made it explicit there we have worked with the department of economic

[00:43:51] development using their knowledge which may not be always immediately up to date but they usually have a pretty good feel for where those property owners are in the process of purchasing land when it becomes official things of that nature. Thank you. Thank you. Mr. Kirshner. Thank you, Madam Chair.

[00:44:07] I just have one quick question. It's specifically as to the Lockett small area plan. I know you put it on TBD for now. My recollection was we were kind of waiting for the rural historic classification to kind of run first, and then we were going to pick up Lockett's. Was that kind of the original thought process, or am I forgetting? So we have talked about that, I'd say, informally and during different briefings our last board-wide discussion had been in the the kind of step process i just laid out but again we're it's at the board's discretion if you if you would like us to hold until we we complete a couple other tasks until then at that time not necessarily i mean i i think

[00:44:46] getting some of that underway obviously i understand that st louis has kind of got to come first i kind of got into the hopper first and obviously deserves its look first and i think we'll probably learn a lot for luck it's as we go through that process as well um as as we jump from village to village and kind of do this, but have we done anything other than kind of put it on the plan? Has there been any kind of

preliminary work done for the Lockett small area plan specifically, or has it just kind of been put on the plan or we're just kind of really waiting to do anything? So we have had staff doing, and we had a conversation about this. I couldn't tell you which board meeting it was, but what we were calling windshield surveys, which is a term of

[00:45:24] art that we use in planning. So there have been staff going out to multiple villages, including Lockett's to do some of that initial work just as a basis beyond that there I don't believe there's been any significant work towards a Lockett small area plan okay the only other thought I had is obviously you know at the appropriate time obviously our offices are very involved in this and and as we get into these small area plans specifically Lockett's being first on the list for for the Catoctin district my office would obviously love to be involved up to you know where it would seem appropriate just to help engage the community and whatnot.

[00:46:00] I think it would be very, very helpful both to staff as well as at my office. So thank you. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Kirshner. Mr. Turner. Thank you, Madam Chair. As I remember the – I'm sorry.

[00:46:17] The Gloucester Parkway Extension came about with Belmont Innovation Campus, and that's been settled at this point. Do you know if the Gloucester Parkway extension is integral to the 1.3 million square feet that we approved for that location?

[00:46:33] Is that still an integral piece of their solution, or is it completely separate? Because if it's separate, I'm perfectly happy to roll it into the countywide transportation plan update and take that off your plate. So I believe, and I don't know if Jackie would have enough knowledge or anyone else in the room, I believe they have the ability to get 1.3 million square feet without the CPAM.

[00:46:53] it's their preference that having that road removed allows them to have a more optimal layout of buildings on the site. So that was their preference at the time to be able to put a building where the road would otherwise go. Good. Talk me into it. This is sort of peripheral, but it's also sort of related. We've seen these updates periodically here, and always in the update, we're confronted with the list and staff shortages and DPZ that you're trying to accommodate.

[00:47:25] And we have two options in every one of these discussions. We can shorten the list to accommodate the size of the staff that DPZ is dealing with, or we can take some out-of-the-box steps to try and accommodate and get the bodies that you need in DPZ. And I don't want to get into a large budget discussion here, but we seem to see this every time we see this update that we're staff limited.

[00:47:48] And I really would like to take some aggressive moves to solve that problem out of cycle, if we can do that. I don't even know if it's possible to deal with any recommendations you have. So I will say, and it's noted in the item, with the new budget starting yesterday, we did receive approval from the board for essentially a new program manager for a division, three senior planners that would go in that division, and there's approval starting in January for two more senior planners.

[00:48:13] So bringing that on will definitely increase staff's capacity, for sure. And I would also say that we have eight of these, some of which are listed twice because of their size. in process. That is a large, I would say, a large number of projects. I think it partly depends on if the board would like to see significantly more projects beyond that going, then we would need to look at what additional capacity we could bring in somehow. So even when we bring on the additional eight FTEs, this is still a full list? Yes. So there would be ultimately five senior

[00:48:50] planners added, and there are, I think, 21 projects currently listed. So we would not be able to do all 21 at the same time right now, even with the new staff. Okay, and really quick, a little time I have, what's the prognosis?

[00:49:06] Are we going to be able to fairly easily hire these eight positions? I mean, you tell me. I would hope so. I think we have, a lot of planners look at these kinds of projects as some of the more fun stuff to do relatively. So I think we tend to get a little bit more interest when those positions are out there, whether it's community planning or special projects.

[00:49:26] So I'm cautiously optimistic. We are also, in a couple of weeks, the Virginia Planning Association Conference is in Williamsburg, and we are sponsoring a table where we can put notice out about all of our different positions to try to drum up interest there as well, which is not something we have typically done, but given the number we have, we're gonna just try to see what we can do to entice people.

[00:49:50] Thank you very much. Thank you, Mr. Turner. Mr. Letourneau. Yeah, I just saw that overall capacity issue. Is the issue sort of, there's always a spear on these things, and there's always management essentially that has to approve items coming to the board and you know quality control all those kind of things and there's only so many managers is that also kind of part of the limiting factor with this yes it is i i think we are probably just as much limited by the capacity of the directors to keep up with all of these things and keep in those conversations as well as project managers right especially since right now so many of these are kicking off all at once because y'all

[00:50:29] y'all thankfully we're willing to put things off for that last year or so of getting through the rewrite yeah so now we're we're starting a number of things and i you know i really do think 10 is important the ctp review i think we're and we didn't do a great deal of work on it in 2019 because we were trying to get the comprehensive plan done so i think we're really overdue on that and there's probably a lot of those types of issues that we're going to encounter when we get into it so i hope we can kind of limit i understand we have a few specific issues there's been a couple things that i've wanted to bring and i've kind of held back because i felt we're going to do

[00:51:04] the overall review and i don't want to you know slow that down by having to do a one-off um i'm bringing it up a little bit reluctantly here but i don't know how else or when else to bring it up because it is number eight um there was an issue that was alerted to us today by mr hempstreet regarding personnel on this contract uh that we have or subcontracts and i guess my question maybe for the chair or maybe for mr hempstreet how can the board discuss or address that issue i did express a concern to you by email today about that um and i i don't know process-wise

[00:51:42] how to kind of proceed i don't know if it's actually a closed session issue which was was what i wanted to it is not a closed session issue okay because of the contract issue so i wasn't I wasn't sure if that was, I do know. Well, then, I think that, you know, listen, I think that it's not a closed session issue, and that would have only been because it's a contract matter, but if it's not, this is how we discuss it.

[00:52:09] I do, because, well, because, can you stop this time for a second? Because, you know, trying to have a conversation like one-off with every supervisor is not effective, And it's like the game of telephone, right?

[00:52:27] So what you say to one person, and you just say the exact same thing to another person, it might be heard differently. So we have to do it together, and maybe even as a small, short committee as a

whole, just so we can get on the same page. But I don't know any other way. And I think that's okay to do it like that, because sometimes we have things that we need to figure out how to work through.

[00:52:47] So that's the answer. So like right now? Well, you have 40 seconds right now. Chair Randall. yes board could ask us to come back with an item specific it would be a pretty short item but that would be yeah well so timing wise though you know i don't think we want i'm not asking for the overall project to work i think we need to reevaluate that one piece of it to stop i i just think we need to look at that how about this colleagues without objection i'm going to give mr letourneau two more minutes to describe the issue yeah what the issue is and then maybe later on we can go

[00:53:24] deeper into the issue is and i'll be completely neutral how i say it there's another resident of birchwood who i think it's fair to say has been an activist on this issue who has now been added to the subcontract team for purposes what appears to be more publicity related so i question that function for a subcontractor and then i think it's very inappropriate for that individual to be paid by the county having essentially led the effort to bring the issue to the county's hand and i would ask of all of you to think about this in a different context if we had a group of citizens come in and ask us to work on something will we then turn around and hire one of them to go work

[00:54:00] on that issue I don't think we would I think we would try to separate a little bit of church and state on this and you know act accordingly so given that I think we should revisit the subcontract because not only are those potential individual I also question the professional judgment of adding that given the board's discussion so what I would propose is that we halt that that subsection and perhaps find an alternative way to do the larger design process public outreach and that's probably what I would put on the

[00:54:37] table but I open to other ideas and then what time what would it do with the timeline I don't know that would be a staff question so I believe I think mr. So I believe that we've determined if we were to remove the subcontractor completely, we would have to go through the RFP process.

[00:54:58] Starting from scratch, the RFP process typically takes at least six months. But where that slots in compared to the other moving parts of this, Josh might be able to better answer right now. Peters? Aye. So good evening. One of the options that we've talked about just along the way for various reasons is that we could actually decouple the two groups.

[00:55:18] So the design group, which does the nuts and bolts work, would proceed as scheduled to start in September. And then the larger regional project group, which is run by the subcontractor, ideally they run together, but it could be decoupled.

[00:55:36] What would that do to the schedule? It would depend on how much time between now and figuring out who else does that work. Because the work agreement that we have with our main contractor, it's structured to include the subcontractor's work. So we'd have some things to figure out.

[00:55:51] Quick, in my last 15 seconds, is it possible to go back to the contractor and simply ask them to identify a different subcontractor? Yes. And that may allow us to move quickly. You could. So that, as a first step in a lot of time, that might be what I would want to do is go back to the contractor and say, hey, we have a concern here.

[00:56:10] We can make that as part of, you can put that as Mr. Turner makes the motion. We can make that as part of the motion. Yeah. Can you incorporate that in the motion? We can help you with that if you

want us to. Well, Madam Chair, I think that discussion took us way down the line of trying to determine a change in the course of action we've already directed staff to do.

[00:56:32] I could roll it into the motion, but we're biasing it towards the solution that's been proposed, and I don't agree with the solution that's been proposed. Okay, so just give me a sec, because we're actually not in the committee as a whole right now, and how about we just figure this out right now, because here we are.

[00:56:48] So, put us in the committee as a whole for 10 minutes, okay? So, no, he's not going to make a motion. No, put us in the committee. Without objection, can we go to the committee as a whole? How's that? Okay. Are you saying, this is a question, I honestly don't, it's a question.

[00:57:07] Are you saying that you believe we're finding a solution before we've determined whether there actually is a problem? So, you don't, you're respectfully disagreeing with Mr. Lieutenant that there could be an issue. But I also think the problem has some erroneous information in the statement of the problem i wasn't really comfortable with mr hemstreet's memo so just to be clear if and i completely understand the position if there's a conflict of interest in hiring a subcontractor under vionaire who is a resident mr lintner is a resident of birchwood so we've already made the decision to hire a resident of birchwood to be a subcontractor miss mcculley is working for a loft

[00:57:46] group and i believe has been working for a loft group we just found out about it in may but i think she has been working for a loft group and so the and the constraint we put on mr lintner is You can be involved in the process, but you can't be on the design group. I think that same proscription should apply to Ms. McCulley.

[00:58:02] You can be involved in the process, but you cannot be on the design group because you're an employee of the loft group. Conversely, the other position, which I think is what Supervisor Lieutenant just said, is it's inappropriate to use county dollars to pay the subcontractors, both of whom are residents of the community that is affected, in which case we need to reverse our position, take them both out of the process whereby they're receiving county dollars through Vionair as a subcontractor.

[00:58:33] My understanding is that would kick off another RFP if we want that function to be performed by someone else. I think it's very likely. Yeah. But if we decided not to have that function performed by anyone else and we reversed our position, took them off of the Vionair subcontractor status, It would not kick off an RFP.

[00:58:57] Vionair would be the contractor that we've hired, and they would participate as citizens in the design group without any county dollars involved, correct? I think I lost, honestly, I think I lost track. Okay, suppose we back up and we just say-- I believe you're confusing the loft group with the Vionair and some of what you're saying right now, which is part of what's confusing.

[00:59:18] Okay, where we are right now is a loft has been added as a subcontractor to Vionair under the RFP that we let out. Under the work agreement. Under the work agreement. We don't have an RFP yet. Right, so we added them as a sub. And then in the last meeting, we said they can participate as a sub on that function for the county as a subcontractor, as the Aloft group.

[00:59:40] Mr. Lintner is a resident of the group, of the community group that's affected by the work that we're doing. And so we said you can be an advisor, but you can't sit on the design group. We actually did a

step more than that. So we structured the work agreement so that he would be an advisor on the regional project group.

[01:00:02] He would have no involvement on the nuts and bolts design group, nor would he participate as a resident. So he would have a complete separation. Correct. So what I'm suggesting is at this point we have two options. One option is add Ms. McCulley with the same constraints and restrictions that Mr. Lintner has and to perform the same role and receive county funding through Vion Air as a sub or reverse our previous position and remove both the Aloft Group and Ms. McCulley.

[01:00:32] well, she's not in it yet, but remove the Aloft group from that role, they would go back to being residents that would then participate as residents in the community, and we would not have the subcontractor arrangement under the work plan for Vionaire. Those are our two options. But then, Mr. Turner, okay, so you're saying move them, move the individuals, but the subcontractors remain the subcontractors without those, without...

[01:00:55] There would be no subcontractor. There would be no... They are the subcontractor. And it's a subcontract group of one? Right now, well, it's the Aloft group. as a subcontractee, a subcontractor. Chair Randall. Yeah, I'm a little, yes. If I may clarify, so Supervisor Turner did ask a question off of my original memorandum, which I did respond to the board, but I responded shortly after the meeting started.

[01:01:20] So I believe there's a third option, which is what staff offered as the second alternative. So we interpreted the board's May 23rd action to approve Mr. Littner and a loft group's participation as Mr. Peters just indicated.

[01:01:45] What we offered as option number two, or turn number two, is that we would narrowly, we would go back and re, well, we would exclude essentially Ms. McCauley's participation as a subcontractor to a loft because she lives in the design group area.

[01:02:01] The concern that we have raised is that right now, if she remains a member of the loft group, she is precluded from being a part of the design group. So that's not our concern. The concern is that she is the co-founder of the Loudon Aircraft Noise Mitigation Committee.

[01:02:20] She also has a podcast called the Noisy Skies Podcast that she creates and produces, which are completely outside of these processes. So as a member of the project team, she would have access to all of the information that the ALAF group gets as a subcontractor, and we can't control how she utilizes that information within these other groups that she's a part of, which is completely separate from the county's process and a design group.

[01:02:50] And so what we have suggested as an alternative is for the board, if you desire to, to modify the direction you gave us on May 23rd to preclude her being a subcontractor to the ALOF group. If you did that, the ALOF group would still be the subcontractor for communication.

[01:03:09] Mr. Littner would still be involved under the circumstances that Mr. Peters outlined. So I just wanted to clarify that. That's what we were trying to say. Okay. Mr. Letourneau? Yeah, and I can live with that option. I think there is a difference in terms of these individuals, their level of technical expertise that they bring to the project team and whatever other enterprises they may have outside.

[01:03:34] So I think I could live with that as an alternative. I also do think, though, that we're sitting up here in real time trying to figure out solutions to this. And in my day job, I deal with a lot of consultants.

The first thing I would do is call them and say, I'm not happy with this.

[01:03:51] Fix it. It's really on the primary consultant to come up with a way to make the board and the county happy. I can't imagine that anyone would have looked at this situation, especially given the discussion we just had, and thought that we wouldn't have concerns.

[01:04:08] So I still would want to probably have that conversation with them and just make sure that they understand and see if they have any other options. But at a minimum, I think what staff outlined there is an acceptable solution. Hey, Mr. Seins.

[01:04:25] Hello. How are you? I'm well. How are you? Good, good, good. Thank you, everybody. Forgive me if this question was asked already and you walked through this, but I have an issue or a concern with, you know, as Mr. Letourneau just raised, that we had this discussion the last meeting or two back.

[01:04:42] When was it discovered that Ms. McCauley was part of this organization being a subcontractor and why was that not brought up before? I don't know if the staff was aware of it or we just discovered this after the meeting, after the fact.

[01:04:59] Because that raises some red flags for me, too, on integrity issues. If the organization knew that she was a subcontractor or whatever and didn't mention that while we were having those conversations or brought it up afterwards. So can you guys walk us through that timeline?

[01:05:14] I won't remember the exact dates, but we found out after the board's May 23 meeting, and that's why we ended up sending the memo through Mr. Hemstreet's email. So we'd have found out on our own accord, or did somebody raise it to us?

[01:05:33] The subcontractor did tell us. After requesting, they made their request for who all should be included on all project emails, and I recognized this other name and asked why this other person was being included. So we raised it.

[01:05:48] So we did raise it. Okay, not them. Not in a direct way, no. Okay, yeah. That puts my antennas up a little bit as well because after having that conversation, they were on the call in the meeting, right?

[01:06:06] I believe the gentleman was joining us over Zoom, over the WebEx. They weren't on the WebEx, but they were monitoring, yeah. Yeah, I don't, yeah. That raised some red flags for me. If they didn't mention that before or right after the meeting say, oh, we have possibly another issue here or we'd like to bring this to your attention, we had to discover that ourselves.

[01:06:28] So thank you for catching that at least. So before I make my comments, does Mr. Turner need a motion yet? So I want to be really careful about questioning anyone's integrity at all. I just think that's something that we, you know, I do agree that it should have been said, but I also think communication errors are made, overlooks are made, and I will never jump first to they have bad integrity, because that's such a damaging statement to individuals and to companies, so I want to be really careful about doing that.

[01:07:01] I do appreciate the staff catching it, and I do think that sometimes, even if it's just a perception issue, it's important for us to address it, even if it's just a perception issue and so i i i think that the the solution we have raised here and we have reached here today is a good solution um and i kind of have this same opinion for for for you know every time we have these discussions i'm always on the side of let's just

be safer let's just be more transparent all those times every time so i appreciate you all catching it very much supervisors without objection

[01:07:38] We're going to come out of the committee as a whole right now, and if there is no other motion needed for the motion that Mr. Turner will make, we will go on, and the next speaker will be Ms. Glass on the top, on the item. Not on the FAA, but on the item.

[01:07:58] Ms. Glass, you had your light on before. Yes, it wasn't on this discussion regarding the FAA. Well, this discussion's over. We just came out of the committee as a whole, so on the item. Okay. So thank you, Supervisor Tricone, for mentioning my BMI because I do have a CPAM that I would like for us to look into regarding Waxpool Road.

[01:08:24] Now, my knowledge is that, okay, yes, it goes to DPZ, but DTCI would be doing most of the work. Is that still? It varies by project, but for projects that are, I'd say, mostly to solely transportation-related, DTCI does do a lot of the legwork and preparation and may even produce, you know, half, three-fourths of the staff reports.

[01:08:58] Typically, in those cases, DPZ's work is to, in some ways, I don't, I hope this isn't taken negatively, but almost to kind of manage DTCI kind of as a consultant to make sure that they're on track with deadlines, timelines. We just, we, you see how many items we have in front of you so often, we're more familiar with the process of getting things in front of the board and doing it at the way everything, everything is preferred.

[01:09:23] So it does involve us, but it is a larger lift on DTCI's behalf. Yeah. Is it possible that it could be incorporated into the 2024 Comprehensive Plan review? I would encourage us not to do that just because we've already delayed the start of that a bit to get things rolling. It was actually when we were discussing that at TLUC that the motion to add the CTP review came from. So I would say if there are any individual requests that they either

[01:09:59] be consolidated into that or considered individually until we get to the larger review would be my recommendation. Okay, thank you. Mr. Kirster and Mr. Sainz, if you all are going to whisper, I need you to learn how to whisper. Thank you very much. Thank you. Thank you very much. Mr. Bricksman. Thank you, Madam Chair. I was a little surprised to see in April April 2026, deadline's not the right word, but completion date for the data centers, phase two, standards and locations, phase two.

[01:10:40] Did the consultants that we agreed to contract with, have they been helpful? They have been helpful to the limited extent we've engaged them. Not just that project, but our overall workload, I'll admit I was probably a little overly ambitious kicking off this many things at once and thinking we could do it all quite so smoothly.

[01:11:00] okay so that's as much on me as anything else okay so but phase one will only do specs and conditional uses basically as far as changing standards and so am wise yes okay okay um and then but phase two does not include any cpam elements correct it could it's it's partly a matter of what additional guidance we want to give to data centers. So regulations in the ordinance would have to be followed whether someone's coming in for a site plan or if they're rezoning at this point. But if it's a legislative application for rezoning, there might be other policy guidance we

[01:11:40] want to give them that we cannot require in the zoning ordinance. That's interesting. Okay, so there may, because I was curious why phase two was under CPAMs as well, because I didn't, I thought

once we do the phase one, we're done with the CPAM for data centers, but what you're saying is there could be additional visionary guidance that would be in phase two, kind of? Yes. Okay.

[01:12:02] Interesting. Okay. I did have another question about the idea of adding another CPAM or CTP change would seem difficult to me to be able to do another CPAM, given this list, to complete another CPAM, a new CPAM in 18 months.

[01:12:33] A lot of that, the timeline largely depends on the scale of the change being contemplated. Okay. If it's something that's going to touch numerous policies, numerous chapters, it's more involved. If it's changing one map, changing one line, which some of our CPAMs have been, those can be shortened.

[01:12:50] Okay. If a new CPAM came up that had to be completed in 18 months, would any of the things on the CPAM list be delayed? I will say yes, because at this point, the only remaining project manager we would be comfortable using, we are kind of reserving for the power line.

[01:13:16] Okay, it was a yes. I have 10 seconds for one more question. Is the FAA thing really a CPAM? It's not a CPAM, but this work plan is not just for CPAM. It's just for community planning initiatives overall. Got it. Okay, thank you. Thank you. So I'm going to associate myself with Mr. Kirshner's comments.

[01:13:36] I think that speaking specifically around the villages, I think that sometimes it might be a little bit easy to forget that, especially in our villages, not everyone has e-mails.

[01:13:54] And so if we're e-mailing something out, not everyone's getting what we're getting. I still don't quite understand what windshield, I don't know what that means, like windshield discussions, I don't know, sounds like you guys are doing a drive, but I don't know what you guys are doing on that one, but I, you know, I understand that St. Louis slowing that down will affect Lockett's and others, but I think that Ms. Stiltekirson is right, we will learn a lot from the first one, because St. Louis is kind of, it's the first one, so it's, we'll see how it goes.

[01:14:24] two questions one do you believe um given the staff's um the fact that we we have a lot going on and we want to respect the staff's um limited time and when we don't want to keep adding things on to the staff do you believe a consultant for for all the small area plans would be helpful not just one here one there not one else but just for the small area plan process and if not do you you believe that the staff that we have on board has had experience doing small area plans in the

[01:15:01] past. I know that the communities, our villages are very, very interested in this. And is that Ms. Skinner in the audience? Manly Skinner is here today. And it's so important that we get them right. And so do you believe that would be helpful to have a consultant or you think we're fine or what do you think? I do think it would be helpful and it is something that we've actually been working on pursuing in the background the i would say that the history the history of consultants on dpc projects has not been very good overall and that's i would say largely because

[01:15:38] well if it's something that starts getting into zoning and the fact we have multiple ordinances always throws people off but it's also really really specialized work i mean you all are experts and you know what you're doing and not everyone can do that so it's not always easy to find consultants especially as it's specialized work and then you say a small area plan is specialized on already specialized work so yeah i can imagine they're not hard they're not easier to find all the time yes and it's not just finding but it's it's you have to find the right consultant that is going to handle um those conversations with the

community and that that back and forth well and it's it's not something that you're just average consultant is going to do probably at

[01:16:17] the quality that i think the residents of loudon expect and that's kind of what we run into in the past my second question is when i looked at the the villages some villages that have been on that list before for reasons unknowns to me and I did go back and check fell off the list of villages do you know why that might have happened there of the 12 that are listed in the plan I don't believe that there was one we're gonna talk about later because I don't want to freak everybody out right well the villages that came off um with the 2019 plan were those that were in the suburban policy area so Ashburn and Arcola came off yeah but I'm not aware at this moment I'm out of time

[01:16:55] so we can talk about unison at another time okay all right all right thank you that was a lot and we stopped and had a whole meeting inside of a meeting and you all did a great job as always so thank you very much appreciate it okay we do have time to keep it moving and do item number oh I'm sorry I guess we're not moving yet to get a motion and we don't need a motion inside of a motion so would you make a motion mr. Turner yes ma'am I move that the Board of Supervisors approve the current list of projects listed in tables 1 2 & 3 on the Department of Planning and Zoning work plan is identified in the July 2nd

[01:17:34] 2024 Board of Supervisors business meeting action item second I have a friendly I'm sure related to the item I'm gonna let him open and then go you for the friendly mr. Turner which like to open I have no opening you have no opening would you like to say what the friendlier sir yeah it's related to the discussion we did so I will make a friendly supervisor Turner's motion which is I move the board of Supervisors direct staff to modify the FAA community process to mitigate aircraft noise within the AIOD project to ensure the project team That project team membership excludes community members from those areas selected for design group participation

[01:18:08] Beyond existing employees of the last Olaf group already approved by the board. Okay, it's okay Will you read that as a second of the motion we read the second part again the second? Yes And full disclosure. This is in consultation with mr. Hempstreet to ensure that project team membership excludes community members from those areas selected for design group participation beyond existing employees of the ALOF group already approved by the board.

[01:18:40] Okay. So that would allow the existing individual. Okay, okay. I understand what you're saying. I understand. Okay, yes, yes. Anybody else? Before I give it back for a closing, I would just say one more time that no one has questioned anyone's integrity at all.

[01:19:01] And I say that because I think that happens easy and fast, and just because you want to correct something doesn't mean something happened that was wrong. And so we should always be careful about doing that. So that's all I will say. Do you have a closing? All in favor, please say aye. Aye. Any opposed?

[01:19:17] That motion will pass unanimously. Madam Chair, I'm abstaining. Okay, that motion will pass 801 with one abstention. Okay, we're going to go on, because we still have some time before we get to public comments. No, let's just go to the next item.

[01:19:38] There's no, oh, no, no, the next item could take a long, well, it's not five, it's not, it's public inputs, it's six, not 530, this is not resolutions. Item four, yeah, item four.

[01:20:09] Well, now you, yes, we're ready when you are. This is going to be fun. And there are, there is a motion on this item, on the, Mr. Turner, it's the blue paper on the dais.

[01:20:40] Good evening, Chair Randall. Good evening. Good evening, supervisors. My name is Abdul Jafri. I'm the county's project manager for the data center standards and location, location CPAM and ZOM.

[01:20:58] I'm joined here with Dan Galindo, director of planning and zoning, and my colleague Mark Holland, also at planning and zoning. We're here this evening to present the proposed amendments and revised project plan for data center standards and location CPAM and ZOM phase one.

[01:21:16] To start, we'll outline the purpose of tonight's presentation. We'll then examine the scope of work for Phase 1. Next, we'll dive into the proposed comprehensive plan and zoning ordinance amendments.

[01:21:33] And the main focus of the presentation will be on the proposed changes. We'll also review the project timeline for both Phase 1 and Phase 2. Following that, we will discuss the scope of work for Phase 2. And finally, we'll conclude with our recommendation.

[01:21:51] but tonight it's all about phase one. So the purpose, we're here to provide an update on this work and to seek your input and guidance on the proposed amendments to the comprehensive plan amendment, CPAM, and the zoning ordinance. Additionally, we're requesting your approval of the revised project plan and a resolution of intent to amend the zoning ordinance as outlined in attachment one and two of the staff board. At the February 6, 2024 board business meeting,

[01:22:30] the board directed the staff to split the project into two phases. Phase one has limited scope and consists of two main components, a comprehensive plan amendment and the zoning ordinance amendments. The CPAM includes both text and map amendments. The text amendments is specific and surgical in nature, designating data center as a conditional use in all place types where they are currently identified as either core or complementary use. The CPAM map amendment considers revision to the place type boundaries where appropriate to incorporate existing and pipeline data centers.

[01:23:10] In another word, the proposed map amendment changes the place type designation in some areas to better align with what is on the ground. The CPAM map amendment also replaces the urban employment place type. The ZOM text amendment designate data center as a special exception use in all zoning districts where they are currently permitted by right. It does not include a mapping component. Additionally, the ZOAM does not apply to properties governed under 1972 zoning

[01:23:42] ordinance. This slide outlines the place types where data centers are currently designated as core and complementary use. Consistent with the board's direction, staff recommends designating data centers as a conditional use in these five place types. These text amendments are included in attachment three of the staff report. The following six slides presents the CPAM proposed map amendments. We can come back to them during discussions if necessary. We also have an

[01:24:15] interactive map for these areas. Staff identified six sub-areas in the eastern part of Loudoun County where revision to the place-type boundaries are recommended based on the concentration of existing and pipeline data centers. Additionally, as a housekeeping amendment and in line with sound planning practices, staff identified opportunities to correct inconsistencies in the designation of adjacent properties unrelated to data centers. I will discuss these areas in this slide and in

[01:24:51] one more slide. The first area we examine is identified as Belmont Ridge. This area includes parcels on both sides of Belmont Ridge Road. The existing place type designations is suburban mixed use

depicted in pink on a map to the left. Staff proposes changes changing the place type designation to suburban employment in blue as depicted on the map as area one to the right.

[01:25:20] The proposed changes aligns the approved and pipeline data center development with the suburban employment place type. Additionally, point number two addresses the Goose Creek Club as a housekeeping amendment mentioned earlier. The current place type designation for Goose Creek Club is Leesburg JLMA employment as shown in light pink on a map to the left. Staff is proposing revising the place type designation to Leesburg JLMA residential neighborhood shown in yellow and depicted as area

[01:25:57] two on the map to the right to align with the existing residential development that's already been constructed. The next area is Route 28. This area consists of the parcel situated west of Route 28. It also includes three parcels east of Route 28 and north of Knox Boulevard and it also includes a parcel to the north close to Kankora. The existing place type designation is suburban mixed use as shown in pink on the map to the left. For point number one, staff recommends changing

[01:26:36] this designation to suburban employment as shown in blue on a map to the right for areas circled in red. This change is intended to accommodate existing and pipeline data center development. It is important to clarify that redesignating this area to suburban employment is not intended to promote additional data center uses. It also does not restrict the ongoing operation and growth of existing employment and industrial uses. The board will retain full discretion to assess each

[01:27:09] application on a case-by-case basis. For point number two, the area depicted as two on the screen commonly known as Dallas Retail Plaza, staff recommends retaining the suburban mixed-use designation. Due to its current road network and general layout, this area has the potential to evolve into a true mixed-use development as envisioned by the 2019 general plan. The next area identified as NOACs, generally located east of Route 28 and south of Route 7. The

[01:27:45] existing place type designations include primarily suburban mixed use, but also includes suburban neighborhoods, suburban compact neighborhood, and suburban employment. The area's strategic proximity to Route 7, as well as surrounding residential development, offices, retail establishment, restaurants, and medical clinic make it an ideal location for major mixed-use development as envisioned by the 2019 General Plan. Data center is not compatible with these uses.

[01:28:17] Therefore, staff does not propose any adjustment to the existing place type boundaries, but acknowledges the presence of existing and pipeline data centers on both sides of Knox Boulevard. The area identified as Arcola West is bounded to the north by Arcola Mills Drive and to the west and the east by the communities of Wellesford, the Grange, and Briarfield estates.

[01:28:44] The existing place type designation is suburban neighborhood depicted in yellow on the map to the left. However, a legislative application for a data center use and a substation has been approved in this location. Additionally, North Star Boulevard and a transmission line runs through this area.

[01:29:04] For point number one, staff is proposing to change the place type designation to suburban employment for areas shown in blue on the map to the right to accommodate the data center use and substation use.

[01:29:19] For point number two, staff does not propose revising place type designation for area known as Hiddenwood Assemblage, which is subject to an active legislative application. Redesignating existing residential neighborhoods to suburban employment in close proximity to data centers may set a

precedent in the county, potentially undermining the county's ability to guide development according to its long-term plans.

[01:29:48] This area identified as Arcola East consists of areas east of South Fork Broad Runs, north of Dallas West Boulevard, south of Arcola Mills Drive, and east of Loudoun County Parkway, which includes a portion of Old Arcola.

[01:30:09] Existing place type designations are suburban employment and suburban mixed use. Point number one, we're proposing a change for areas marked as one on the screen from suburban mixed use to suburban employment. This change aligns with existing and pipeline data center development and other employment uses in the area.

[01:30:31] A portion of this area also falls within the airport overlay districts LDN 65 or higher, where residential development is prohibited. Point number two, as mentioned earlier, as a housekeeping amendment, we also propose changing the place type designations for the area marked as 2 on the screen from suburban employment to suburban resident neighborhood.

[01:30:57] The current and the proposed uses in this area are predominantly residential with some commercial activities which are more consistent with the suburban neighborhood designation. The area identified as metro area depicted in blue on the screen is the only area designated in the 2019 general plan as suburban employment place type.

[01:31:22] During the 2022 TLAG discussion series, it was recommended to the board to remove the urban employment place type from the 2019 general plan and replace it with the urban transit center place type. Earlier this year, the board approved a data center CPAM and ZOAM project plan, which called for the removal of urban employment place type.

[01:31:45] In line with the board's direction, staff is proposing to remove the urban employment place type and expand the urban transit place type. Excuse me, urban transit center place type. Looking ahead, should the data center industry evolve in the future, this area, which is in close proximity to Ashburn Metro Station, has the potential to redevelop into a true transit-oriented development.

[01:32:10] And the urban transit center place type is the appropriate designation to enable such a transition. So these were the CPOM map amendments. This slide outlines the proposed text amendments to the zoning ordinance.

[01:32:29] Consistent with the board's direction, the staff is proposing to designate data center as a special exception use in the zoning districts where they're currently permitted by right use, which includes industrial park, general industry, mineral resources, and heavy industry, also known as Mr. Hyde.

[01:32:51] This slide shows the overall project for the CPAM and the Zoo on phase one and phase two. Phase one began in February 2024, when the board approved the project plan. Here we are in July with an update and proposed amendments.

[01:33:10] Following this meeting, based on the direction from the board, we anticipate proceeding the Planning Commission public hearing in September. Phase one could be approved by the board as early as December. While we're working on public hearing phase one, work continues on phase two.

[01:33:31] Phase two is anticipated to take approximately 14 months complete. Phase two includes, and this is two questions earlier, Supervisor Birxman. Phase two includes amendments and updates to the 2019

general plan focusing on land use, site and building design, sustainability and policies related to energy, water, air, light and noise for data centers and substations.

[01:33:59] We will also address conflicting policies within the 2019 general plan, particularly between chapter 2 and chapter land use and chapter 5 the economic development. Phase 2 of the CPAM does not currently include a mapping component. The zoning ordinance amendments will align with the proposed changes to the comprehensive plan. The zone work will examine the existing data center and substation use specific standards in the current zoning ordinance. It will determine if additional standards are needed such as

[01:34:34] noise attenuation measures, building and site design requirements, landscaping, and establishment of data center uses within existing structure. Finally, our recommendations is that the Board provide input to the proposed amendments to the 2019 general plan and the zoning ordinance. We also recommend the approval of the revised project plan on ROYA. This concludes our presentation. Staff is happy to answer any questions that you may have. Thank you for your attention. Thank you, great job, thank you so much. Supervisors, questions,

[01:35:13] questions. I can't believe Mr. Turner left the days of this moment of all times. Mr. Crowley. Thank you, Chair Randall, and thank you for that presentation. So I'm just wondering, you mentioned in the item that the county has received multiple requests for additional data centers submitted through the legislative land development pre-meeting process is this considered a pipeline data center no that is not considered as pipeline data centers that it's the the figures outlined in the staff report

[01:35:52] it includes existing and pipeline data center pipeline data centers include active legislative applications applications that are at the site plan or at zoning permit stage but has not does not have approved building permit the pre-op meetings that you're referring does not is not part of the pipeline data centers okay so you don't have the square footage so we wanted to obtain that square footage is a little bit challenging because some of these applications are an addition to an existing data center some of them at the pre-op stage does not identify the

[01:36:28] ultimate square footage on the site but we have some rough numbers for you in terms of acreages. So the numbers that our analysis, based on our analysis, there are 12 applications that would be considered as pre-op meetings. And in terms of acreages, if you add the sum of the entire applications, the 12 applications would be around 200 acres of land. However, we don't have the square footage at this point. And then, so I wanted to ask why, if you could look at the area north of Arcola Mills Drive and south of

[01:37:05] Evergreen Mills Road for that mapping exercise. I noticed you just looked south of Arcola Mills Drive. Sorry, well your question is? I'm looking at the area north of Arcola Mills Drive and south of Evergreen Mills Road.

[01:37:28] So the map shown on the map. Into our mapping if that could be included Is your request that we consider making changes there or just enlarging the map to show it? Yeah, making changes there I can go through it with you but it is an area with data centers and I think it could use a review of the place types So if it's something that currently has a designation for a place type that would allow data centers that's to this point has been consistent with the guidance that we were given

[01:38:04] So if you're asking for us to do that separately, I would encourage that be part of the discussion tonight just so we can have clarity for what's moving forward to public hearing. Okay. If I'm following what

you're saying. Yeah. I'll have to come back because my time is almost out. Yeah. Thank you. Okay.

[01:38:21] Actually, I wasn't following what you were saying either, to be honest. It's an area that wasn't included in these maps that I think needs to be reviewed for place types. Ah, okay. Mr. Letourneau? It appears as though some of these are splitting parcels in half, some of the proposed changes, and I'm kind of curious why we wouldn't use parcel boundaries.

[01:38:47] I don't think we want a parcel in two different place types. So in certain cases we do, and if you have a specific one you'd like us to go to, we'd be happy to. Largely, with the current plan as well as the efforts we did here, we tried to use essentially what we call hard boundaries, roads, creeks, things of that nature that don't move.

[01:39:06] Parcel boundaries can change with a BLA, a subdivision plat at any time. So even if we did try to follow those lines now, that doesn't mean in a month or a year from now that those lines would still coincide. So that's why we don't typically do things just at the parcel boundary level. Okay.

[01:39:23] We had some discussion at a previous meeting, but you weren't there, about what sort of outreach and stakeholders and sort of that kind of thing with this. Can you just kind of walk through what the plan is for the overall ZOAM? So for phase one, at the board's direction, it would go straight to public hearing with the planning commission.

[01:39:44] There would be no additional outreach, and that's to try to have action by the board as fast as possible, essentially. For phase one? For phase one. For phase two, it would be a more typical process where there would be some outreach at a minimum, including a town hall meeting to just put the ideas and thoughts out there, proposals out there for any general public feedback.

[01:40:05] We would be contacting certain committees that we've got listed in the project plan. We can pull up in a second. But more informational, sort of one time to go present, hear any questions and comments. Not an ongoing process.

[01:40:22] This is not what we're proposing at this time. On phase one? On phase two. On phase two also. Okay. Okay. I mean, you know, I sometimes think we do too much of that sort of process around these, and it takes too long.

[01:40:40] So I'm okay with, you know, but we obviously need to understand what the overall implications are of what we're doing. So we, especially in phase one with that truncated process, we're going to have to be really diligent about using the public hearings for those stakeholders to understand that that is their opportunity to come and talk to us.

[01:41:00] You know, there isn't going to be some side group going on somewhere where they can provide input. Like they've got to come to the hearing, you know, it's a public hearing for a reason and bring forward whatever specific concerns exist. So that might just be an extra level of communication that needs to take place because we're kind of deviating for how we've done some of these in the past.

[01:41:20] Okay. Because one of my colleagues taught me the term paralysis by analysis. Mr. Turner. Thank you, Madam Chair. My understanding of creating something is a conditional use.

[01:41:36] The conditional use in the plan and the comprehensive plan flags it and says, if you want to use this use, you're going to have to come to the board. And then the specs and the zoning ordinance is the zoning backbone, the ordinance backbone that backs up that conditional use. Do I have that

understanding correctly, or are there other implications of making something a conditional use that I don't know about?

[01:41:56] So when we were developing the plan initially, that is largely true, but it was not a guaranteed one-to-one ratio. It could be a use that had to meet a number of use standards, a significant amount, not just a few, to be handled that way as well. So it could still be by right if it met 10 or 12 different things, whatever number we would ultimately decide.

[01:42:17] But largely, it's roughly equivalent to a specs. So if it says conditional use and there's no performance standards associated with that conditional use, then it's still going to require the specs in the zoning ordinance. So at this point, the intent would be for this use to be a specs regardless.

[01:42:35] But for other uses, I mean, the uses listed in the general plan are general by nature. So they don't get to the level of specificity of the individual zoning uses. But it could be a placeholder. So if we establish very specific performance standards for data centers in the future, they would be listed under the standards for that conditional use.

[01:42:57] It says it's a conditional use, and you must meet these standards in order to meet that condition. They would not be, if you're setting use standards, they would be in the ordinance for that use. They would not be listed separately in the plan. Okay. There might be some, again, some general statements that talk about the need for those kinds of uses, but it would not be the specific standards themselves contained in the plan.

[01:43:21] Okay. So if I heard you right, the conditional use, it may just be listed as a conditional use with no other verbiage, but it could have other verbiage. It could. I wouldn't put it right in that table, but we could definitely have other verbiage in the plan that's associated with that use.

[01:43:39] Okay, but the conditional use is a flag to say you can't just plop in here and not consider anything else. Yes, that was the intent. Okay, thank you. Madam Chair, if I could comment on that. Sure, yes, Mr. Rogers. Comprehensive plan is the best defense you have for your legislative land use decisions, So as much clarity as you wanted to give in the comp plan for a special exception would be your legislative decision.

[01:44:06] I think what Mr. Galindo was talking about is conditional performance zoning, rather, and that you might have in an ordinance what the specific performance standards would be. That would be a conditional use that they could do by right if they met those performance standards.

[01:44:22] So the performance standards would be in the zoning ordinance? That's correct. And the conditional use would basically be saying, go see the zoning ordinance for the specific performance standards for that conditional use? If it's performance zoning, yes.

[01:44:39] If it's a special exception conditional use, then clarity in the comp plan helps defend the board decision whether or not to approve the special exception. Okay. Thank you. Ms. Brooksmen.

[01:44:57] Thank you, Madam Chair. I don't know if anyone else is going to need a second round, but I might. While we're on conditional use, can specifying the type of power be a conditional use? Can that be a condition?

[01:45:14] No. Okay. Not a use-specific standard, no. Okay. Is this it as far as looking at the maps, or are we to anticipate other map adjustments before this goes to public hearing? So there would be no

adjustments from these maps beyond the guidance given tonight.

[01:45:33] So if the board motion adjusts them, we will reflect that. but we would not independently propose anything else. Okay. Is it possible to have a place type that's commercial business that does not allow data centers at all? Yes. Okay. Have we considered that?

[01:45:49] I believe suburban commercial doesn't include. Suburban commercial. Okay. But you're not suggesting anything go to suburban commercial in this mapping? No. Suburban commercial was mapped only along Route 50, and it was actually a place that created when the board was reviewing the plan initially.

[01:46:07] Okay. And I agree with the motion that's on the table from Supervisor Turner. We've had a couple conversations about it. However, and part of the reason is I know suburban mixed use, you can, I fear that making something suburban employment from suburban mixed use actually does encourage more data center development.

[01:46:33] And I know that you would need underlying, it matters, the underlying zoning matters. But we've had applicants come in here and say, but your general plan says this, so this is why you should approve that application. So that's the big reason why, one of my biggest reasons why I'm going to support the motion that he has on the table.

[01:46:53] But one thing I do want to be careful of, though, and if you could please go to the Arcola East map. I do think the motion says don't change place types, but I do think if there's a change to a suburban neighborhood, we should keep that place type change, if that makes sense.

[01:47:18] Okay, you guys got that. Okay, great. And then just kind of a legal question for Mr. Rogers, if that's okay, Madam Chair. If we could go to the NOACS map, please. Sure. I just want to make sure, a special exception. Okay, so, and this is projecting a little bit.

[01:47:35] If someone comes in on this property, it's going to be left suburban employment, right? So it's potentially by right data center. But if they come in under the specs process, we need a legal denial.

[01:47:51] We need a legal finding for denial. So could a legal finding for denial be, well, we have suburban compact neighborhood here and suburban neighborhood here, so we are going to deny an application of specs here? Yes. The answer to that question, if a data center is too close to residential communities and would have impacts on those and couldn't mitigate those impacts sufficiently, that would be a sufficient basis for denial.

[01:48:15] Okay, I just want to make sure our specs are strong enough. I'm out of time. Thank you, Madam Chair. So, Supervisor, I want to remind you that we have a motion, so everyone will have a second round to have a discussion if they do a motion. So, Mr. Turner, did you share your motion before the email?

[01:48:32] Okay, so everyone has had time to see Mr. Turner's motion. For the purpose of just public, I will say that Mr. Turner's motion, there is no difference up until the meeting, the language, voice of business meeting action item.

[01:48:48] He adds following amendments to that, but everything up to that is the same. So there's not a, there's an addition to the motion with some amendments that he wants to add. I just think I need to say that. So, because this motion with the amendments didn't go out to the public, but all the front, everything in the motion is still in the motion that did go out to the public.

[01:49:08] So, let's just make sure that that's said. But to Turner, because of that, and because it's just the amendments, I don't really feel the need to go to the package motion before I go to your motion anyway. So, let's just go to your motion, and then we'll have the discussion on your motion.

[01:49:24] Mr. Letourneau, do you have a friendly to his motion? I don't think I'll need to make it, but I think it's going to adjust. Okay, all right, so let's go. And to that point, Madam Chair, I've made some changes that I'm going to audible here that I think capture both Supervisor Brissman and Supervisal Attorney. Okay, let's hear it. Let's see what we've got going on.

[01:49:41] I move that the Board of Supervisors approve the revised project plan for CPAM 2024-0001 and ZOAM 2024-0001, Data Center Standards and Locations Phase 1, and the resolution of intent to amend the zoning ordinance as provided as attachments one and two to the July 2nd, 2024 Board of Supervisors business meeting action item with the following amendment to the phase one project plan.

[01:50:04] The proposed comprehensive plan map amendment should eliminate the urban employment place type and replace it with the urban transit center place type and accept all staff recommendations that change an existing place type to suburban neighborhood.

[01:50:24] No other amendments to the place type boundary should be included in the future of public hearing materials for phase one. Motion is made, is there a second on the motion? Second. Motion is made, and seconded Mr. Brother Bricksman. Opening, Mr. Turner. Thank you, Madam Chair.

[01:50:39] I want to make sure staff understands what I'm saying. So everywhere you recommended changing a place type to suburban neighborhoods, so Goose Creek Club 2, there's two others that we identified. We want you to include those changes and actually make those changes to suburban neighborhood, but everywhere else, don't change the map other than the urban transit center change. The detail behind the research that you've done is extraordinary, and I really appreciate the staff's work. This is an excellent reference piece going forward as to where data

[01:51:13] centers are and where they could be. I felt pretty strongly we want to keep the existing place types, even in places where we show data centers growing in suburban mixed-use neighborhoods. That reflects prior board decisions, I think, as you comment in the item, and also reflects the discrepancy between the zoning ordinance and the comprehensive plan that we had for four years.

[01:51:37] And that's okay, because it says this is where data centers actually went, and we made a decision or a by-right data center ended up in a suburban mixed-use neighborhood, but that's not ideal. This is still the vision for where we want to go by 2040.

[01:51:52] And if those data centers suddenly got torn down, we would intend for that to become a suburban mixed-use neighborhood. And I completely agree with Supervisor Briskman. I thought there were some empty parcels in the new suburban employment neighborhoods that could encourage more data centers. And I also thought that holistically it sends a message to the community, the public, look at all the suburban employment.

[01:52:14] We really want to build more data centers, which is not the message we want to send. So I think the underlying staff work, all the work on the zoning ordinance is excellent. Don't change a thing. Just with the maps, make the change for the urban transit center that we talk, urban employment, get rid of that. And then everywhere you recommend it, go to suburban neighborhood.

[01:52:31] We agree with that change, and let's keep that in the map. Otherwise, the map stays the same. Thank you, Madam Chair. Okay. Ms. Umstead. Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you to staff for all your hard work. I fundamentally disagree with the outcomes, but that's not on you.

[01:52:47] That's on us up here. The problem with these changes is we are moving away from a strong business economy and more towards a bedroom economy.

[01:53:04] We are replacing land that is currently zoned for commercial uses, especially data centers, with residential. Perhaps the most obvious is where we're taking the Leesburg JLMA employment place type and making it the Leesburg JLMA residential neighborhood place type.

[01:53:24] Back in the 80s, Arlington County had one of the lowest real property tax rates in the region, probably the lowest. And the reason was they had a really strong business community and a really strong business base.

[01:53:39] And Loudoun was a residential community without much business development. I think the mistake we're making is we're beginning to move back towards that residential-based economy.

[01:53:55] And when that happens, given that residential development pays far less in taxes than it demands in services, whereas commercial development pays more in taxes than it demands in services, we are going to have to increase even more so taxes on our residents whether they pay that through their property taxes when they own a home or through their increasing rents when they have to pay the pass-through taxes that the landlord has to pay. I think we're going to end up doing

[01:54:33] what none of us want to do which is making loud and less affordable uh so i will be voting against this thank you mr kirschner thank you madam chair um i have to say i agree a lot with what supervisor um said um there's no surprise i mean i think we kind of know where each of us largely lie now i i will be honest i i actually appreciate a lot of what was said in terms of the mapping motion mapping amendments i think that makes a lot more sense it's a little more surgical

[01:55:05] and how we're approaching this whole data center kind of reevaluation that we're going through here and whatnot. What I think I fundamentally disagree with, and remind me, the text amendment changes that are being proposed, did that come out of TLOC or is that something staff is moving the industrial park, general industrial and mineral resources from by right to specs?

[01:55:29] They came out of the motion when the project plan was considered by the board in February. Yeah, that's what I thought. My recollection is I did not support that. And I just think that we have a fundamental, I have a fundamental issue with that. I think what you ultimately do when you start changing everything from buy right to specs, you completely discourage and destroy.

[01:55:48] It takes just so much for industry to pick up and come in for a special exception that basically I think we're just any new data center development. And I get the design standards. I understand doing this surgical approach in our mapping process, but quite frankly, I think we are signing the death knell of any future data centers in this county, which, again, this is going to take a couple years to get through.

[01:56:16] But I agree with the economics that were presented by Supervisor Umstead, and I continue to be concerned. Now, again, I continue to say I hope I'm wrong about this, but time will tell, and we shall see. but I'm not going to support this work plan as it's currently laid out, even though I would agree with some aspects of it.

[01:56:36] Thank you, Mr. Kirshner. Mr. Crony. Thank you, Chair Randall, and thank you, staff. I am happy to support this work plan, and I do have three parcels that I thought you could look at. Do you want me to give you the parcel numbers? Since you said I have to do that now, and it would be moved to suburban neighborhood, just like what the motion says.

[01:56:58] We do have an interactive map, if that makes sense, that you can... I don't know. I mean, they asked me to do the parcels now. You're trying to add the parcels right now to the work plan? Yeah, between our Colon Mills Drive and Evergreen Mills Road. Is that what you've asked her to do?

[01:57:15] So my understanding is that she wants to make some, propose some changes that would be on the map. If we want to make those before we go to public hearing, then yes, now would be the appropriate time to do that. Changes can be made at public hearing by the Commission and Board as well, but it's at the Board's discretion.

[01:57:31] It's a, yeah, no, it's not, well, it changes the motion. It changes the motion. And so you would have to either ask for a friendly or make a new motion because it literally changes the motion.

[01:57:47] So how about you, I don't know, I mean, let the staff know what they are so then we can know how big of a change those are, and then we can go from there. Okay, so it's 161-304-929, 161-269-137, and it's split, Just so you know, that parcel split.

[01:58:08] Can you slow down and go back to the first one? I'm sorry. Okay, 161-304-929, 161-269-137, and that is split between suburban neighborhood and IP or mineral extraction. And then 20-229-8575.

[01:58:29] Mr. Galindo, Mr. Rogers, Mr. Hemstreet, Mr. Crony, separate time for a second. What if you requested that the planning commission looked at these so that the motion doesn't change? Because the staff has done a lot of work on the maps we have right now.

[01:58:50] And so I don't know how we change the maps at this moment because we haven't seen them, the changes you're talking about. Most importantly, the public has not seen the changes you're talking about. So I'm not disagreeing or agreeing what should happen.

[01:59:08] And I'm saying that doing this at this moment when no one has kind of seen them and been able to assess them is hard to do. But we could have the Planning Commission take a look at those. Mr. Galindo, is that a possibility? It's definitely a possibility.

[01:59:27] Go on. I was just going to say, if the supervisor wants to give that direction to ask the commission as a whole or your commissioner to bring these up then, they can definitely be considered then and we can talk about it with the commission at that time. It's just a matter of preference. Point of order, Madam Chair.

[01:59:43] Yes, sir. If we're going to direct staff as a body to change the proposed map under the motion, then we need a motion. We're not doing that right now. That's what. Then I'm not going to accept an individual supervisor tasking the staff to change the map without a motion.

[02:00:00] It's not appropriate. That's what I just said. We just directed staff to take to the Planning Commission these proposed changes without a motion. I don't agree with that. Okay. But it's. Okay. So if Mr. Crony adds a friendly to have her planning commissioner bring this to the planning commission, that would be a friendly you could accept?

[02:00:23] I won't accept a friendly. Okay, but... If she wants to make a motion, that's fine. She can make the motion, but she can't make it a friendly. It has to be a separate motion that she makes. Okay. Mr. Hemsworth, do you want to say something? Not really, Chair. Okay. But what I will point out...

[02:00:42] Mr. Hemsworth, do you want to change seats, trade seats? Absolutely not. I think you do a fantastic job. I will point out, or I wanted to point out, Mr. Rogers and I were discussing this, any board member can make any request to the Planning Commission or to this body that the board member wants to make.

[02:01:02] I think the issue on the table is what is the board's direction for staff to proceed to the Planning Commission with. Right. Yep. Yes, that is true. So, Mr. Turner, and we're still, I've stopped at the time.

[02:01:21] I understand your thoughts and your concerns. I do. It is within her rights and power as a supervisor to do what she's asking to do. And then it's within the Planning Commission's right to take it up or not take it up. This doesn't change the maps at this time.

[02:01:37] It doesn't change that. But it is the only way for her to get her issue on the table. Mr. Crony, with due respect, next time come talk to me so we can do this a little smoother and we can make this a little smoother process. I don't know that we need a motion for what she's trying to do.

[02:01:58] So let me change that. I do know she doesn't need a motion for what she's trying to do. With respect, Mr. Turner, it's not required. Okay. Will you start our time again, please? Thank you. Mr. Crony?

[02:02:16] Okay. Then can I go with another question? Sure. Okay. Sure. So grandfathering, are you going to be looking at that with this whole ZOAM, CDAM? Grandfathering is a board decision.

[02:02:33] It's not something we've contemplated at this time. Okay. That's the only other question I have. Okay. Thank you. Thank you. Mr. Letourneau. Yeah. So first of all, just on that last discussion, the only thing we need to watch with those specific parcels is whether they're in the AIOD, because I think one or more of them may be that would be moved to residential.

[02:02:52] The appropriate thing to do would be to flag that for the appropriate planning commissioner who can then raise it and ask staff to do an analysis and so on. But we would obviously need to look at that. Okay, so big picture on this. This is a motion for us to essentially move this forward, but there still will be a significant public process, as we discussed, public hearings at the planning commission, allowing the planning commission to work on it, and the board of supervisors.

[02:03:19] So if there are specific concerns about areas that are being proposed for changes, my hope is that those will come forward but big picture i think the board is trying to be responsive to where the community is um there are you know this is an interesting topic because it affects both land use and fiscal issues since data centers are such a significant source of revenue in the immediate future we have quite a bit of data center development that's in the pipeline using buy right existing entitlements vested interests and so on so the amount of data center

[02:03:54] revenue in this county is going to be growing over the next several years. Now, there are impacts related to power and power lines and ability to serve and all that that we're dealing with. But to my colleague's point about the fiscal issues, I take what they're saying. I think what we're trying to do is essentially use this process to try to diversify the economy more than it is now. You know, that said, there's

also more than one way to get at those long-term fiscal concerns, which is to also address the spending side of the equation and not just the

[02:04:29] revenue side. And I have said that several times that I think, you know, our years of eight and a half percent budget growth are going to have to start compressing for a lot of reasons. And one of them is if we're going to stop, if we're not going to have the amount of data center growth that we're having in the future, then as we've been having, then it's going to make those conversations much more difficult. So I would advocate for that as well. I don't have immediate concerns about this you know from a fiscal standpoint uh because of the amount of stuff that's in the pipeline and so on but i also think that that we have to start managing what land is

[02:05:04] left carefully and you know from our own standpoints from the conflicts that we see with residential communities i was kind of reflecting on when you look at that map of the arcola area just how difficult that is i'm going to address a little bit of that later my my board comments but you know you're kind of seeing those impacts and so we really do need to get a handle on that and this process is designed to do that. Thank you sir. Ms. Brooksman. Thank you Madam Chair if I might ask staff a quick question. Could you go to the Arcola West map please? Absolutely. I just

[02:05:41] want to make sure I heard correctly so given what was added to the motion the Arcola West right okay so this will stay yellow correct yes okay okay I just wanted to check so I am really excited about this now finally coming to fruition we studied the data center mapping we started the data center mapping with economic development in 2021 I think staff has done a yeoman's job

[02:06:18] I think T look and supervisor Turner for all the hard work on this I'm not quite sure how those maps match up with what economic development produced at that time. I want to go back and double check, but I think we're in a pretty good place. I think that changing some to suburban employment, to the place type that you discussed, maybe in phase two, to the place type you mentioned earlier, commercial something, might be something to look at. The one that you mentioned before, that's commercial, but doesn't allow data centers. I'd like to look a little bit more

[02:06:56] into that, but I don't want to change anything right now. I want this to move forward. Right now, the commercial tax base is 49% of our revenue pie. When our esteemed Mr. Reiser came on board, we were at 19% of our revenue coming from commercial tax base. His goal was then to bring it to 23 percent of our commercial that was the goal to make commercial revenue that just less

[02:07:30] than 25 percent of our tax rate right we are now at 49 percent so i agree with supervisor letourneau that this is a step toward diversifying our economy which we desperately desperately need to do and i'm hoping this is that this is a step forward and signaling that we really want to do that in this county. And you will have to go through a special exception process if you want to build a data center in this county, pretty much no matter where the land is. I will differ a little bit with my colleague, Supervisor Letourneau, on our budget. When our county stops growing at 35%,

[02:08:08] then maybe we can stop growing our budget. But right now, I think it's been a necessary evil so to speak that we need to catch up and being the fastest growing county in the nation you should expect that the budget's going to grow to a certain extent and again really really happy with this did you say that this could be done by december by chance is that what you said so we usually build in two public hearings and two work sessions in project plans but if the commission didn't send it to a work session if the board

didn't send it to a business meeting they could both be acted on at the public hearing. Thank you. So I want to just say that we should not act as

[02:08:48] if the data center is the only commercial taxes we can have and we do have. It's not true. They do pay the most in taxes. I will say that I would rather give us the most in revenue. I will absolutely say that. But I want to read some of our vacancy rates. Our office vacancy rate in Loudoun County is only 7.5%. Our industrial vacancy rate is 1.4%. Our retail vacancy rate is 3.0%. And our flex vacancy rate is an astonishing low, 1.2%. We are turning away other businesses, other commercial entities in Loudoun County because they cannot afford

[02:09:27] to buy land here because the data centers are buying all the land up. So data center And, you know, we need our data centers. And we do have, as Ms. Platerno said, quite a few data centers in the pipeline already.

[02:09:43] But if we do not make an absolute effort to allow other businesses in Loudoun County, we will be reliant on one source of commercial revenue. It is never a smart thing to be reliant on one source of commercial revenue.

[02:09:59] and we should not act like other commercial revenues don't pay any taxes. And sometimes when the numbers are thrown out, what we would pay start my time. If we didn't have data centers, we act like that they don't pay the revenue as well.

[02:10:14] Well, they certainly do, and we shouldn't ignore that. But if we're going to diversify our economy, then we have to make other businesses have the opportunity to purchase land, to purchase space, to purchase area, and right now the data centers are pushing that out to such a large degree that we are losing businesses in Loudoun County that would like to come here.

[02:10:42] And so this is not against the data center community, which has done great things, not just for our economy, but actually have been very involved in the nonprofit community and just the life of Loudoun County. We know that. We say that. We appreciate that.

[02:10:57] but you cannot strangle out every other type of business for just data centers alone. And so saying that we are hurting the commercial tax base just is not a factually correct statement. We're trying actually to diversify the commercial tax base, and that's what we're doing here, while still appreciating our data center community, while still knowing that we have data centers in the pipeline.

[02:11:23] We don't have to remain 65% of the world's data centers forever and ever and ever. We can bring other businesses in and still be a strong, financially strong county, and we are.

[02:11:39] And so diversifying your commercial tax base is a smart thing to do. It doesn't mean it would go into residence. These are just not factually correct statements. So let's try to make sure we have kind of an all-in effort on our businesses, our retail businesses.

[02:11:59] Let's definitely get some more shopping in here. Let's definitely do that. Our retail businesses and all of our other type of it. That is what we're trying to do here today. I do very much appreciate Supervisor Bricksman, Supervisor Turner. Thank you so much for all the work you've done. Data Center, you've become the Data Center almost person in the entire nation, to be quite honest.

[02:12:19] And thank you, Buddy Reiser, for all the assistance you have been, you've given us over the past years as well. As always, as always, staff, you all do a wonderful job. This is a fantastic presentation today.

So thank you. Dan, has this gentleman been before us a couple?

[02:12:37] No, he has not. I did not think so. I did not think so. You did an excellent job. How did it feel? You doing okay? Absolutely fine. I'm doing okay. All right, then. You did an excellent job. Thank you. Thank you. Mr. Turner, would you like a closing?

[02:12:54] Thank you, Madam Chair. Just very briefly, I want to just recap, make sure that we're all on the same page. So the board approves your recommended plan with changes to the map as follows. Remove urban employment and make it all urban transit center. And we accept all the map changes you proposed that convert existing place types into suburban neighborhood.

[02:13:16] Yes. Correct? Correct. and then all the other aspects of the proposed changes outside the mapping we've accepted. Okay, that's what we want. Thank you, Madam. All right. The motion was made by Supervisor Turner and seconded by Supervisor Rixman. All in favor, please say aye.

[02:13:32] Aye. Opposed? Nay. That motion will pass. 702 with Supervisors Umstead and Kirshner voting against the motion. Thank you very much. 7-2-0. 7-2-0, thank you. 7-2-0. 7-2.

[02:13:48] 7-2. It is 6 o'clock. Actually, it's a little past 6 o'clock. If we have any other people that signed up for a public speaking, will you bring those names up to me right now, please? And I'm going to call your names.

[02:14:06] I'm going to call your names, hopefully three people in advance, so that you know that you are next. When you come to the podium, please state your name. And if you'd like to, you can state where you live. When your time is up, please yield the podium to the next speaker.

[02:14:23] Supervisor, are you guys good? Are we good still up here? We good? Okay. Okay, let's keep going. Let's keep it moving. We take a break after public speaking. I'm not going to have people wait before we take a break. Just a second. Oh, I forgot about that.

[02:14:38] We have to take a break because we have to test the system. So supervisors, whoa, whoa, whoa. Let's, no, because closed session is going to take longer than five minutes. How long would that, Ms. Gramell, five minutes? Closed session will take longer than five minutes. So let's just take a regular five-minute break, and let's keep our five-minute break to ten minutes, please.

[02:14:58] All right. Thank you. Let's take a break. I don't think so.

[02:15:21] I'm almost militant with my time. I am often back on this day of sitting by myself.

[02:22:32] If you can communicate with the speakers, we can.

[02:22:40] All right.

[02:23:24] We're going to start. Okay, so if you are hearing me because you're watching the meeting and you'd like to speak to us remotely, our remote Telos system is having issues.

[02:23:43] So as of right now, we couldn't hear you if you want to speak to us remotely. I sincerely apologize for that if you're listening to us right now. We're going to try to move you over to the WebEx system so we can get you on in that way, but we can't hear you otherwise.

[02:24:03] And so we're going to go through the speakers who are in the room, and then by then we'll see what's going on with the TELO system or if people can be moved to the WebEx. But if you came to speak to

us virtually through the phone, we just can't hear you right now.

[02:24:21] And it's just, it's our fault. It's our fault. It's a glitch on our end. Sincerely, sincerely apologize. We will try to get it fixed. If we do not get it fixed before we finish this part and go on break and all that, then if we get it fixed before the meeting and you're still on, no matter when in the meeting, we will go back and have you speak then.

[02:24:45] If that doesn't happen the next meeting, I will put you on to speak first at the next meeting. So one more time, we apologize. So I'm going to call names in the room. I'll call you three persons, three people in advance.

[02:25:01] Please come to the podium closest to you. You have two and a half minutes, and when your time's up, please yield the podium to the next speaker, and please introduce yourself. Our first speaker is James Russell, followed by Cherique Marza, Sarah Marza, Ishael Marza, and Faral Marza.

[02:25:22] Good evening, Mr. Russell. Good evening. I recognize all of you by now. I've come up here quite a few times voicing concerns in our community pertaining to the genocide in Gaza, the trying in my own limited way to reflect the pain and fear felt by Palestinian-Americans in Loudoun County that I've spoken to.

[02:25:55] I've spent the last month thinking of what I can say. I swear to God, I'm just out of words. I don't know what else to say. I don't think I'm going to think up some sentence to tell all of you. that hasn't had every reason to already cross your mind.

[02:26:13] We come here today, we listen to business about data centers, you boast the revenue stream. I was telling Supervisor Briskman this week, I've got countless videos on my phone of Palestinian women, women in Palestine, mothers arrested for liking and sharing Facebook posts.

[02:26:38] 70% of internet data comes through Loudoun County. And if I am supposed to accommodate what I see in those videos, then you are asking, I dare say insisting, that the residents of Loudoun County subsidize the violations of human rights.

[02:27:01] I have to believe that Loudoun County is better than this. When I look at the work history of some members on this board, places you worked before you worked in the Board of Supervisors, It's easy to doubt what else we are capable of as a county other than carrying water for surveillance and violence around the world for the purpose of financial enrichment.

[02:27:37] I hope that's not what the county is about. I hope that is not our legacy. We suggest strongly this board vote on a resolution we will provide calling for a permanent ceasefire in Gaza and divestment. and when you are ready to stand up for what's right, we assure you we will stand with you all the way.

[02:27:58] Thank you for your time. Free Palestine. Thank you, Mr. Russell. So, hello, sir. It's your whole family. Yes. And I'll just have you all go in the order you'd like to, sir. Sure. Absolutely. I can start. Sure. Been here five, six times now.

[02:28:14] I'll start this clock. Thank you. I think we all know each other, but not as well as you guys know the developers versus as you know the community members I want to talk about two quick things one is the experience overall going through this application process the the planning board of course rejected it the application came here first day there was a lot of drama a lot of badgering and personal attacks on people and I thought it was to you know scare the people not to oppose the

[02:28:53] the application. When we came back for the final vote there were two people who were really adamant that the vote should be delayed. One badgered our supervisor who ended up in hospital and it was so that the person who abstained was absent.

[02:29:14] It really says a lot about the institutional trust we put in this in all of you it shows that there is maybe smokes and mirrors happening here or not but it's something as a policy you guys should look at to make sure when you're making decisions and you're rejecting your own decisions it's directly impacting your your power and the trust that we put in you second thing quickly I want to talk about is the Eagle Ridge school my daughter goes there 104%

[02:29:51] crowded overcrowded today we talk about trailer classes my eldest son did study in trailer classes at Briarwoods when you talk about adding more classes will fix it it's not gonna fix the halls kids are packed as sardines in in Eagle Ridge today and it's all about empathy it's all about trying to find out more about the stress have any of you talked to the teachers or professors or principal at Eagle Ridge have any of you talked to the actual students you haven't the goal is the political goal to build build build right as long as you

[02:30:28] build that's the political goal coming from Cain right and we have to align with that at the same time we need to make sure we're taking care of our kids their education standards and their safety and that should be paramount thank you thank you will you introduce your next family member sir thank you

[02:30:56] High School. Thank you. Good evening, young lady. Good evening. Good evening. My name is Ashaal Mirza and I absolutely vote no to the Tillotsu application. I'm a student at Briarwoods High School and I and others drive every day on Belmont Ridge Road. I also make the left from Waxpool Road onto Belmont Ridge and it is not safe. According to maps, I live 1.2 miles only five minutes from the school and yet it takes me 20 minutes to get there. There have also been buses I see behind me on Belmont Ridge also arriving late to school on multiple occasions.

[02:31:18] Two of the students that got into an accident a couple months ago making the left turn off of Wax Pool onto Belmont Ridge were my classmates this year. Thank God they walked away with minor injuries. It could have been your children. Further, I'd like to make it known that this traffic and danger on Belmont Ridge is not a side effect of the ongoing construction.

[02:31:35] The construction does not account for the 2,000 more cars that will be on Belmont Ridge after you approve the building of 300 homes. I urge you to take into account the homes that are also being built on DeMont Drive, North Star Boulevard, and Ashburn Village Boulevard. All these homes packed in such a small vicinity in an already densely populated location are negatively impacting our roads, our friends and children, and our education.

[02:31:58] You have power. Don't disappoint us with how you use it. Thank you. Thank you. Her name is Feryal Merza. She's going to Eagle Ridge. Good evening, young lady. Good evening. You want to put your microphone down just a little bit? There you go. Good evening. I'm Feryal Merza, and I vote no to your Televue application.

[02:32:14] I stand here to bring your attention to the following points. My friend Emma and I walk to school every morning to Eagle Ridge Middle School. We have to cross three streets to get to our school. I wanted to tell you that just today, without adding any more students, our road conditions are very unsafe.

Sometimes I have to pull my friends back from crossing the street, and sometimes they pull me back because the cars coming in are so fast and so many in numbers, one after another.

[02:32:36] Second, when we go to the cafeteria, half of our lunchtime is spent just standing in the line waiting for our turn to get food. Once we sit down to eat, there isn't much time left to enjoy our meal and socialize with friends. So we stuff our faces and walk back to the classrooms. Now, let's talk about our locker bay.

[02:32:53] Today, students are already so overwhelming in numbers that our locker bay feels like a sardine jar. It is very hard to breathe in there, and we get delayed in getting to our classrooms. Not to mention that in my science class alone, there are 30 students, including me. Dear Board of Supervisors, if today the conditions are such, think about when you add 300 more homes and so many more students, what's going to happen to the safety of roads, students walking, bicycling, and then the condition at school itself.

[02:33:22] I urge you to say no to the Tillots View development because it just does not fit the current infrastructure around it. Road safety and overcrowding of schools resulting in low quality of life for our students, for me and my friends, is a huge concern.

[02:33:37] I hope you will take these points into consideration and say no to the Tillots View application. Thank you for your time and good evening. Thank you. This is Sarah Mirza. Good evening, ma'am. Your girls did a great job. Good evening, Board of Supervisors.

[02:33:54] I'm Sarah from Village of Waxpool. One meeting after another, you are hearing the concerns that we, the residents of the communities around the proposed Tillotsview development are desperately trying to bring to your attention road safety, traffic congestion, and most important overcrowding of our schools.

[02:34:14] This application was already denied early on when some responsible board of members, board of supervisors, saw and agreed to the same concerns that remain valid even today. We all are doing everything to make you understand the safety and overcrowding situation we experience today and a compounding effect a large community will have without infrastructure improvements.

[02:34:40] We live here and experience the situation firsthand. We are asking you to do the right thing, which is to say no to the Tillotsview application without making infrastructure and school improvements to support this development. The developer has a great strategy of tiring the citizens while they continue to pressure the Board of Supervisors to seek decision in their favor.

[02:35:03] All this time, making more money in an incompatible school and road infrastructure area. Completely disregarding the outcry of concerned neighbors, young and old, and all this while making a mockery of the time, analysis, and judgment of the esteemed Board here.

[02:35:20] We look up to you to make a just and responsible decision. We put our trust in you to help us when the real need arises. Responsibility of this seat is real. Our concerns are real.

[02:35:37] Your legacy is real. Say no to Tillitsville Development. Thank you. Thank you. Thank you to your entire family. Jennifer Reese, you'll be followed by Sarah Hussain Bazar. Followed by Matthew Munan.

[02:36:03] Hi, my name is Jen Reese. I live in Percival, and this is my daughter, Kaylin. She's 16 years old and a rising junior at Woodgrove High School in a self-contained multiple disabilities classroom. Kaylin is a person with a developmental disability, and Loudoun County is not doing enough to provide programs, supports, and services for people like her now and especially after they age out of high school.

[02:36:23] Kaylin has a genetic anomaly that affects the way proteins in her brain work. She has an intractable seizure disorder. She does not communicate verbally. She uses a wheelchair and has a feeding tube. Kaylin is eligible for school for six more years. But after that, there are severe gaps in services for people like her after they age out of high school in Loudoun County.

[02:36:42] That is the case now in 2024, and I see the writing on the wall. If there are no appropriate programs for Kaylin now and a lack of providers, what will it be like in 2030 when she ages out of school? I know some staff feel there is not a need, and we're here to tell you there sure is.

[02:36:58] There's a growing need for adult day and group-supported employment program sites. and locations for Loudoun County residents with developmental disabilities who have aged out of high school and are not currently able to participate in competitive employment. There's a wait list for people who have been out of school a few years, and now with 2024 grads as well.

[02:37:16] Without a day program, these citizens of Loudoun County sit at home on the couch with no social interaction, no options to participate in the community. Other programs and services are lacking in Loudoun County for this population. Over the next few board meetings, other families will share their stories, and I hope you'll listen.

[02:37:31] I hope you'll understand where Loudoun is lacking and what we can do to make this better. In particular, when she ages out of school, Kaylin will need an adult day program to support those with high medical needs, including things like wheelchairs, communication devices, seizures, feeding tubes, and incontinency. What I envisioned for Kaylin after high school is similar to the support and funds she receives at Adaptive Summer Camp put on by the Park's Recreation Community Services Department.

[02:37:56] Since a program like Summer Camp is what I envisioned for Kaylin after high school, I'd like to invite you all, the supervisors and your staff, to Family Day, July 18th. I made flyers, and we're passing them out. So come, meet these kids, their families, and the staff that support them. Don't hesitate to contact me for more information on Family Day, as well as the needs and services and solutions for the gaps that currently exist for people like Kaylin now and when they age out of high school.

[02:38:22] Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Reese. Sarah Hussain Bazar, followed by Matthew Munan, followed by Xenia Hutchinson. Good evening, ma'am.

[02:38:40] Good evening. I travel every summer to Palestine to spend time with my parents, nieces, nephews, aunts, uncles, and cousins. I'm excited to meet the new addition to the family, my nephew Adam, who will be one year old on July 15.

[02:38:56] My travel to my beautiful homeland, Palestine, will begin on Thursday, July 4th, from Dulles International Airport, straight bound to Jeddah, Saudi Arabia, then on to Amman, Jordan, because Palestine does not have an airport. Why doesn't Palestine have an airport?

[02:39:12] Well, Israeli airstrikes destroyed the radar station and control tower on December 4th, 2001, and bulldozers cut the runway on January 10th, 2002. So how do Palestinians travel to visit their respective

families in Palestine? Well, I, like many Palestinian Americans, will need to cross the King Hussein border crossing where we are subjected to humiliation, interrogation, exhaustion, and additional expenses.

[02:39:42] By the way, I should be arriving on Saturday, July 6th. Time of day is set as unknown because Israeli occupation forces have a tendency of holding Palestinian-Americans hostage for hours before granting them the green light to enter their own Palestinian lands. But this time feels different. This time, I am more anxious.

[02:40:03] I am more terrified. I am scared. There is a sense of unpredictability with this journey. Why? Well, due to the ongoing genocide and ethnic cleansing in Gaza-occupied Palestine. It's been 269 days of continuous bombing, displacement, ethnic cleansing, killings, starvation, torture, and all sorts of war crimes deemed illegal under international law, done by Israel towards the people of Palestine, of course.

[02:40:35] Oh, by the way, Israel just approved annexation of more Palestinian lands in the West Bank to build the illegal settlements which are deemed illegal under international law. I shouldn't be deactivating my social media, but I have to. I'm in fear of my life.

[02:40:50] I stand before you today to passionately advocate for immediate and permanent ceasefire in Gaza, Palestine. Our collective humanity calls upon us to take a firm and unwavering stance against our ongoing violence to support a path towards lasting justice and peace.

[02:41:06] Thank you. to Munan, followed by Xenia Hutchinson, followed by Sasha, I think it's Brouwer. Good evening, sir. Good evening. Thank you, Board of Supervisors. I'm so proud of listening to all the speakers that are gathered here today, many that didn't have to be here, but were willing to put themselves out there because they can no longer tolerate the crimes of our government. The testimonies and speeches that you're hearing today are from people from all walks of life from here in Loudoun.

[02:41:38] We all come from different backgrounds, but one thing unites us, our shared recognition of injustice. Injustice that our country is complicit in. Injustice that has gone on for decades. This is going to be a movement as long as necessary, as is necessary.

[02:41:54] And we are not alone. Most Americans agree that Israel is perpetrating a genocide on the people of Gaza, yet our government still doesn't seem to be listening. We can't stand idly by while we witness the horrors that our government is enabling, nor should you. But you have an opportunity right now to act.

[02:42:11] To tell the residents of Loudoun County, many of whom are intimately affected by this, as you can see today, that enough is enough. Support of a permanent ceasefire is necessary. Transparency and divestment from ties with partners that support the state of Israel is necessary.

[02:42:29] We need your support and we will be here ready to take your calls when you want to work with us. Thank you. Thank you, sir. Xenia Hutchison, followed by Sasha Abrower, followed by Azalee Athman.

[02:42:46] Good evening. Thank you, Chair Randall. My name is Xenia Ashrawi Hutchison. Nice to see you, Mr. Turner, my representative. I'm a 16-year resident of Loudoun County. I have two children in public schools. My husband works in health care, also in Loudoun.

[02:43:04] And I am born Palestinian in Palestine, born in Jerusalem, raised in Ramallah, Palestine. My parents and my family are still in Palestine, enduring a brutal occupation, which did not start in October. Even if people try to ignore it, the genocide in Palestine is ongoing.

[02:43:24] We have supplied Israel with 14,200-pound bombs that destroy whole blocks, killing people as far out as 1,200 feet.

[02:43:41] This isn't precision. This is massacres, and we are complicit. Most of those killed are children, some and many still under the rubble. This isn't the past, whether the Olympics is on or not.

[02:43:56] This is currently ongoing. There are children under the rubble as we try to avoid confronting what Israel is doing and what we as the United States and our tax dollars are doing. There are people in Loudoun County, your constituents, whose families are currently living in the most dire of situations, with some of them tens of their family members killed in Gaza.

[02:44:22] Complicity in this genocide, empowerment of Israeli regime is not foreign policy, especially not in Virginia. The Virginia-Israel Advisory Board is a state agency that operates within the Virginia legislature. This foreign government agency gets hundreds of thousands of unaccountable Virginia taxpayer dollars.

[02:44:39] Not only does Viab get preferential treatment over the advisory boards, but also, as Grant Smith says, no state in the U.S. offers more preferential market incentives to Israel over its U.S. and state companies in Virginia. Again, this is not foreign policy. LCPS is trying to integrate some of the social media surveillance operations used against the Palestinians here, in our own public school systems, against my children here.

[02:45:05] I have testified in front of the Board of Supervisors multiple times over the years for different issues like housing. It is because this system put in place to ensure that the community is best served, protected, and heard. This gives you tremendous amount of power that, when wielded effectively and justly, have a huge impact on people's lives, or can dehumanize a whole population with mere silence and inaction.

[02:45:28] Thank you. And we will continue working on this. Please, more transparency and divestment. Thank you for your time. Good evening, and you will be followed by Ashley Otham, and then we're going to go over the person who's on the WebEx, and we'll go to Gary Strozik, and then followed by Sheree Big Asa. Big Asa. Good evening. Good evening, Chair Randall and supervisors. My name is Sasha Brower, and I'm speaking tonight in my role as Vice Chair of the Housing Advisory Board, more often referred to as HAB. The HAB is tasked with promoting the implementation of the Unmet

[02:46:06] Housing Needs Strategic Plan, which this board adopted in September of 2021. We've been encouraged by the progress made thus far in implementing the plan. Most recently, we were thrilled to see the approval of an expedited fast-track rezoning process for a 100% affordable housing project.

[02:46:22] That being said, we are concerned that we're falling behind in our goal for bringing new units online. So to that end, HAB has put together some recommendations, which I believe was in your packet this evening, for our annual report regarding some ideas to encourage the production of more units. This is the first time that HAB has put forth recommendations in this type of format, and so we're interested in receiving feedback from you all on how we can best support the county's attainable housing goals. This is the first year also we formed three subcommittees to research ideas and we have a very highly engaged membership and a diverse group with an incredible breadth of experience and everyone is very eager to tackle these attainable housing

[02:47:02] issues that the county faces. The recommendations in the report are a high level starting point and we're hoping to meet with you all individually going forward to go over those ideas and seek your feedback on how we can best serve you all in reaching the goals of the county. So I will be reaching out to your offices in the near future and look forward to those conversations. Thank you.

[02:47:22] Thank you. Thank you very much. Ashley Utham, followed by Gary Struzek, followed by Sri Vignasna. It's A-S-L-I. Ashley, are you here? Going once, going twice? No? Okay. Our next speaker is Gary Struzek, followed by Sri Bagathna.

[02:47:51] Good evening, sir. Good evening. My name is Gary Struzek. I'm a resident of Waxpool, Village of Waxpool, and I'm here to bring to the forefront some of the traffic and safety concerns of the Tillotsview proposal. The DTIC report of April 29th indicates an anticipated traffic volume increase of over 2,000 trips per day from the addition of Tillotsview with no changes to neighborhood collective roadways like Waxpool Road.

[02:48:18] The DTIC referral comment stated April 9th state that an estimated 40% of Tillotsview traffic will travel south on Belmont Ridge Road off of Black Angus. However, it fails to consider the number of these trips that will use Waxpool Road instead.

[02:48:34] Why go north when you want to go south? There's a convenient cut through of the village of Waxpool development via Inanna Spring Auction Barn and Cochran's Lock to head south on Belmont Ridge Road towards Briarwoods High School and the movie theaters. These are neighborhood roadways, not thoroughfares.

[02:48:51] Closure of Waxpool and Belmont Ridge intersection, which were opposed both by the DTIC and Beverly Tate, director of Lowne Public Schools, would exacerbate this potential. The findings for denial section of the May 15th Board of Supervisors public hearing staff report says it best with the conclusion that states, I quote, The Tillots View proposal is not consistent with the Loudoun County 2019 Countywide Transportation Plan, or CTP.

[02:49:22] The proposal allows additional residential dwellings units that will generate additional pedestrian and vehicle traffic, but it does not sufficiently mitigate these transportation impacts as anticipated by both the 2019 CTP Land Development Review Policy and the 2019 CTP Proffer Policy.

[02:49:43] end quote. The residents and students who live in the surrounding communities could not agree more. Nothing significant has changed since the conclusion of this May report that mitigates the traffic nightmare that would accompany passage of the Tillots View proposal. It is therefore recommended that the vote on the Tillots View proposal either be delayed until a more thorough traffic analysis and alternative route study be completed, or better yet, that the entire proposal

[02:50:14] will be voted down tonight. Thank you very much. Thank you, sir. Sri Vignasna, followed by Mr. Singh, Jag Singh. Is Sri here? S-R-I-H-A-R-A. You here, sir? This is not a WebEx. It's not a phone.

[02:50:34] Are you here? Going once, going twice. Okay. The next, Mr. Singh, J-A-G Singh. Are you here? Again, not on the phone. Okay. Sarah Fishner, followed by Asad Chaudhry.

[02:50:52] Are you here? Ms. Fisher? Fishner, F-I-S-C-H-E-R. Are you here? Going once, going twice. Okay. Asad Chaudhry, I'm sure I guess that is you, sir.

[02:51:09] And just give me one second, because the next person is on the next two are on the phone. After you, it would be Mohamed Bazaar. Good evening, sir. Good evening. Thank you for your time. My name is Asad Chaudhry. I'm a cardiologist here practicing in Loudoun County for the last 12 years.

[02:51:25] I live in the village of Wasp in the Broad Run District, and there are a number of reasons why I have concerns over the Tillotsview application, but I want to bring forth my own personal experiences I've had thus far with my daughter who was at Eagle Ridge Middle School. The proposed additional houses are going to place a tremendous amount of strain on that school, and it will be over capacity.

[02:51:49] Myself, I've had a personal passion for education. I'm the eldest of four children, and my task growing up was always to be their teacher. And I know the importance of having a relationship with your teacher. In my own life, my teachers, I've had relationships with them even to this day with my middle school, my elementary school teachers.

[02:52:09] I still stay in touch with them because they were able to give me that attention that propelled me to succeed in the educational system. And I saw that with my daughter at Eagle Ridge, with the number of students in the classrooms, with the difficulty of getting in and out of the lockers. I saw that it's difficult for students to make that connection with teachers.

[02:52:27] Even with her seventh grade English teacher, Mrs. Hahn, trying to reach out to her, talk to her, I could tell she was overburdened and she would voice those concerns. She's telling me that the amount of stress and strain that teachers have is enormous. And it's not something that I would see in my teachers growing up.

[02:52:45] And adding those extra students into the classrooms, we have to think long term. You know, when we think about the quality of education kids are getting, we think about their future, you know, those little things make a huge difference. And having the ability to connect with the teacher is so important. So I think as part of the thought process of, you know, what we approve, what we don't approve, some things are tangible and some things are experiential.

[02:53:08] In my experience, you know, that is something to really consider is the quality of education kids are getting, the strains we're putting on the schools, and I don't believe the schools are adapting to handle the extra amount of volume that they're going to have with this additional number of houses. So I think that has to be clearly addressed. That has to be really thought out really carefully because it's going to affect a vast number of students. So I hope you take that into consideration when we decide about the application today, and I strongly oppose it for that reason. Thank you, sir. Thank you. Our next

[02:53:42] speaker is um madeline skinner is that right yeah it's madeline skinner followed by and i i'm going to spell your last name because i do not i don't want to mispronounce a name because that feels disrespectful so i'm going to spell it for you um it's p-o-l-a-m-a-r-a-s-e-t-t-i so when you come up if you could say actually that person's on the phone it's on the phone right so that's a webex

[02:54:16] person. So after Ms. Skinner will be Jason Roche and then Patty Menders. Good evening, Ms. Skinner. Good evening, Chair Randall and supervisors. I'm Madeline Skinner, chair of the Loudon Historic Village Alliance and a resident of Philomont. This is my favorite role, being a cheerleader for our villages. The current DPZ work plan has multiple initiatives for our villages. The dependency of one initiative being completed, the St. Louis plan, is critical not only to kicking off their water study, but to have a fully complete small area plan that can be used as the template for future

[02:54:53] work on the other villages. Currently, Lockett's is next, but LHVA hopes a more robust approach is considered in order for multiple villages to be done concurrently. The Rural Historic Village Classification CPAM will confirm the names of those villages and provide a better idea of the scope of work. LHVA appreciates being recognized and included with these initiatives. Along with staff, we've been fortunate to meet with residents of Nearsville, Loudoun Heights, Bowmantown, Watson, Willisville, Howardsville in unison with pending conversations with Morrisonville and Bloomfield.

[02:55:29] It has been all really, really interesting and a lot of fun. LHVA has done their research and some of our members have worked with consultants experienced in rural design. We have received 10 signatures for the project charter that we put together for the village small area plan work and we are ready to go we hope a joint venture with county staff external consultants and village organizations will be considered if you have any questions please contact me thank you thank you miss skinner thank you for all that you're doing um jason i think it's rushi followed

[02:56:05] by patty menders followed by uh roger smith good evening sir good evening madam chair board supervisors. Thank you for the opportunity to speak before you. I'll try to keep my comments short. I sent to everybody on the board my intended presentation, which hopefully I'll get most of the points covered, but a lot of backup data and research that I've done about it, I consider it an insidious problem of low-frequency noise that's permeating throughout our community, South Riding. It's a beautiful community. I've lived there for 24 years, and I thought I might retire there. Next year I'll be 65, but I've known I can't.

[02:56:42] I have to move out because of the noise impact that's pervasive there. The low-frequency noise is like 240 hertz. I think you have a noise ordinance that says sources of noise can't be louder than 50 decibels, but that's DBA, and that's right in the middle of the hearing spectrum, which is normal for things like nuisance noises.

[02:57:04] The noise that we're getting is from the power from the data centers and from the high-power lines. I use a meter to register it throughout the community to find out where this noise is coming from because it was waking me up at night and I couldn't sleep.

[02:57:22] DBC is a different version of measuring decibels. It measures the whole spectrum, not just the middle of the spectrum. So the noise that I'm hearing and the noise that registers on this DBC doesn't even register in DBA. So a typical registration in my house is between 45 and 55 decibels in DBC.

[02:57:43] And if you go to DBA, which is what the ordinance says you have to comply with, it doesn't even register at all. My concern is that this noise is dangerous and insidious if it's the long-term effects, if you're continually exposed to it. This noise is 24-7.

[02:58:00] It doesn't stop at night. The data centers actually operate more heavily at night when the rates are lower and they're running faster. I found out by accident, and I'm running a short time, that the noise isn't just the data centers, which impacts immediate people, but it's several miles around, and I couldn't figure that out until I went on Stonebreaker Road, I think, in Stone Ridge.

[02:58:21] And when I went by there next to the power lines, the DBC registration was 71 decibels flat. It didn't even vary at all. So I request that the board consider doing environmental safety for the low-frequency noise impact and then whether it has long-term impacts on the community and reconsider putting more data centers in the middle of a community like South Riding.

[02:58:48] - Thank you, sir, thank you very much. Patty Mendes, followed by Roger Smith, followed by Siomara Tefler, good evening. - Hi, good evening. Of course, my notes disappear, it just come up here.

[02:59:05] Good evening, Board of Supervisors. My name's Patty Hidalgo-Menders, and I'm a mom of six sons. I have lived in Loudoun County, specifically Broadlands, in Ashburn, for over 25 years. I remember driving my oldest son as a toddler down the gravel road of Waxpool Road in 1999, and seeing farmhouses and cows and horses.

[02:59:28] I know that you have a suburban plan for Eastern Loudoun, but I'm asking you to please not vote for 300 homes behind Waxpool Road, excuse me, Elementary School. Belmont Ridge Road is already a dangerous road. My children attended Briarwoods High School, and I always was worried as young drivers how they're going to handle being on such a busy road.

[02:59:51] These 300 planned homes will only add to more traffic in this very congested area. The schools are currently overcrowded, and with 300 more families in this neighborhood, the schools are going to be overwhelmed. I actually bumped into the principal of Eagle Ridge Middle School's wife.

[03:00:10] She's a friend of mine. She, too, does not want these homes built in Broadland's area. Not only will you have increased car traffic, but you're going to have more foot traffic of children. Thank you, Supervisor Glass, for meeting with us several times, but between the roads, the traffic, the schools overcrowding, I'm pleading with you to please not allow this builder to create 300 planned homes at Tillotsview.

[03:00:36] Thank you for your time. Thank you. Roger Smith, you will be followed by Siomara Teflor, followed by Randy Magnat. Mr. Smith, Roger Smith on the DPZ work plan.

[03:00:54] Mr. Smith, are you here? Going once, going twice. Okay. Siomara, am I saying your name right? Good evening, ma'am. You'll be followed by Randy Magnet, followed by Brian McCauley.

[03:01:10] Good evening, ma'am. Good evening. Location of Tillots View was significantly worse than already existing traffic issues. However, my focus is schools. This information is from school board capital improvement plans. Capacity at Eagle Ridge and Briarwoods has been known since at least 2011.

[03:01:26] Briarwoods was at 108% capacity in 2011. The expansion took 12 years and added 313 seats. Yet the rest of the school remains the same, the same cafeteria, the same library. The school was already bursting at its seams. Teachers still have to use carts to roll around their mobile classroom to available rooms to teach.

[03:01:47] My son rarely ate lunch because there was no room in the cafeteria and he just graduated this year. Eagle Ridge, in 2011, enrollment was 90% and projection for 2020 was 107%. Capacity was increased from 1187 to 1236 in the exact same building by increasing class sizes.

[03:02:09] Using the original capacity is actually at 108% over capacity, not the 104. The expansion has been planned since at least 2018. The expansion has been pushed back through the years. In 2022, school board member Hoyler proposed to expedite it to 2025.

[03:02:26] The motion was not passed. Board member Morris said, I quote, I would prefer to wait one more year and see whether fears are justified. It is it is currently under program funds for 2027 which are not guaranteed and can be reallocated by staff as needed this board is betting on a plan that has not been funded and has been delayed before our fears are justified adding academic classrooms does not solely

solve our capacity issues my son who attended eagle ridge said i had to walk with my back against the walls just to get to my classroom because the hallways are so crowded. Eagle Ridge is not designed to

[03:03:04] handle this volume of students. To ask your education colleagues to take more students without the respect and resources to address capacity issues is unjustified. See it for yourselves, go visit. Including our enrollment during COVID increased. It did not plateau or decrease. This is not the time for this development. Please allow traffic studies, road construction, and existing new housing developments in broadlands to be completed allow lcps time to address the overcapacity then develop the land and revisit till its view i ask that you put our children first

[03:03:41] just as you would put your children first in making this decision thank you thank you ma'am randy mangut followed by yes i did i did i called him he didn't answer okay so um no i called him um but that's okay that's i'm good thank you um so i i will go with randy magnet just a second sir if you don't mind and then i will go back to muhammad um bizarre then i will go from there to brian mccawee so i'll go back no no you're good you're you're you're randy right okay yes you're

[03:04:20] fine sir okay i'm good to speak okay yes sir thank you thank you my name is randy maggot i live I live at a village of Waxpool, like a few of my neighbors are here. So I completely agree with their concerns and thoughts, and I strongly oppose this application. And I would like to add some more points.

[03:04:37] So, Ms. Randall, as we met a few weeks ago, we shared the concern of, I mean, cut-through traffic, which is a major concern for us. I mean, in front of my house, I see every day empty school buses driving at full speed because they're going to pick some kids up from, like, somewhere.

[03:04:56] And those are empty buses, means it is cut-through traffic. And this is not one or two buses. If you want, I can take videos and just, like, send you at least 7, 10 buses across every day at full speed because this road is more convenient for them for cut-through traffic.

[03:05:13] And these 300 extra houses obviously will increase this cut-through traffic. Again, this is not something I'm just making up. I can make a video and just send you. Also, the second concern I had was that, I mean, there has been some email exchange with some members.

[03:05:29] And I saw or heard someone saying, oh, well, the main application was 457 homes. Now it's 300 because, you know, it's like 33% decrease. Ma'am, I don't understand this logic. if the original application was 800 will you approve 400 just because it's 50 percent no the concern we have is not linked to 457 or 400 or 380 or 350. the concern we have is consistent all through when this application has been rejected five times those concerns still hold true because we have overcrowding of school and cut through traffic and other impacts lastly i would like to

[03:06:07] I would like to request or appeal to Ms. Glass. Ma'am, we have met you many times, five, seven times. We have listened to our concerns. We are your, you can say constituents, right? You are representing us. We have met you, shared our concerns, thoughts, feelings.

[03:06:26] Please respect them. You know, this is going to impact us for the rest of our lives. So please, please pay attention to our appeals and thoughts because this is very important for us and our kids and our future. Thank you so much. Thank you, sir. I'm going to go back to number 20, Mohamed Bazar, and then I'm going to go to Brian Makawe, and then to Sam Nelavini, I believe.

[03:06:54] Good evening, sir. Good evening. Bismillah. Ladies and gentlemen of the Board of Supervisors, I stand before you today as a health care worker compelled to speak against the ongoing atrocities in Ghazal, Palestine. It is with a heavy heart and a profound sense of duty that I demand the adoption of a ceasefire resolution to address the urgent humanitarian crisis.

[03:07:19] As health care workers, our mission is to save lives, alleviate suffering and provide care to those in need. However, the situation in Gaza has made it nearly impossible for health care workers to fulfill this mission. The deliberate targeting of hospitals, ambulances and healthcare workers by Israeli occupational forces is not only a grave violation of international laws, but also a direct assault on the very essence of humanity.

[03:07:48] The destruction of hospitals and medical facilities in Gaza has left thousands of individuals without access to critical care. These are not just buildings, they are lifelines for the sick, the injured and the vulnerable.

[03:08:05] Every bomb that falls on a hospital is an attack on hope, on the possibility of recovery, and on the right to life itself. Ambulances clearly marked and dedicated to saving lives have become targets. Healthcare workers who have taken an oath to do no harm are being harmed themselves.

[03:08:26] These actions are unconscionable and constitute war crimes under international law. The Geneva Conventions explicitly protect medical personnel and facilities, and the blatant disregard for these protections is an affront to our shared values and principles.

[03:08:44] I urge this board, please, to recognize the gravity of the situation and the moral imperative to act. Adopting a ceasefire resolution is not just a political statement. It is a declaration of our commitment to upholding human rights and the rule of law.

[03:09:03] It is a testament to our dedication to preserving life and dignity, even in the face of overwhelming adversity. A ceasefire would allow for the safe delivery of humanitarian aid, the rebuilding of medical infrastructure, and the protection of those who risked their lives to save others.

[03:09:21] It would offer a glimmer of hope to the people of Gaza who have endured unimaginable suffering and loss. Thank you, and have a good day. Thank you, sir. Thank you. I have been saying Brian. I think it might be Bannon Malcawi, I think. Did I correct that?

[03:09:41] And then after you is Sam Nelavini. Good evening, ma'am. Good evening. My name is Bannon Malcawi. I'm a longtime resident of Loudoun County, and I'm a parent, an educator, and I'm an Arab American. Back in October, one of the board members running in my district knocked on my door to ask us for support in their campaign.

[03:10:04] When I asked them whether they support a ceasefire in Gaza, the response was that the situation pertains to foreign affairs, while the Board of Supervisors really only deals with local issues, so it's outside the prerogative of the Board of Supervisors to comment on international affairs.

[03:10:21] In hindsight, in seeing how the Israeli genocide in Gaza has unfolded, I cannot help but reflect on whether this truly is a local versus an international interest. The Board of Supervisors' prerogative would remain local if we're not dealing with, one, businesses and companies setting up shop and data centers in Loudoun County that are complicit in aiding financially, technologically, and in developing and supplying weapons and military technology to Israel.

[03:10:51] And until we see transparency in how Loudoun County invests its funds, ensuring that there are no dealings or investments in funds complicit in the apartheid regime of Israel, Loudoun County must remain clear from complicity in war crimes and genocide. And when your local population is reeling from the effects of genocide, when local families, students in Loudoun County Public Schools aren't experiencing explicit discrimination, anti-Arab bias, Islamophobia, and at best, implicit bias in their schools and by their own leaders, media, educators, and our community.

[03:11:29] We are here to offer resources, to offer perspective, and to offer initiative. We're here to ask the Board of Supervisors to take initiative, work with us to draft a ceasefire resolution. We're here to let you know that the Palestinian, Arab American, and allied community in Loudoun County is a big, vibrant, and resourceful community.

[03:11:50] We're offering our perspective, expertise, and advocacy to ensure that Loudoun County leaders understand Palestinian identity, dynamics of being Arab American in Loudoun County, and navigating in a community that harbors hate, discrimination, misinformation.

[03:12:07] We can work together to combat this. Let us not revisit this genocide years from now to know that our county leaders dismissed our county's role in one of the century's most vicious and tragic atrocities. Thank you. Thank you. And then our last speaker is Sam Nillavini.

[03:12:27] Good evening, sir. Good evening, sir. I hope I said your last name correctly. Yeah, that's fine. Thank you. Dear Board of Advisors, this is Srini. I'm a resident of Villages of Vaxpol. Thanks to Ms. Glass, who contacted us several times to understand our concerns.

[03:12:48] However, we feel that all our concerns are not yet being addressed. What's the rush? As you just heard, all the participants, all the residents of a community are raising their arms, raising, throwing their hands up and asking, what's the rush?

[03:13:10] When the traffic studies are yet to be done, when the school constraints are yet to be resolved, and the most importantly, the technical and procedural aspects of the papers are not right.

[03:13:27] The R8 to R4 papers are not right. practically, and technically, this is a no. The property at Tillots is not asking you to build right away. We know that there is a study for traffic is pending. What's the rush? So all the people that have come and talked to you about, I don't have to repeat it. However, the R8 to R4 is a procedural thing. The papers are not right. So when it actually started, it started with 50,

[03:14:07] how 50 dwellings in the property we are open to multiplying it by you know twice hundred percent and have seniors be resident of the properties so that it doesn't require any of the extra additional schooling aspects of things and of that nature where we are not ready yet so I would like to request Mrs. Umstad, Mr. Kirshner, Mr. Sainz, Mr. Loner, Mr. Mrs. Brissman, of course, Ms. Randall,

[03:14:43] and Mr. Tekreni, and Mr. Turner, and of course, Ms. Rogers, and of course, last but not least, Ms. Glass, you looked into your eyes. Please, vote with your consciousness. This is not some other egg calling or just another talk. All these residents are coming, asking you to just say no.

[03:15:04] what's the rush is there any rush no rush thank you very much sorry thank you very much sir okay I appreciate everybody speaking we're going to try to hear the people who are on the phone or on the Webex what we're going to do is we're going to go to the Webex one by one by one and we may not know the person that's starting to speak until we ask for a name so just bear with us it

[03:15:41] is really important to me that everyone speaks before we vote on the issue because three of the people who are on the WebEx are speaking on an item that we're probably going to vote for next to tell its view item and I don't I don't want to vote without trying to hear everyone's voices and so we're going to try to do that now and hope it goes well. So Mr. Hemstreet, can we have the staff try to get some of the first person on? And then if you can hear me and you're on the Webex, when you come on,

[03:16:18] if you could say your name so we know who's speaking, that would be really helpful. Thank you, Chair Randall. So for those that are, so we're using a backup system so everybody can hear who is on the Webex can hear everything that's happening. We will only be able to hear you one at a time. And so what we need you to do on the phone is press star six, which will unmute you. So right now you're muted. So we need everyone to press star six, which will unmute you.

[03:16:49] The moderator is going to put you through and then Chair Randall, you'll need to ask them their name and then we'll only hear one of you. So once you hear someone say their name, you know, they'll talk for their two and a half minutes and then we'll go through each person. The challenge for the people that are our speakers just please be patient we know you can hear all we can you can hear everything that we're saying we can only hear one of you and so we'll proceed with just one of you at a time so i can't call you in an order because i don't know which order it would be so when you come on just say your name and we'll we'll get you like that so we're ready for

[03:17:24] the first person all right and press star six before you start talking can you are you there is anyone on is anyone on the webex or the phone with us darn it chad do you need to bring do you need to press star six you need to promote them as a panelist oh yeah there we go okay we can hear

[03:17:53] you can you give us your name and then we're going to start your time sir sir hello okay all right we're going to how about this um i was going to do till it's view right now hello we can't hear you in the room but i'll i'll just start speaking hopefully you can hear us i'm shannon detling my wife and i live with our four children in aspern next to the proposed till it's view site i urge you to vote no to the TLSU rezoning application due to concerns about school capacity and

[03:18:30] transportation safety around the nearby schools. The hallways at Eagle Ridge and Briarwoods are packed. There's not enough seats for kids to be able to sit down when eating at lunch, and none of which will be addressed by adding a few more classrooms. With shared spaces this packed, the schools can't reasonably accommodate children who need mobility assistance. Videos I recently emailed you all show the already dangerous intersection of Waxpool Road and the entrance to Eagle Ridge middle school and a drop-off queue that even with principal directing traffic takes seven minutes to get through on a sunny day in June. You saw the long backup of cars leaving Waxville Elementary going on to Bowon Ridge. We have speeding and cut-throughs in the neighborhood currently and

[03:19:10] more drivers that would be added by development will have to go through congested traffic in the area, become frustrated, drive aggressively, and put school children in danger. Even back when the applicant did its traffic counts two and a half years ago on December 9th of 2021, these intersections were failing. So let's use a 50-acre site that's twice the county plan's designated infill size.

[03:19:32] Due to the size of the site, it's forecast to result in weekend trips that increase from the 38 currently to 2,100, which is a 5,500 percent increase. The county staff does not support the application because it's not consistent with the county transportation plan, since the applicant has not mitigated transportation and safety impacts from the development. Supervisor Glass is proposing to study the traffic

in the area, and clearly members of the board have recognized that there's a safety concern, but if you recognize that there's a safety concern that should be addressed, then the study should be required and mitigations identified before any application is approved. For example, why not look at having the Tillotsview development only connect to the newly

[03:20:08] widened Belmont Ridge Road rather than having connections to Wackville Road? That would be consistent with six other existing neighborhoods, including four that are adjacent to the site. The safety of our children and the children who live in the area of the proposed development is just too important than to be based purely on speculation. You can stand for responsible development and deny the application. Thank you. If you can hear me, I appreciate that. Thank you.

[03:20:32] His wife, I'm assuming it's his wife, it's the same last name, was also on the line, on the list. It's Amanda. Can we, well, we don't know who we're going to get. Can we get the next speaker? Is anyone on the line? Hello, is anyone on the line? We are really sorry. This is just a technical glitch that we don't normally have so a thousand apologies anybody there yes hopefully you can hear me yes i can't hear you but um thank you for the opportunity to speak regarding the tillots view

[03:21:11] rezoning my name is amanda detling i live directly south of the proposed area with my husband and four kids who are currently attending the mill wren elementary eagle ridge middle and briarwood high school um we already feel the effects of crowded school classrooms lunches and hallways we already witnessed very frequently, I might add, dangerous driving on a small stretch of road with four schools. My children have almost been hit by cars on that stretch of Waxville Road headed to the middle school. And even more recently, I witnessed a near miss at the intersection of my INS Spring

[03:21:49] and Waxville Road where two girls were in the middle of the crosswalk and the car didn't even stop. Luckily, they were paying attention. Considering there is already such a problem, it seems reckless to approve an application in hopes that safety concerns can be fixed later.

[03:22:05] Unfortunately, because this vote was pushed to today, right before a holiday weekend in the middle of the summer, when my family, as well as many members of our community who cared deeply about this, are out of town, we are unable to be there. But my middle schooler has told us that the halls and lunchrooms are very full.

[03:22:22] She said that during lunch, if it's raining or wet and students can't sit outside, they have to stand or share seats to eat. She gets frustrated having to push through crowds of people in the hall to get to her locker so she can be disclassified on time. And our high schoolers experience the same thing.

[03:22:39] We have been very fortunate that our children don't have mobility challenges, and I can't imagine how difficult it would be for any child that has additional mobility or special needs. School is hard enough these days. The county should not be making schools unaccommodating to children by allowing for schools to go so much over capacity, by allowing for unnecessary development.

[03:23:01] We recognize and understand that land will be developed, but please vote no until it's due. We are concerned about the safety of our kids and our school capacity. Thank you. I know she can't hear me, but thank you. And then we have two more people.

[03:23:20] I guess it doesn't matter what their names are for the purpose of this moment, but we do have two more people. Is anybody else on? If you can hear me, the next speaker, can you unmute yourself? Star six. Can you unmute yourself, hit star six?

[03:23:41] I can't even see what's going on here. I'm not sure if I can talk. Can the person on the phone tell him he can talk?

[03:23:59] You can talk. If you can hear me, you can talk and say your name, please. Can the person on the phone with him tell him he can talk? Can you tell him he can talk? Okay. Hi, Chair Randall, this is Benito, Portugal, and I'm calling regarding the application for Defender Drive.

[03:24:24] Okay. Oh, he's listening to himself. He's listening to himself. I live next to the property that you all are considering rezoning.

[03:24:45] And I'm just calling in support of the applicant. Oh. The property that you all are considering rezoning. So that's all. So thank you very much. Okay. Okay.

[03:25:05] I sometimes watch C-SPAN. They always say on C-SPAN, can you mute your own TV? That's what I feel like right now. Okay. And we have one more person who was supposed to speak remotely. Do we have that person? Mr. Singh?

[03:25:23] S-I-N-G-H. Is there anybody else on the line? Because we have one more on my list. Are they there? No? No? Okay. Mr. Singh, can you hear me? You're the last person to speak. If you can hear me at all, we may not, you can start talking. Does anyone know Mr. Singh? No? Okay. You do?

[03:26:01] Do you believe he's going to reflect what has been said already, sir? You believe he will? Sure, sure. If you, yeah, absolutely. Give him a guess. I would rather, even if you just hold him up to the microphone i'd rather hear people than not one more time i'm so sorry this just does not happen it's the first time we've had this glitch before in my ninth year i've never seen

[03:26:37] this happen before so i apologize yep come on yep absolutely just hold him up to the mic and tell him when to go go on jack hello hi can you hear me yes yes we can i'm sorry i'm having phone troubles trying to get in um appreciate you guys letting me speak uh tonight even though i guess

[03:27:15] from the emails it seems like some of the decisions already been made but um you know we started from an unrealistic number of 457 units and you know thinking that 300 is a good compromise kind of false there um 300 homes is a significant impact of child safety and school capacity especially the fact that these 300 homes are going to be on a windy quarter mile road surrounded by four schools the traffic study you know was done a while ago and there was a question about doing it again but i think it was unilaterally decided that there's not enough time to do one

[03:27:50] and i think that concerns me um you know a traffic study would have shown that there was a significant impact to the children's safety um there's discussions about closing belmont ridge at wax pool that does not solve any problems it just pushes the traffic to cockman's lock which is in a neighborhood traffic which also just has has speed humps installed because of traffic calming so we're just punting the problem somewhere else eagle ridge is at capacity um it's not being addressed it's kind of being ignored and punted downstream to the school figure it out briarwood's capacity is not clearly understood and most likely what i heard already is looking at rezoning to

[03:28:29] address that and that's we're just compounding the problems more and more um let the record show that you know we're going to add over 1500 trips that's going to be impacting safety um you know Kid safety is the most important thing to me, and I think that needs to be understood.

[03:28:44] With the traffic study, there is no need to rush. We should look at this correctly and make sure we're doing this properly. I think we all can do better. Please vote no until it's viewed. Thank you for letting me speak. Thank you. Tell him thank you for us. And thank you, sir. I appreciate that.

[03:29:00] I appreciate that. Okay, that was a little more painful than it should have been, but we got everybody in there. And, again, I apologize. I do not see a reason to not do this, this, um, item right now. There's just no reason to go on break and have everybody sit here till we come back. And so if staff is here for the Tillotsview item, would you please come to the table? And it's item number six supervisors. Okay.

[03:29:35] Good evening, Madam chair and board members. Um, no updates to the item. We do have DTCI and school staff here. If there are questions, can we have the school staff come to the table and can we have DTCI come to the table and there are no updates since the items come out well yeah but the public may not know that so can you just say oh i'm sorry so the the board item that was distributed last week for the hearing there's there's been no updates to the items since the distribution okay since since we talked about this item last time the update is the density oh i'm sorry what i meant was sometimes you have supplementals yeah changes after the board pack oh no yeah yeah there are no

[03:30:12] changes since the board packets been distributed okay so no changes since last thursday yes ma'am okay would you like to it would be helpful if you explain what happened since the last meeting to this meeting sure i can go ahead and pull up and hit the highlights of the thank you yes ma'am i mean we know it when we get a package i don't know if everybody else knows that that's why i'm asking for it no problem thank you board members my name is marshall schneider i'm the program manager for

[03:30:47] land use review in the department of planning and zoning standing in for bryce johnston as most you know this is the site. Again highlight rezoning proposal and this is the change that you're talking about is now 300 units. 101 single family attached and 199 single family attached units or townhouse units. Again noting the two districts that are proposed R16 district and R8 zoning district. These are the updates 57 units removed. Commitment for bike lanes along Black English

[03:31:27] Drive, based on school comment, also revised commitment for crosswalk studies, as noted here. This is regarding the improvements should a CPAM be approved, designating Waxpool Road and Black Angus, changing those designations within 18 months. Also installing a 10-foot shared use path along the property's frontage of Black Angus. This would be subject to the board approval of a

[03:32:02] corresponding CPAM. And this would be the CPAM to close the intersection of Waxpool and Belmont Ridge Road. We've again noticed the failing level of service at the intersection of Black's Pool and Touro Parish Drive and the applicant wishing credit for installation of improvements against their capital facilities or I'm sorry regional transit and these are just the outstanding issues that have already in the item but I assume you just wanted me to highlight the changes.

[03:32:36] If there are changes if there are changes. Those are those are the end of the of the changes. Okay yeah that was that's all I wanted with the changes everything else is the same. Okay supervisors Could I go to a motion? Ms. Glass, this is your district. I will go to you for a motion.

[03:32:54] Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Turner, would you turn your light off, please? I move that the Board of Supervisors... No, no, no, sir. I'm sorry, but no, sir. Ms. Glass, can you make a motion, please? I move that the Board of Supervisors approve LEGIE-2023-0031 TELUSVU ZMAP-2022-0003 subject to the proffer statement dated June 19th, 2024, and based on the following findings.

[03:33:26] The proposal is consistent with the Loudoun County 2019 General Plan Suburban Policy Area, Strategy 1.2, which recommends new development integrate with the existing development pattern, SPA Action 1.2A, which recommends the county evaluate the appropriateness of a proposed development with the surrounding community and the transition guidelines for the suburban compact neighborhood place type which recommend transitional methods where new development abuts less intensive residential uses the proposed development includes single-family detached units that will

[03:34:06] front the existing nearby single-family detached units which provides appropriate transition and integration to the surrounding community before transitioning to single-family attached units located near the existing elementary school in the western property edge. The proposal would permit new residential development which is integrated within the existing development pattern. Number two, the proposal provides additional measures to protect environmental resources and preserve or commemorate heritage resources by proffering to enhance lasting protections for nearby

[03:34:41] residents installation of historic interpretive signage about the immediate area and the preservation of the existing auction barn sign motions motions made by supervisor glass second by supervisor Brixman supervisor glass would you like to have an opening yes madam chair this this was a very difficult application for me but I do want to thank the residents who came out and spoke on this

[03:35:16] application tonight and many meetings that we've had I also want to thank all those who attended one of the four community meetings that held regarding this application I want to say that I'm supporting this application tonight because after months of negotiations I feel that we have an application for the area that we have in front of us tonight we have a good one this application started at 457 units with no transition between existing neighborhoods and at over nine units per acre. A density, I believe, was too high. However, thanks to the input from the nearby communities,

[03:35:56] the application has been reduced by, as someone has said before, 33 percent to 300 units, which would be six units per acre. The 101 single-family homes, there will be on the eastern portion of the property that abuts the neighboring single-family homes and the 199 townhomes front the road and the school which I feel provides the appropriate transition techniques from the existing communities that are spelled out in our comprehensive plan the transportation concerns that I previously had this on

[03:36:33] this application will be mitigated by the applicant and by traffic studies which have been incorporated into a board member initiative. There will be a new sidewalk down Waxpool Road, a traffic signal, and traffic calming studies.

[03:36:52] This will address the concerns the residents had. I understand and appreciate the concerns regarding Briarwoods being over capacity and not able to absorb the additional students.

[03:37:10] However, we have Rockridge, Stonebridge, and Broad Run, which will all be under capacity in the coming years. I do not deny that housing, saying that this school will be overcrowded, we can change the attendance boundaries to move the students to undercrowded schools.

[03:37:45] I know that sometimes that's not really a popular response, but that is something that we can do. And, you know, housing is a priority for me and many of my colleagues on the board as we are in a housing crisis, which is partially due to a lack of inventory.

[03:38:08] Ms. Glass, I'm going to stop you. You will have a closing, though, okay? You will have a closing. So, Ms. Umstead. Thank you, Madam Chair. I so would like to support Supervisor Glass. She's one of the

nicest people on this dais, but I cannot.

[03:38:30] I look at the neighbors have made very powerful arguments about the lack of infrastructure to handle the kind of traffic and school impacts that this development is going to impose upon them.

[03:38:47] And when it comes to the increase in annual school operating costs, it'll be over \$4 million a year. And when it comes to the estimated capital costs needed to offset the impacts of Tillett's view, it'll be over \$17 million a year.

[03:39:08] um i just think this community has has made so much of an investment in trying to persuade the board that this is not the right thing to do at this time we talk a lot about well it's not the additional people we don't want it's the fact that we don't have the infrastructure to handle them We do not have the infrastructure to handle this new community, and I think until we do, it just is unwise to go forward with this.

[03:39:45] It does pain me because I like to support Supervisor Glass whenever I can, but just tonight I can't. Thank you. I'm sorry. Mr. Turner, please. Thank you, Madam Chair. May I ask staff some questions? Sure, of course.

[03:40:01] Staff, there's a note under the school chart that says this chart doesn't include the impact of Tillett's view application. That kind of renders the chart meaningless. Am I missing something here? We just simply wish to point out that the projections that were prepared for the FY25 CIP do not take into account the Tillett view application.

[03:40:28] We include approved rezonings as they're phased in, but because this is a pending application, They weren't included in last fall's projection process. Okay, the other question is do we have no indication whatsoever of the traffic impact? There's no traffic analysis in here at all.

[03:40:49] We don't have a revised full traffic study that would show the impact of the 300 units. What we had was the 407 units. So while we know it would be less, we don't have a thorough understanding of that. What was the traffic impact of the 457 units?

[03:41:07] With the 400... Trips a day? We had issues at Truro Parish and Waxpool Road, which the applicant had agreed to mitigate but were requesting credit because they are only roughly about 4% of the traffic through that intersection. I believe that was the only intersection that was failing with their application.

[03:41:26] Okay, is Truro Parish and Waxpool Road failing now? It is. Thank you. Ms. Tacroni. Thank you, Chair Randall. So I'm not going to be supporting the application tonight. I want to thank the residents for coming out.

[03:41:44] You had to come out multiple times. So I do think the infrastructure is inadequate to serve the additional units. Waxpool Road is a curvy two-lane road. I went on it to see the location, and I don't think it can handle the traffic.

[03:42:04] And also, I would agree that there's safety issues. I know that there'll be a look at closing Waxpool and the Belmont Ridge Road intersection, but I don't know if that's going to help the situation or not without a study.

[03:42:24] So we don't have those answers. I'm also very concerned about school overcrowding. I think there's going to be nine modular units at Eagle Ridge, there's already overcrowding and briar woods is

overcrowded and um you saying hs14 might help is is not a solution because that's further south and frankly we'll need that for brambleton and for the developments south of evergreen mills road i also believe that this process was very long

[03:43:03] I think perhaps it should have gone back to the Planning Commission because it's the third time. It's come before the board, and DTCI did 14 referrals. So it's been a very long process, and I think these types of applications maybe should go back to the board or back to the Planning Commission for a review.

[03:43:23] But I want to thank the community for coming out, and I do appreciate Supervisor Glass having so many town halls and really researching, but I can't support this tonight. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Crony.

[03:43:38] Mr. Letourneau. Thank you, Madam Sheriff. So I sort of had two standards by which I would change my vote on this application, which was fewer units and no credit on the signal. One of those things happened, the other didn't. So sometimes we do give a credit.

[03:43:55] There's other applications, including tonight, where I'm okay with that. This particular one, because of, there's a tipping point effect that happens. I mean, we always have this discussion about one particular application and only charges, only causes 2% or 3% or 4%.

[03:44:11] Yeah, but it's that extra above that to me is kind of insult to injury on an application like this. And I just never understood with an application of this size and this many units, why they really had to take a credit on something that wasn't that expensive. So it's kind of a matter of principle for me at this point.

[03:44:27] Now, that said, I do want to push back a little bit on the school concerns simply from the standpoint macro level that LCPS has enrollment that is not growing at this point. And in fact, in some cases is declining.

[03:44:43] So when we look at here, even at Eagle Ridge, between 2023 and 2029, enrollment's declining. Now, how can that be? Well, it's because, look at that map. That's all existing development today feeding students into these schools.

[03:44:59] Those students are aging out. You see a curve, and we've seen this in Dallas South, we've seen this throughout the county. There's a big wave when these developments are new and people move in, and then those kids get through the system. People aren't moving out fast enough and being replaced with kids with younger students fast enough in order to keep up that same really heavy enrollment.

[03:45:19] So there is a gradual decline in enrollment that occurs in settled communities. Now, of course, there is new development occurring in this area, but it's a little north of where the really major development's happening, Because I know folks have referenced a few different projects. Some of those projects, quite frankly, have pretty small student generation because of the unit types like the DeMont and Silver.

[03:45:39] So I'm not as concerned about that in this case because we see this natural thing. And then the other thing, and Supervisor Glass said it, is we do have schools that are below our standards on enrollment. And at some point, yes, rather than continuing to build new schools in the same places, we will have to reallocate students.

[03:45:59] It doesn't mean you particular community would get moved, but that is something the board of supervisors wants to see happen because we don't want to have to continue to spend your money on building new schools everywhere. We want to see reallocation across the county where we have space in order to reduce our expenses.

[03:46:14] So I wanted to make that point, even though I'm not quite there on this application, because people are thinking about it today as if it's only going to get worse. But in reality, and there's plenty of examples of this around the county, it actually doesn't always happen that way. And you see students aging out and not being replaced.

[03:46:32] You know, the number of, just look at the class sizes by year, and you'll see what I'm talking about. And I've looked at this a lot. So I just wanted to make that point. Thank you. Mr. Kirshner. Thank you, Madam Chair. And I agree with a lot of the kind of analysis that Supervisor LeTerno gave.

[03:46:48] I'm going to support this application, but let me tell you why. I was on the fence for a while. I struggled with it, especially early on when it came to us. I think it was definitely too large. And I think, and to Supervisor, to Crony's point, this has been kicked around a lot.

[03:47:06] And I think, as a result, it's been refined enough to get me to the place where I need to get. Now, is it a perfect application? No. Do we ever have a perfect application? Very seldom. I will, if I could ask staff a question, Madam Chair, what's the maximum, the general plan calls for up to 16, or is it 24 units, potentially?

[03:47:26] 24. 24. So, per acre. Correct. So, I just want to point that out. Now, obviously, if an application came here at 24 units, we would never do that. But it doesn't mean a future board or a couple years from now could potentially do that. Now, that's obviously way too dense for this area.

[03:47:42] And I think we always have to take into account when we turn down an application, what are we going to get in this place? And I have experienced this as now, I believe, Supervisor Turner is in his district. True North is one of those exact applications where we actually had a very good housing development, a passport, not this board, that came in.

[03:48:03] It was 55 plus along the Goose Creek there. And that board said, ah, it's too many houses. We don't want it. Now, there are other reasons and whatnot. But what happened there was that application got turned down. It was a good application. It wasn't a perfect application similar to this. And now we have a data center there, which really data centers, in my personal opinion, probably should not have ever gone.

[03:48:23] But so anytime we have an application like this, we can say, yes, it doesn't quite meet the infrastructure. The neighbors aren't quite happy about it. But you could very well get a far worse application in here that may end up going in here at some point. Yeah, we control the seat right here, the nine of us right now, what goes through and what doesn't go through.

[03:48:43] But I think we need to keep that in mind. As far as the school analysis, it seems to me that Supervisor Letourneau said that according to Table 3, we're actually following an enrollment. So I'm not really super concerned about that. And so I think this application for me gets there.

[03:49:04] There's there's never there's never a perfect choice on this, but that's my thought process. Thank you. Thank you, mr. Kirsten miss Bricksman, thank you madam chair Well, I I supported the first application when it came through so I'm definitely gonna support this application I appreciate everyone

who came out to speak. I appreciate the patience of the applicant and the landowner as well I also have driven out there a number of times And I believe that the already failing intersection will get improved and that will be helpful.

[03:49:42] I also believe that the improvements on Belmont Ridge Road will be helpful. I also question why kids are driving to school when they're outside the walk zone and they could be taking a bus. That would probably reduce a good amount of traffic, especially during those critical hours.

[03:50:02] I wanted to ask staff one question and just to confirm something for me. As a result of the units dropping from 407 to 300, we've now lost five of our UNUs, correct? Yes, ma'am.

[03:50:19] And an UNU is an affordable unit, attainable unit. Unmet housing needs unit, yes, ma'am. Yeah, unmet housing needs attainable unit. So because of that reduction, we've lost five of those. I'm not that concerned as well with the schools. um wax pool this year is at 69 percent in 29 30 it will be at 59 percent eagle ridge right now is at 140 29 uh not 140 sorry 104 at yeah 140 that would be a serious problem um but in 29 30 it'll be at 90 percent um and if there is a delayed project i recommend that you um speak with your

[03:50:58] school board member about that to get the project back on track um if there's supposed to be an expansion there Briarwoods high school is at 89% now it will be at 102 in 2930 but there's also a new high school being built that will be open in 2028 if I'm correct about that LCBS can correct me if I'm wrong so I hear what the concerns are but I just think that we need to build more housing in Loudoun County it's just what we need to do I think when you look at this map what's coming

[03:51:34] with this application is no different than everything else that's around it. And every house that's on that map was built by a developer who came in with a housing application just like this. And those are homes that we live in now. So I would be hard pressed to say I get to live here and other people don't. So I appreciate all the hard work that Supervisor Glass and her staff did on this and our staff as well, and I will be supporting. Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Brixman.

[03:52:11] I am, and wrote down, very concerned to hear the school buses are coming through this area at a high rate of speed, and I know you're from LCPS, and I know you'll take that back and have the discussion with the Transportation Department so that the right people will hear about that, because that should not happen. One of the things when I have these moments I always consider is these questions. If this were my house, if these were my kids, and because I asked myself that question, I did go out to this neighborhood and drive it, I don't know, seven, eight times. In

[03:52:49] fact, like by the fourth time, I thought people are going to start looking at my car like I was casing your neighborhood, and I went and got the county car and drove around, so I wouldn't be in my car anymore. That's how much I came out there. Now, it's different when you live there. You see traffic that, you know, you don't see when you come out seven, eight times. It's not the same thing. But I did it because I promised I would, and I was curious. I did not see the traffic issues that you all are talking about. I went through the pickup line, the drop-off line at the elementary school. Ms. Glass was sitting there. She watched me go through it. I went through the

[03:53:26] drop-off line two times in six minutes. With somebody watching me do that, I timed it two times in six minutes. I sent my staff to go through the pickup line, and they got through very quickly as well. So I honestly did go out, because if it was my children or my neighborhood, I would want somebody

to come out and do that research, and I did. If there is anything that will stop me from saying yes to an application, it is school safety and children's safety.

[03:54:00] That's what will stop me. If I thought for one minute that I was concerned about these things, I would not vote for this application. I wouldn't. I do think enrollment's going to go down. I know no one wants to hear that your kid's school may be, your boundary lines may change.

[03:54:18] When my kids were in school, I had one kid at Stonebridge High School, one kid at Tuscarora High School, and then they played each other in football. So I understand what it's like to have the boundary lines changes. No one wants that either. But when you have a county that grows this fast, boundary line changes may happen.

[03:54:34] And if we have some schools sitting under capacity, the responsible thing to do is a boundary line change versus building more schools, which we don't need and will cost more taxpayer dollars. So I know that there will be boundary line changes in the future.

[03:54:50] I am hearing from you all, and I'm a little confused about this part, although when I had my town hall, I did hear this, that you don't want that road at Waxpool and Belmont Ridge closed, although I know that motion's coming up, so I'm a little confused about why that motion's coming.

[03:55:07] Well, I've heard nothing but the community say that you do want it. And so hopefully I'll get that straight. I'll get that answered in a second. Ms. Glass, would you like to close? Madam Chair, do you mind if I ask a couple of questions? In your closing?

[03:55:23] Sure. Okay. Can staff explain the remaining outstanding issues related to transportation mitigation? Related to which piece? I'm sorry. I'm sorry? Transportation.

[03:55:40] Transportation, the issues that you guys have been seeing regarding, yes, where it's failing. At Truro Parish and at Waxpool. And so that is something that fails in the existing condition.

[03:55:56] It's the northbound and southbound movements, which is essentially, I believe there's a Dunkin' Donuts there. It's coming out of that development, and it's the traffic across the street from them, that movement. The delay is just too high. with a stop-controlled intersection there.

[03:56:13] What we saw with the traffic study is that signalizing that intersection would improve it to an acceptable level of service. The applicant is asking for a credit. Again, they are a very small percentage of the total traffic at that intersection.

[03:56:30] We just do not have policy to support that, and so that's where we would look at you all to weigh in on that decision. The Waxpool Road closure, again, you know, we've stated in our referrals that we have concerns with this, but that's something I know that will be discussed in a few minutes.

[03:56:52] I believe those were the only outstanding issues that we really have at this point. Can staff tell me why wasn't there a right-turn lane to go into Waxpool Road from Belmont Ridge?

[03:57:07] It's my understanding that because of the culvert that is there under Belmont Ridge Road at that intersection, that the cost to expand that to incorporate the right turn lane would have just been so expensive it didn't make sense at the time. Okay.

[03:57:25] Again, I just want to thank everybody for coming out to speak about the application here and at the previous meetings. You know, I'm sorry that it couldn't be 50 units, but, you know, as you've heard my

colleagues and I've said before that we do have a housing issue in Loud County.

[03:57:48] And, you know, I know that some folks don't believe that, you know, 300 is, believe that 300 is too much. But I think that we have made strides in order to, in order for us to support this application.

[03:58:09] We'll have two open play lawns. We'll have a pool, a clubhouse, a playground, a court picnic table, and two fire pits and bus stops as well. Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you, ma'am. The motion was made by Supervisor Glass, seconded by Supervisor Bricksman.

[03:58:27] All in favor, please say aye. Opposed? Nay. I think I'm hearing a 6-3 vote with Mr. Letourneau, Ms. Umstad, and Mr. Crony voting against the application. Oh, I'm sorry. Then that's a 5-4 vote.

[03:58:43] Add Mr. Sainz to the no vote on that application. Thank you. So do you want to do your BMI right now because it's connected before we walk away? Yes, Madam Chair. Please.

[03:58:59] Thank you, staff. So what I normally do in BMI's Ms. Glass is, because it's a BMI, I normally ask that the person putting forth the BMI, in this case you, take about two minutes to introduce what you're trying to do, and then we go on and then go on and make the motion.

[03:59:20] Because that's how I normally do that. So how about you do that? Take a couple of minutes and describe what you're trying to do with this BMI. Okay. So as what was discussed before in the application for Tillots, we have a traffic issue.

[03:59:42] We have folks that are going through the neighborhoods. We have people cutting through. We have folks that are speeding. We have a concern that once Belmont Ridge Road is completed, that people who make the right-hand turn into Waxpool Road will be a hazard because the speed is 45 miles per hour and you're coming down a hill,

[04:00:17] and that's just a safety issue that I feel would occur. And so the community had come to me and said that they wanted to, the HOA wanted to do a traffic study because of the issues of speeding and cut through and that sort of thing.

[04:00:42] So that is the reason why I would like to have this BMI. As well as one other thing, Waxpool Road used to be, many years ago, one long continuous road, but now that we have new roadways, that there are different parts of Ashburn that has Waxpool Road, and it doesn't connect.

[04:01:09] So I would like for us to see if we could change that name to something else instead of Waxpool Road. Can you tell us, because you don't mean all of Waxpool Road. No, no, no, just from Truro Parish Drive in that area, like where Truro Parish and Waxpool.

[04:01:38] And then there's like the Dunk of Donuts. There's a shopping area right across the street. And then that's where most people go to Eagle Ridge Elementary School. and then it flows down to the other side to Belmont Ridge Road.

[04:01:57] Okay, so you want to go on? I think any questions that can be asked can be asked in the motion. You want to go on and make a motion? Yes, Madam Chair. I move that the Board of Supervisors direct staff to initiate and prepare a comprehensive plan amendment to amend the countywide transportation plan to remove Waxpool Road between Belmont Ridge Road and Black Angus Drive from the Loudoun County 2019 Countywide Transportation Plan and to add Black Angus Drive from Belmont Ridge Road to Waxpool Road to the Loudoun County 2019 Countywide Transportation Plan

[04:02:32] in accordance with Virginia Code 15.2-2223 and 15.2-2229. The proposed amendment shall be prioritized for completion within 18 months.

[04:02:49] The proposed amendment shall be referred to the Planning Commission for public hearing in accordance with Virginia Code 15.2-2229. I further move that the Board of Supervisors direct staff to identify funds in a project timeline to perform a traffic calming and or cut through traffic study for the following roads.

[04:03:09] Auction Barn Drive, Iona Spring Drive, Laurier Drive, and Waxpool Road from Belmont Ridge Road, Figure 1, Vicinity Map, Item 8, VMI, Waxpool Area Traffic Studies, Board of Supervisors Business Meeting, July 2, 2024.

[04:03:26] Page 6 to Truro, Parris Drive, in accordance with the process set out in the county's Residential Traffic Management Guide. I further move that the Board of Supervisors direct staff to determine cost estimates, appropriateness, and other impacts associated with renaming the section of Waxpool Road between Belmont Ridge Road and Truro Parris Drive to another road name and renaming the section of Truro Parris Drive between Waxpool Road and Belmont Ridge Road to Waxpool Road and to return to the Board with the findings for further consideration.

[04:03:59] Okay, before, I'm happy to second the motion for purpose of the discussion, But before I do that, I need a point of clarification. So the first part of your motion is not a study. It's an initiation to do it. Because when we talked, I thought we were going to do a study.

[04:04:18] But it actually says to direct staff to initiate and prepare the Comprehensive Plan Amendment. So let me just do this. Let me second this for the purpose of discussion. And if you can answer that question, that'd be great.

[04:04:35] Okay. So I'll second it for the purpose of discussion. So as we've heard today, residents in the Waxpool area of Ashburn have concerns about traffic in their neighborhood. This BMI was developed after several meetings I had with residents about the Tillots View application.

[04:04:52] Most of the concerns revolve around speeding, dangerous intersections, and cut-through traffic. The BMI will study whether the intersection of Waxpool Road and Belmont Ridge Road should be closed to prioritize a signal at the Black Angus intersection. Study for traffic calming on several neighborhood roads and have staff look into the cost and effects of renaming some of the roads to avoid confusion and 90-degree turns with no name change.

[04:05:20] The CPAM will not affect the DPZ work plan priority as this BMI will be assigned to DTCL. It is expected that the work can be done by January of 2026. If the review determines the intersection should not be closed and it will not be closed, I ask my colleagues to support this initiative as it's how I can address concerns that residents have in this area for traffic safety concerns due to the Tillots View application.

[04:05:49] Okay, I'll come back to my question because I don't know that I heard any answer, so I'll come back to it. Ms. Umstead? Thank you, Madam Chair. I confess I have not focused as much on the closing of Waxpool Road, but I'm looking at an email that we received from Mr. Mirza, who, under the category Safety of Walkers and Drivers Already at Risk, says Waxpool Road and Belmont are key routes for students of Briarwoods, and instead of closing it, we must add a light at Waxpool Road and Belmont Ridge Road.

[04:06:29] the current traffic and accidents require it. I'd be comfortable with a study. I'm not at the point where I would support initiating a CPAM, but a study into this area I would support. I don't know if Supervisor Glass would be willing to amend her motion to take it away from initiating a CPAM and just studying this traffic situation there?

[04:07:03] Well, that's actually literally the question I ask. Well, before we get to a friendly, that's actually, with respect, that's actually the question I ask, and with respect, I might have missed the answer, but I don't think I heard an answer to that question.

[04:07:18] So my concern is that would a study affect, you know, this application when we need, if I need to have this done in order for the applicant to pay for a traffic signal or if it's determined that a traffic signal at Black Angus and Belmont Ridge Road, which they said that they would study and install a traffic signal there should the traffic at the new intersection warrant a signal,

[04:07:55] as well as if it's determined that Waxpool Road needs to be closed. Be careful, because you're still in Ms. Umstead's time. I'm sorry. So I just want to make sure. That's all right.

[04:08:10] Okay, okay, go on. If it's necessary to close Waxpool Road because there are two entryways or exitways from on to Belmont Ridge Road on Black Angus and Waxpool Road.

[04:08:34] That's where the problem is with getting a signal because there are two ways of getting out. So that's one of the reasons. Okay. Ms. Almsa, did you get your answer? Okay.

[04:08:50] Yes, thank you. Mr. Turner. Thank you, Madam Chair. I'd like to propose a friendly and basically reverse paragraphs one and two. So we would start with the study, I move the Board of Supervisors and direct staff to identify funds and project timeline.

[04:09:05] Everything in the second paragraph there is a study. And then in paragraph 1, follow it with paragraph 1, I would say I further move that should the study identified earlier warrant it, I move that the Board of Supervisors, and then it's all the language around the CPAM, and then the third paragraph remains unchanged.

[04:09:25] So it makes the first paragraph contingent on the study results in the second paragraph. Ms. Glass? I'm sorry.

[04:09:43] That's what I thought. It won't make the 18 months. The problem is that it won't be done in 18 months. Yeah, that's the problem. And then-- So then the answer is you're not going to accept the friendly. No.

[04:09:58] I'm just trying to keep Robert's Rules of Order moving right here. That's all. You won't accept the friendly. Mr. Turner, do you have anything else in your time? I can't support it then. I'm not going to approve a CPAM in the absence of a study. OK, thank you. Mr. Letourneau? Yeah, I would suggest perhaps that we can divide the motion really separating out the traffic calming and cut-through traffic study with the rest of the motion because I would really like to support Supervisor Glass on that.

[04:10:28] And I know that that residents want to see it, but I have, I mean, just from a process standpoint, and I don't like the metal in other people's districts, but we don't typically rush right to a CPAM without understanding it. And we have had residents already telling us they don't want us to do this.

[04:10:46] it's I I understand we're in this tough spot because of how the proffer was worded maybe there's some creative way to get around that or do the work quickly but I do think we need some analysis

before we just initiate a CPAM and I don't want to send staff out doing a CPAM only for the board to decide we don't really want to do it because we just we've already I know it's DTIC staff and not DPZ staff but you know there's trust me all the work that our office does with TDCI staff they're pretty busy so I would like to separate these and

[04:11:22] so actually so there are three paragraphs yeah parts not two so when you say I know I know you're saying separate paragraph one from paragraph two but yeah paragraph three I have three I guess is also kind of a study it's findings for consideration so so I would yeah so so so when there was a request to divide a motion that request is granted so we are going to then divide this motion into three I think I'm I think one I think two and three are

[04:11:58] probably okay because they're both studies it's one that's problematic I don't know yeah yeah yeah but it's still it could probably just be motions to divide just separating out one from the rest of it miss miss class I will I will say yes to a division of the motion, would you rather have a division of the motion of all three paragraphs or are you fine with the division of the motion of paragraph one and then paragraph two and three?

[04:12:29] With two and three together and one. Okay, so how about we take, I think two and three would be a little easier, so how about we take motions two and three first and you've already made the motion for two and three. I've already seconded the motion for two and three.

[04:12:44] Most of the discussion has been on paragraph one so let's focus right now everyone on paragraphs two and three because they are both a study and does anyone have any comments for paragraphs two and three separate from paragraph one mr crony you have something for two and three separate from pair then can you turn your light off then yeah i have one okay but we're not there yet miss miss brixman paragraph two and three? I do have a question. So traditionally the way these come about are through the traffic

[04:13:19] calming process where the HOA writes a letter. Have you gotten an indication from the HOA that they want a traffic calming study? Is there a letter on file that they want it? Yes, I do have a letter on file. It's attached to the end of this. It is. Okay, great. And then has DTC PCI done any, then what they normally do is they go kind of do some preliminary analysis of existing data to say whether a study is merited.

[04:13:51] Have they done that work yet? No, they have not. They have not done that work yet. Okay. There has been some things done, but what you're talking about, I don't believe, has been done yet. Okay. All right.

[04:14:06] Thank you. I guess I'll support it. So both Paragraph 2 and Paragraph 3 are both for studies, and Paragraph 3, most especially, you know, it's to determine cost estimates, appropriateness, and other impacts associated with renaming.

[04:14:23] And so that's a pretty holistic study because it's not just about cost. We have learned, painfully, we have learned that other impacts associated with renaming a road are their businesses on the roads.

[04:14:39] And if there are businesses on that road, they have to change their name. They have to change things like if they have trucks or a fleet, they have to have their trucks rewrapped and renamed. Who pays for those things? So we had this whole discussion last year when we did some road renaming.

[04:14:58] So a road renaming is a big thing to do. If there are people who live on that road, and I think there are, there's also like people are pretty used to their own addresses and things like that. So I will support the study because it says cost estimates, appropriateness, and other associated impacts.

[04:15:21] because I'd like to know all those things, businesses. I'd like for them to talk to the residents who live over there to find out how they feel about that. I do think Waxpool is choppy, you know, it's choppy. And so, you know, because I drive over there all the time, it's choppy.

[04:15:39] But I don't know if it actually causes issues, but it's choppy. And so I'm fine doing the study, and I'll see what the study says when it comes back to make a decision. But please realize that it's much more than just changing a street sign when you're renaming a road.

[04:16:01] But I'll support paragraphs two and three. Ms. Glass, would you like? No, that wasn't. I don't even know who did that. Actually, that would have been Ms. Letourneau. Are you good with that? Okay. Do you have anything else to say on that? Okay. So if he made that motion, then I will, because if he asked for the division of the motion, I will say for the books that I would have seconded that, okay?

[04:16:25] Just for the record, okay. All in favor with saying yes to paragraphs two and three, please say aye. Any opposed? All right, that motion will pass unanimously. Okay, now let's go back to paragraph one. If you've already spoke, because you started with paragraph one, I'm not going to call on you again, because we've already spoken on that one time.

[04:16:48] I don't, Ms. Sainz, you didn't speak on paragraph one? Okay, I'll call on Mr. Sainz, and I'll call on Mr. Crony on paragraph one. Thank you, Madam Chair. I know this is a BMI, so I'm gonna ask if this is even allowed, because normally we have to work it out ourselves, but is there any way we can possibly ask Mr. Hemistry to maybe give some possible guidance to see if we can change the wording to change it into a study that will satisfy the majority of us, and also is there a way to meet the requirements with the proffer language for the item that we just wrote?

[04:17:20] Well, but if Ms. Glass doesn't want to do that because of the question she asked, With due respect to Mr. Hemstreet, it's a BMI, so if Ms. Glass doesn't want to, I don't think we should jump over her and jump next. We're just trying to find a way to save it and make a friendly motion. I know, but it's her, we can't, Mr. Sainz.

[04:17:36] All right. I just want to respect the fact that it's a BMI in the make of the motion. I understand what you're trying to do, but yeah. Mr. Crony? Thank you, Chair Randall. So I don't know if I can support this without a study.

[04:17:52] The reason why is because Loudoun County Public Schools made it clear that they cannot support the rerouting of traffic in front of Waxpool Elementary School. Waxpool Elementary School can only be accessed via Black Angus Drive, which was constructed for the purpose of providing access to the school.

[04:18:11] Further, the applicant's traffic impact study indicates that vehicles at the intersection of Belmont Ridge Road and Black Angus Drive would queue onto Black Angus Drive and block the entrances to Waxpool Elementary School.

[04:18:36] So I think I definitely think a study is needed and I mean I don't I don't know about the timing but just because Waxpool Elementary School is right there and I certainly don't want to see all you know

issues with queuing and with traffic entering and exiting that school. So I wish that we could do a study.

[04:18:52] I don't know if a study can be expedited. I don't know if Lou, I don't know. Okay, thank you. Ms. Bricksman? Thank you, Madam Chair. I'll come back to you because you made the motion. If I might ask the maker of the BMI, the maker of the motion, is a light already triggered at Waxpool and Belmont Ridge?

[04:19:15] No. Okay. Okay. I can't support this, actually, partly because of the 18-month restriction. And staff told us during our first item that if we were to direct them to do another CPAM, it would inevitably bump something that's already in our DPZ work plan for CPAMs.

[04:19:45] If it weren't for the 18-month restriction, I'd be happy to put it at the end of the CPM work plan. But I don't think I can do that. And also, it just seems really counterintuitive to me to say, on the one hand, we're going to put bike lanes on Black Angus per a proffer, but then we're going to close the intersection at Waxpool and basically inevitably force all the traffic down Black Angus.

[04:20:21] And the community, initially when they came out here, they were saying they were so fearful about the kids at the elementary school being endangered. Well, I don't know how any better way to endanger the kids at the elementary school than to force all the traffic through there.

[04:20:39] I mean, that's just so counterintuitive to me why you would want to close that intersection and force all the traffic in front of the elementary school and put bike lanes there. I mean, I'm for multimodal forms of transportation and sharing the road, but that just seems like a bit much to go in front of a high school.

[04:20:58] So, again, I might support a study. I might have supported it if we didn't have the 18-month restriction. But as it's written right now, and I don't think I would be in favor of closing the intersection anyway, I don't think I can support it. Thank you, Madam Chair. So, Supervisor Glass, I don't – listen, you've been on the board with me for five years, and you have worked harder on this application than anything – I mean, you just have so many meetings with the community and so many listening sessions and such time.

[04:21:37] And so I really appreciate your commitment and what you've done on this application, getting them down and all of it, getting them down to manage your homes and all of it. Having said that, when you called me about this and asked what I supported, you specifically said, I want to study, to study this area.

[04:21:59] My commitment to supporting you on this motion was because we were talking about study. I had the same thoughts of Ms. Bricksman, which is two things. One, I haven't seen members of the community ask for this. In fact, I've heard them ask just the opposite.

[04:22:16] And two, it pushes all the traffic down to Black Angus right by the school. And even if you go around, you're still back up on Waxpool, and people will still, I think, drive just as quickly. Maybe all I could think was maybe you thought coming off of Black Angus, if they made a left turn, they were going to drive slower, like the turn itself would slow them down.

[04:22:38] And maybe it would, but there's also no houses over there. So they're going to hit the speed pretty fast. And so I didn't understand the reason, but I did, but I would have been happy to support the study. And that is what we discussed when you called me was a study.

[04:22:55] It is not appropriate, and I'm being very consistent to jump right to having the staff do something and not do a study first. And no matter what the issue is, I'm pretty consistent about that, even though people haven't, you know, liked my answers.

[04:23:13] I like getting facts. I like getting details. I like getting stats. I like getting numbers. I like doing my own research before I can make a decision. And so I can't in good conscience say we're going to do a comprehensive plan amendment and amend the CTP without knowing why, especially since I'm already a little hesitant to even understand why we would do that anyway.

[04:23:42] So I really do appreciate what you're trying to do, and I certainly appreciate how much work you put into this. You're kind of between a rock and a hard place with the 18-month thing. I've never seen that before either, and I think it's a little tiny bit of a setup, to be honest.

[04:24:02] But there's nothing, but it is, I mean, that's the property that's in there right now, to be honest. And so, you know, I second it for the purpose of the discussion. We have discussed it. I appreciate what you're doing, but I cannot support this.

[04:24:18] Would you like to have a closing? Okay. Yes. No.

[04:24:37] Good? Okay. Okay. All right. So the motion was made by Supervisor Glass. I seconded the motion. All in favor say aye and opposed. That motion will fail. 1-8 with Supervisor Glass voting for your motion.

[04:24:55] I understand. All right. We are done with those two items, and we're going to take our, let's take, let's go on break and do the closed session at one time. So does anyone need a break? You all good? Good? No, we're good. Fine. Wonderful. Ms. Bricksman, will you please read us in the closed session?

[04:25:14] Yes. I move that the Board of Supervisors recess this public meeting and enter into closed session pursuant to sections 2.2-3711A8 and A29 of the Code of Virginia to consult with legal counsel pertaining to the negotiation of a collective bargaining agreement where public funds are being expended and where negotiations in open session would adversely affect the bargaining position of the county.

[04:25:44] Just one second. No, it's not even that. If you're here for the fender drive, will you raise your hand? Oh, the applicant's here for the fender drive. You meant when we say the people. I think, I'm sorry, we need a break. Okay, okay, we need a break.

[04:26:00] So I'll second that. Sorry. All in favor, please say aye. Any opposed? Okay, we are in closed session.

[05:35:22] on. There we go. We are back. Ms. Bricks, won't you please read us our closed session? I will. Oh, Ms. Lohr, okay. Wait, hold on. Mr. Crony is not here yet. Do, do, do, do, do, do, do.

[05:35:44] Yeah. Okay. Okay.

[05:36:00] I move that the closed session be adjourned, that the Board of Supervisors reconvene its public meeting, that the minutes of the public meeting should reflect that no formal action was taken in the closed session and further move that the resolution certifying the closed session be adopted and reflected in the minutes of this public meeting second discussion on the motion all the people say aye any opposed will everybody please affirm affirm affirm mr saints affirm affirm affirm affirm affirm and i affirm affirm as well and that motion will pass unanimously

[05:36:39] um okay let's go to um work oh no no no no no let's go to defender drive before we do board comments let's go to the fender drive uh item number nine seven okay seven let's go to the fender drive and then we'll do board comments nope then board comments after the 50 drive board comments and then i'll call okay i did not forget about it thank you lots of help tonight

[05:37:17] lots of help we're ready when you are you guys have any um information updates things you want and tell us. Yes, Chair Rantel. I do have a presentation, however, I'm happy to defer.

[05:37:33] There are two updates to the item. I can go over those quickly. Otherwise, there have been no other. How about we go ahead and do the quick updates, and then I'll go to Mr. Letourneau for a motion. Yes, ma'am. So there were two primary updates. The first was a revision to the proffer for active recreation. They replaced a 600-square-foot bocce ball court with a half-court basketball court. And so that is now in the proffers and reflected on the CDP. Not pickleball. And the second proffer revision is a minor technical revision to state

[05:38:12] that the Route 50 and South Running Boulevard cash contribution can be used for transportation improvements within the Dulles planning sub-area. Previously, the proffer is stated within one mile of that intersection. But those are the only two updates to the item. Does Mr. Letourneau know or play bocce ball i am quite familiar with bocce ball but i am not an avid player okay yes i move the board of supervisors approve legy 2023 0025 defender drive z map 2021 0023

[05:38:48] subject to the proper statement dated june 14 2024 and based on the planning commission's findings for approval provided as attachments two and three to the july 2nd 2024 board of Supervisor's Business Meeting Action Item. Second. Discussion on the motion, Mr. Littleton. Yeah, so I grew up in central Massachusetts, which has a significant Italian population.

[05:39:07] The only people that I saw playing bocce were older Italian men. I am sure that it does have a broader demographic, but I think the basketball court is going to be a better fit for this particular neighborhood. And then this other cash, I'm just saying, this is true.

[05:39:27] The other cash contribution change is giving us more flexibility on how to use that additional profit language related to the funding that's being provided for the South Riding Boulevard in case we don't end up pursuing that. Otherwise, I think we've covered this pretty well in the hearings.

[05:39:45] This is the application to jog your memory that could be Bayright Data Center. I think the board has been motivated to go in the residential route instead. I worked quite a bit with the applicant for a long period of time on this. We've come to agreement on the overall density and on design, which I think is appropriate for what's around it.

[05:40:07] Again, not everybody in the community was in complete agreement with this plan versus the data center plan, but I think in the long run, those who are closest to the community are gonna be appreciative of this. And overall, it's a good application.

[05:40:22] - I'm already getting texts from Italian men. I just want you to know that that took about .5 seconds for the first Italian man to send me a text. So, listen, Matt Letourneau is his name. All of us alike.

[05:40:44] Okay. Can you ask him if they're going to move in here? He lives here already. This neighborhood where we're doing... I'm not, I'm not, I'm not, I'm not. Okay, Miss Letourneau. Thank you,

Madam Chair. I appreciate the dilemma that Supervisor Letourneau has been in trying to work to get commercial to this spot.

[05:41:08] But I have to vote against it. Again, it's \$2.7 million additional dollars per year on school operating costs and \$8.2 million on capital improvements needed to accommodate those students.

[05:41:28] But I appreciate Supervisor Letourneau's position. Thank you. Ms. Brooksman? Thank you, Madam Chair. I just want to thank the applicant for making that change to a more useful type of court, hopefully.

[05:41:45] And also, I think this may be only the second time since I've been on this board that we've had data center by right land that went to residential. um and so i'll i'll commend the the supervisor for working really hard on this and really happy to support thank you yeah i said that last time that this is the second time that this has happened and it is um appreciate it very much you you you gave us the community what we wanted needed more and that doesn't always happen and and thank you very much thank you very much for that all right

[05:42:20] would you like to close uh just apparently since it caused greatest great stir so the game of bocce was developed in Italy in its presence form where it's predominantly played according to wikipedia so that's that's why so i was just talking about you know who is likely to move in here and whether they're going to play basketball i think they will thank you okay Italian men don't play basketball that's a question okay we're just messing with Mr. Turner at this point all right all in favor

[05:42:56] please say aye any opposed that motion will pass eight to one okay um i will go we have two more motions but let's do public i mean let's do board comments first just just so we can get these done Mr. Kirshner Board comments is that what you said Madam Chair So I only disclosure I have On July 1st I met with Eric Zick And Dennis Vertz and others Concerning the Albright property And a proposed Conservation easement And quasi development that They are looking at there In terms of comments I just wanted to wish everybody A happy 4th of July

[05:43:34] As you well know we are celebrating our 248th birthday of this nation and the sacrifice and the tremendous risk that our founders undertook when they formed this nation and put their name on the Declaration of Independence as well as formed our new constitution. Many of you may not know this, but here's a little fun fact. The celebrating, we celebrate with fireworks kind of started as a suggestion by John Adams, who wrote to his wife, Abigail Adams, on the day that they signed the Declaration of Independence, which would have

[05:44:13] been July 2nd. And he believed that July 2nd, quote, ought to be solemnized with pomp and parade with shows, games, sports, guns, bells, bonfires, and illuminations from one end of the continent to the other, from this time forward and forever. So it ended up that it was actually ratified on July 4th, which is why we celebrate on July 4th, but a very interesting fact there. And we really are doing that in the western part of the county, as we are in the eastern part of the county, but We've had a lot of celebrations.

[05:44:43] I had the privilege of attending Hillsboro Independence Day celebration. It's maybe one of the best fireworks displays out there. If you have not had a chance to go, you should go next year. It's usually the Sunday or Saturday, I think, before the 4th, and it is spectacular.

[05:45:02] At one point, I think the same folks that put it on the display in D.C. put it on, but it's constant fireworks nonstop. So anyway, there are a lot of other parades and fireworks displays going on in

Leesburg, Lovitzville, Middleburg, Purseville, and Waterford if you are looking for them.

[05:45:18] So that is really the news from Catoctin. That's all I had to say. Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you, sir. Thank you, Madam Chair. Disclosures on June 11th, I met with Ben Wales' team on Fleetwood North and Defender Drive.

[05:45:41] On June 17th, I met with Michael Capretti about the proposal, I think that's coming to public hearing next week, on the 100% affordable project with data centers.

[05:45:59] And on the 24th, I met with Mike Romeo and members of the Hiddenwood Assemblage. Just a reminder, Leesburg has a great 4th of July parade coming up on the 4th at 10 a.m. from Ida Lee down to Safeway, and then fireworks that evening after dusk.

[05:46:25] Thank you. Okay, Ms. Glass. Thank you, Madam Chair. For disclosures, on June 25th, my staff met with representatives of the Hidden Assemblage application. On June 27th, my staff met with representatives to discuss the Child's View application.

[05:46:44] On June 25th, I participated in the NACO South Region Monthly Meeting, which was more of a presentation of those running for second vice president, as well as discussion on our upcoming NACO event down in Florida.

[05:47:01] On June 27th, I attended the NVRC meeting. We had a presentation with Patrick Small from the Northern Virginia Economic Alliance and Danny Vargas from Gove Virginia, Northern Virginia Council. There was a lot of good work done.

[05:47:19] We adopted four resolutions there and also participated in the Hillsborough. So I was down in Catoctin area with Supervisor Kirshner for the fireworks as well as reading the Constitution.

[05:47:39] And I want to thank Mayor Vance for allowing me to be a part of that. And the funny thing about it is that I had bet Beth Erickson that I would say it in a British accent.

[05:47:55] And she was like, I dare you. I said, okay. and i did it was so funny on that day british accents i'm sure didn't go very well she actually did an amazing job on her british accent and beth chickened out she said you do it too oh now we have to mess with beth for that yeah we must we must mess with beth now um thank you miss glass um mr turner thank you mad chair on monday june 24th uh my staff and i met with roy Barnett and Denise Harrover from Fan Meter to discuss the application for

[05:48:34] Orem Farm rezoning. Monday, June 24th, my staff met with Mike Romeo from Walsh Kalusha, Lubley, and Walsh PC to discuss the application for JK Data Center and North Star Residential. Thursday, June 27th, I met with Colin Stiles from Terra Dominion Group to discuss the Avon Moore application. Friday, June 28th, my staff and I met with Mike Romeo from Walsh Kalusha, Lubley, and Walsh PC, property owners of the Hiddenwood Assemblage, to discuss the Hiddenwood assemblage application on Monday July 1st 2024 my staff and I met with Michael Capretti from Capretti land and Derek with the black chamber LLC to discuss

[05:49:09] the innovation gateway application this is a special time of year for me it's the anniversary and I want to say it's the 160th maybe 59th anniversary of the Battle of Gettysburg which was full on July 1st second and third July 1st was Buford's defense of the Northeast Road, which the Confederate General Heath came down, and the Black Hats from the 2nd Wisconsin used repeater rifles for the first time, and then won that, didn't win the battle, they retreated into the town.

[05:49:47] Today, July 2nd, is the anniversary of Joshua Lawrence Chamberlain's defense of Little Roundtump against the 15th Alabama. He was pretty well overwhelmed, and he improbably ordered a bayonet charge, which turned the tide and actually routed the 15th Alabama and caught himself off guard.

[05:50:07] He went on to subsequent battles and was shot through the hip from one side to the other in a subsequent battle. He was promoted to Brigadier General. General Grant thought he was going to die. He recovered and took the surrender at Appomattox, and as the Confederate soldiers walked between the Union troops, he called the Union troops to not present arms, but basically to attention.

[05:50:34] And the Confederate general never forgot that gesture of respect. They remained friends for the rest of their life. And then July 3rd, tomorrow, is the anniversary of Pickett's Charge, which was a high-water mark of Gettysburg at the Copse of Trees.

[05:50:50] And I was actually at the 100th centennial celebration in 1963, and they reenacted that charge. It's a pretty amazing history. If you're going to study one Civil War battle, the Battle of Gettysburg is pretty amazing because it really was the turn of the tide.

[05:51:06] And then on July 4th, not only did we celebrate the win at Gettysburg, but we also took Vicksburg down south because that had been under siege for quite some time when we took Vicksburg. So pretty momentous time right now. Thank you very much. Thank you. Thank you.

[05:51:23] Mr. Letourneau. Thank you, Madam Chair. I just wanted to start by thanking the South Riding Proprietary for an outstanding fireworks show this past Friday. I also enjoyed participating in the Stone Ridge annual 4th of July parade the following Saturday morning.

[05:51:41] A little bit of news from Metro. First of all, if you are going downtown for the fireworks at Metro, we are going fare-free after 5 p.m. So you won't have to stop and pause for your smart trips. You can get in, particularly with the crowds downtown.

[05:51:59] We want to move people through as fast as possible. And in other news from Metro, I did just want to let the board know we have a new chairman. After over five years, Paul Smedberg is no longer our chair, and Valerie Santos from the District of Columbia has taken over.

[05:52:15] I can talk to all of you individually about that, but just want to thank Paul, a great personal friend of mine and an outstanding chairman of our board for a long time through a very difficult period, so he's really done an extraordinary job, and we just thank him for his service.

[05:52:31] A couple disclosures on June 26th, I met with Rainey Minshew and Mike Romeo of Walch-Kaluchi, as well as Clayton Talk of Urban to discuss Hiddenwood Assemblage. Also included were all the residents of Hiddenwood that are also the applicants, LEGIE 2023-0070.

[05:52:47] And then not a required disclosure, but last night, July 1st, I had a community meeting with the Briarfield Estates community, which is adjacent to Hiddenwood, about that project as well. I would say probably around 70 residents showed up, and that is a 72-home community.

[05:53:06] So it was a very well-attended meeting. So I will not be present next week at the public hearing in which the Hiddenwood meeting, Hiddenwood application is going to be discussed. So I just wanted to share a few thoughts with the board, and consistent with what I've told both sets of residents.

[05:53:23] So I don't anticipate, hopefully, next week that you'll be making a decision on this application. It's simply a public hearing. We'll move the application, I believe, to the second meeting in September. I

believe that there is a lot of work that needs to be done here. The existing layout shows three data centers, one of which is very close to an existing cul-de-sac in Hiddenwood, I mean in Briarfield Estates.

[05:53:49] It is too close than what something I would be comfortable supporting is. This is a truly challenging situation. It is honestly the most challenging land use case I think I've seen since I've been on the board because I have tremendous empathy for the residents who live on Hiddenwood Lane.

[05:54:09] I do want to say that we are significantly improving transportation access. They will no longer have to use Racefield Lane to access Hiddenwood Lane, which is a private lane. We're opening an extension of Dulles West Boulevard that connects to the new part of North Star Boulevard.

[05:54:25] The projected date on that is July 22nd. So one of their big complaints has been about potholes and those sorts of things, and the county is addressing that. They're coming to us because of the JK2 data center application, which I did not support at the time, but they're concerned about the lack of buffer that they have to all the data center there, and that's an absolutely valid concern.

[05:54:49] I don't blame them for sharing it. At the same time, the next neighborhood up, Briarfield Estates, concern about the impact of converting all those homes to data centers and i also have empathy for that feeling so the question is you know is there a solution here that can work for everybody that doesn't degrade the quality of life of briarfields while still helping to make the hidden one residents whole in some way kind of for all the things that have happened you know they did not ask for all the changes in the surrounding area to them it is you kind of saw earlier with the map we've turned this into a very industrial area with just a couple of pockets of residential

[05:55:27] development. So it's super challenging. I am continuing to work on this. I've had, you know, several conversations with both applicants, their attorneys, their representatives, promised the Briarfield community we're going to continue to work with them to try to move toward a solution. But I think it's going to be a while before we get there.

[05:55:50] So I just encourage everybody to listen to the comments. I think you'll see a lot of residents coming forward next week that have a lot of emotion involved in this and really strong-held beliefs. And I'm encouraging everybody to certainly use their rights and be heard on this on both sides.

[05:56:09] And then certainly I anticipate we'll be having more conversations here, and I'm going to be continuing to work with both communities to try to move forward. So just wanted to say that, and look forward to seeing everybody soon. Bye. Bye, and bring back lots of pictures.

[05:56:29] Mr. Zanes. Thank you, Madam Chair. Starting with my disclosures first, June 24th, talked to Michael Krupperty in regards to innovation gateway application that will be coming in front of us next week. On June 28th, I met with Mike Romeo of Walsh Colucci and the applicants, the residents of Hiddenwood Assemblage, for that application, which, again, as just mentioned, will be coming in front of us next week. I'd like to remind everybody, you know, summer is out. Loudoun County Public Schools will be providing free meals this summer. So if you go to Forest Grove Elementary School, Frederick Douglass Elementary School, Guilford Elementary School, Meadowland Elementary School,

[05:57:06] Potomac Elementary School, Sterling Middle School from July 8th through July 26th, You can get breakfast and lunch, and if you go to the Sterling Library and Sully Elementary School between, well, Sterling Library, excuse me, from June 17th to August 9th, you can get lunch in Sully Elementary School from July 8th to July 26th.

[05:57:28] You can get breakfast and lunch at that location as well, so please make sure you visit LCPS website to get more information for free lunch for those who are in need. And I'd like to say thank you to Parks and Rec for putting on a good fireworks display on Sterling this past Friday at Sterling Middle School.

[05:57:47] And we appreciate the Sterling Golf Club folks as well for their allowing us to use the golf club to shoot off the fireworks, which were done very nicely. We had a good family environment, picnic, barbecue-style atmosphere. And so that was good fun.

[05:58:04] And to the residents that came out to speak to us, as always, thank you for coming out and talking about the issues that are near and dear to your heart. We appreciate it, especially to those who came out and spoke in regards to the ongoing conflict in Palestine and Gaza. I see you and I hear you.

[05:58:21] So with that, I will give back my time. Thank you. Thank you very much. Mr. Croy. Thank you, Chair Randall. With disclosures, on July 1st, I met with Kathleen Leonard and the Dominion team to discuss the Golden to Mars transmission project. On June 28th, I met with Colleen Gillis, Angela Rajas, Tushar Awar, and Dave McElhinney regarding the Fleetwood North application.

[05:58:49] On Tuesday, June 25th, I met with Michael Capretti to discuss the Innovation Gateway application. So I had a great time on last Saturday at Brambleton's What a Blast fireworks event at the Howland-Burney-Hanson Regional Park.

[05:59:08] This was the first time Brambleton partnered with Parks and Rec to host this event, and it was a huge success. I mean, the parking lot was full. In fact, Independence parking lot and Brambleton middle parking lot was full.

[05:59:24] So Brambleton did an amazing job, and they would like to partner with Parks and Rec next year to make it even better. So I was really excited about that and I look forward to next year and on June 24th she Randall and I attended a St. Louis community meeting that had a huge turnout too and I look forward to working with the community to address some of their needs especially regarding water quality and quantity and safety and safety on St. Louis Road we're going to be doing a board member initiative to

[06:00:03] study the safety issues and increase pedestrian access on St. Louis Road and the next step is to really finish that small area plan to work more with the community and to include maybe some more details about what they're looking for but we have a good start and I look forward to finishing that so I hope everybody has a happy 4th of July. Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Bricksman. I thought Mr. Kirshner hadn't gone yet. You went first. By way of disclosures, on June 24th, I had a meeting with

[06:00:38] Michael Capretti about Innovation Gateway. Last week, we held a town hall at the Cascades Senior Center where we brought together economic development, planning and zoning, and Piedmont Environmental Council to discuss the history benefits and challenges of data centers in our county more than 70 people were in the room and so far we have had 476 plays of the live stream on facebook this was a great opportunity for the community to listen to several different viewpoints facts about our zoning and our efforts to update the zoning and ask questions

[06:01:13] as supervisor Turner stated in his white paper at the last truck meeting power is a huge pressing issue along with our land values and diversifying our economy and those sorts of things, but we must energize and expedite our efforts to get control around the industry that can increase that demand for power and institute regulations that encourage and require clean power. Just today, the Associated Press

reported that Google is well behind its climate goals. Rather than declining, its emissions grew 13% in 2023 over the year before. Compared to its baseline year of 2019,

[06:01:53] emissions have soared for that organization by 48%. Google cited artificial intelligence and the demand it puts on data centers, which require massive amounts of electricity for last year's growth. We know that generating electricity and burning coal or natural gas amid its greenhouse gas emissions, including carbon dioxide and methane, which warm the planet and bring more extreme weather. This is why I've always questioned end users when they cite an organizational wide climate goal in the application process. I don't see, honestly, how corporate wide goals

[06:02:29] impact or benefit Loudoun County when they can be accomplished with offsets or be broken like we just learned about with Google. And we are now finding that they are not meeting their goals. I don't know about the other companies, but I have suspicion that they are not meeting their goals either. According to Gun Violence Archive, we've had 8,490 total gun deaths this year, 260 mass shootings, 598 deaths were ages 12 to 17, and 115 were children up to the age of 11. We should note, in its infinite wisdom, the Supreme Court recently overruled lower courts and decided that

[06:03:07] bump stocks do not create automatic weapons against a policy set by our own Bureau of Alcohol and Tobacco and Firearms. These types of rulings may become even more common because SCOTUS has also just recently ruled that the courts are the sole decision makers when it becomes, when it comes to deciding policy, basically. They're the sole decision making power when it comes to many types of regulations and oversight. This will diminish the role of agencies like the EPA, the ATF, HHS, Department of Education, Labor, Commerce, Department of Defense, and I could go on.

[06:03:43] All of these technical experts who have now been, all these technical experts have now been neutered by an activist Supreme Court, and it is the U.S. citizens who will suffer the consequences. As a prominent Pulitzer Prize-winning journalist, Lori Garrett, said yesterday, The January 6th insurrection may have failed, but the judiciary revolution pushed for decades by those folks like Mitch McConnell has won our constitutional bill of rights.

[06:04:15] And more than 200 years of legal precedents are getting overturned by six people acting as a black robed coup d'etat. As surely as any insurrection, they are overturning the state itself. It's very sad to be thinking about these things as we approach July 4th, but this is literally the destruction of our great ideas and documents and rule of law that our founders envisioned.

[06:04:42] Happy Independence Day. Thank you. Thank you, Ms. Bricksman. Okay. On June 27th, I briefly spoke to Mike Romeo, and we'll speak to him more later about the Hintonwood Lane application. On July 1st, yesterday, I spoke with Eric Zicht and a number of other people about the Albright property and conservation easement.

[06:05:04] I met with Michael Capretti, well, actually my staff met with Michael Capretti on Innovation Gateway yesterday, and they had a call with Michael Capretti about Zoc and Zoc's involvement going forward. I do want to mention that we have a gentleman in Loudoun County.

[06:05:20] His name is Edward Lane. He's 78 years old. He's from the Sterling area. He's been missing now for 11 days. If you go on my Facebook page, my Chair Randall Facebook page, if you're going to Sheriff's Department's Facebook page, you'll see pictures of him. Please, let's keep an eye out.

[06:05:35] It's been very, very hot, and this is a very long time for somebody to be missing, and we are all very concerned, and I'm sure his family is just besides himself with concern. So that's very important. I want to say that we have two people who were raised in Loudoun County who are now going to the Olympics.

[06:05:53] We have one young lady, Emily Fox, who attended Stonebridge High School. She made the soccer team, and so she's going to the Olympics in soccer. And another young woman, Winnie, I think you've pronounced it, Kalati, she won the 10,000 meters.

[06:06:09] She attended Heritage High School, and she is going as the winner of the 10,000 meters to Paris. I think that is super, super exciting that we have two people who are going to the Olympics. I do want to stop and give big, big, big, big props to my friend, to my ace, to my guy, to my 3 a.m. phone call if I need some help.

[06:06:34] And that would be Mr. Karan Sains. week I got a phone call in the morning and it was it said that that we were possibly very possibly going to have money that would have normally came to us through the NVTa funds challenged because it doesn't matter who but another jurisdiction did not believe that we should be building roads it should all go in transit and so we were looking at possibly losing up to \$55 million now

[06:07:06] Now, normally for this subcommittee, it would be Ms. Glass, and Ms. Glass is on TLUC, so she couldn't be there, I couldn't be there, and so I was in a little bit of a panic about what was going to happen. Now, Koran is not on MBTA, nor on MBTA subcommittees, but I called him at work in a panic and said, this is happening, I need you to go to TLUC.

[06:07:28] Throughout the rest of the day, myself and the staff just shoved information in Mr. Sainz's head, just all the information we could possibly give him. We told him, we told Christy, like, these are the numbers, these are the projects, this is what you've got to go in there and say, these are the players.

[06:07:43] Go, like, I was like, throw elbows, take no prisoners, get our money back. And Mr. Sainz, who has never been on this committee before, has never been a member of MBTA before, went in, like, prepared and asked all the right questions.

[06:08:01] And in the end, he was the one that made the motion. Now, he is not on this committee normally, and people do not know him. And he walked in, and he made the motion to have the staff's recommendations approved and walked out with every cent of our \$55 million.

[06:08:19] Yay, Mr. Saints. So it now goes to the NVTa full board, so now it's on me. Now it's on me to keep that money, and I think it's a much harder lift in the committee than the full board, and so I was here on this day as I was getting, like, text all through that, you know, this came up, and this question was asked, and this question was asked, and then when the motion was made, they were like, oh my God, this guy just made the motion all by himself, like, I was like, there you go, and so we have a deep bench when we need

[06:08:55] We can call on somebody that knows, I won't say knows nothing about the issue, but is not familiar with this committee at all, not just the subcommittee, but the authority at all, and can walk in the room and do that.

[06:09:10] I also want to thank our staff, who I call so I can get Mr. Sane some more numbers. The staff member, he was like, well, I have a wife and a baby, but if you want me to go, I can go. And I was like, no,

we're good. We're good. So good job. Karan, you came back with all of our money.

[06:09:28] Good job. And finally, I want to say we had two young ladies who participated this past weekend in the Miss Virginia pageant, Brianna Gabriel and Madison Whitback. Miss Whitback was Miss Northern Virginia. She was the fourth runner-up, and Brianna Gabriel, who was Miss Loudoun County, was first runner-up in the Miss Virginia pageant.

[06:09:52] And if for any reason Ms. Virginia cannot fulfill her duties, Ms. Rain, the first runner-up will take her place, and that would be Ms. Loudoun County. So we are very proud of those two young ladies. Okay, we have two more items. I'm going to go back to, real quickly, Ms. Glass, who's going to make another motion on the item we talked about earlier.

[06:10:24] Can we get that out? Thank you, Ms. BMI. Thank you. Thank you. There you go. Yes, Ms. Glass. Thank you. Matilda's view. Okay. Go ahead and just say the motion. Yeah, I'd like you to say the motion and talk about it in the motion.

[06:10:42] Okay. I move that the Board of Supervisors direct staff to study a comprehensive plan amendment to amend the countywide transportation plan to remove Waxpool Road between Belmont Ridge Road and Black Angus Drive from the Loudoun County 2019 countywide transportation plan to add Black Angus Drive from Belmont Ridge Road to Waxpool Road to the Loudoun County 2019 county-wide transportation plan in accordance with Virginia Code 15.2-2223 and 15.2-2229.

[06:11:17] That's it. That's it. Okay, that's the motion. I will second that. Mr. Saines will second that motion. That's fine. You want to open the motion, Ms. Glass? Yes, so I actually want to thank my colleagues I hear you I understand that you know from the discussion we had earlier with staff regarding the CPAM and I would really like for us to look into what can be done with with Black Angus with Wexpool Road and Belmont

[06:11:53] Ridge Road and see if there is a need for that instead of going ahead and saying to go ahead and do something like I had with the prior motion.

[06:12:09] So I hope that you all support this motion. Okay. Miss? Can I do it? Well, yes? Okay. You almost missed that. That was real close. I almost did. I almost did.

[06:12:24] I saw it. So what did you change, Sylvia, or supervisor class? So it's a supervisor class. So it's only a study. It's not, I want to say, go ahead and have the staff to do the CPAM.

[06:12:42] It's to study for, study to see if we need a CPAM, if I'm saying that correctly. Great. Thank you. Ms. Brixman. Thank you. So I thought I heard you say that we're going to look at removing Waxpool Road from the CTP and putting Black Angus into the CTP.

[06:13:03] What does that mean? Because I think that's what's in the CTP now. So it's Waxpool Road because that's, you know, it was put in years ago. So instead of looking at Waxpool, we'd take that out and put Black Angus Road in there.

[06:13:25] Did you just say that? I still don't understand that. You didn't just say that, did you? I don't think she went off the old one. It was to take that portion out. Yes, take the portion. Take the portion, yes. From the CTP. Which portion from the CTP? the part from Black Angus to Belmont Ridge.

[06:13:43] So what does that mean? Does that mean we abandon the road? No, it's a study. It doesn't mean anything. No, I know it's a study, but what happens when you take a road out of the CTP? Stop my time.

[06:14:00] No, we're not stopping your time. It's a BMI, so it's hard for them to answer. So the CT, the Countywide Transportation Plan, designates roads that the county is mandating needs to be there. So if it's by right development, it means they have to provide a reservation, sometimes on proffers we'll get a dedication instead of a reservation so by removing it from the county-wide transportation plan what that means is that section of wax pool is no longer a part of our mandatory road grid to connect to 650 um to connect to belmont ridge road instead that alignment would come from existing wax pool go up black angus and then

[06:14:37] connect right there where the the school is so that's what that i see so so it would no longer be mandated by our policy, but it could still exist? It would become a well, if I understand Supervisor Glass's intent, it would become a cul-de-sac or the connection would no longer be there. Yes. Okay.

[06:15:03] But it's only a study and we would get to decide later? Yes. Okay, thank you. I got nothing. I'm fine with this. Did I close? Yes. Okay, want to close? No.

[06:15:18] Okay. All right. All people say aye. Any opposed? that motion pass 801 and then our last motion of the night miss no staff not miss anybody staff it's the last item of the night i should say arcola will staff please come to the table pardon me oh nominations thank you okay yes come to the table if you have nominations put your

[06:15:46] light on please okay we're ready when you are thank you madam chair board members um arcola farms a couple of updates one i can quickly highlight the changes to the item but you also received a supplemental. The supplemental was simply offering that the conditions and proffers had been approved to legal form. We were cleaning up some language after the packet had been sent out. But again, the property located at Evergreen Mills Road and Ryan Road. Updates since the hearing, they did commit to two

[06:16:23] funding cycles. If the affordable housing units that they provide are light tech funded or equivalent housing funding, and also extended the housing Covenant from 30 years to 75 years. Also, too, there was a question about areas that would count toward square footage, and they've excluded interior extensions and bump outs toward that maximum residential unit size. Other than these updates, the supplemental did indicate profits are approved for them, so it is ready for action this evening.

[06:16:55] Okay. I think we've been through this many, many, many times, so we could go straight to a motion. this is in the ashburn and little river district um do you all need anything else mr turner mr turner and mr mr crony there's too many names up here can you ask him in a motion or you need to ask him before a motion okay mr turner and mr crony who wants to make a motion i move that the board of board of supervisors approve legy 2023-0034 ocola farms residential zmap 2022-006

[06:17:48] ZMOD 2022-0029, ZMOD 2022-0030, ZMOD 2022-0031, ZMOD 2023-0021, and ZMOD 2023-0022, subject to the proper statement dated July 1st, 2024. Conditions of approval dated June 28, 2024, and based on the Planning Commission findings for approval provided as attachments 1, 2, and 4 to the July 2, 2024 Board of Supervisors business meeting supplemental action item.

[06:18:14] This is for approval, yes? Yeah, approval. July 2. July 2. Oh, sorry. Did I not say that? July 2, 2024. I did say that. Point of clarification for staff. No, she didn't. No. There's not a motion to approve in the package. Oh, it's in the supplemental. It's in the supplemental. Okay, I'm sorry.

[06:18:29] So I did read it. Okay, you went to the supplemental. I read it. Second. I'm sorry, Mike Turner is going to second this. Okay, Mr. Crony, would you like the opening? Yeah, so I'm happy to support this application.

[06:18:48] The surrounding properties to the east and north of the development display a similar density and site design. It includes connectivity to the adjacent Brambleton community and the Brambleton Community Association has agreed to annex the property there is significant infrastructure for this application with two adjacent major collector roadways Ryan Road and Evergreen Mills Road and the applicant is proposing to widen Ryan Road to four lanes which will significantly enhance the flow and

[06:19:22] safety of traffic for Brambleton and the surrounding community there's also 39 for rent single-family detached affordable housing units that'll be part of the LIHTC program which is essentially non-existent in Virginia the potential of having a development that includes 39 detached rental units would be groundbreaking and a welcome addition in Loudoun County they will remain affordable for 75 years, which represents more than twice the requirement for ADUs to

[06:19:54] remain affordable. And also with the changes to the application, the market rate units will include bump outs and other interior extensions to reduce the size of the market rate unit and also 123 units will not include a basement unit and I just want to confirm with the applicant that the applicant is basically the applicant is paying for all of the Ryan Road improvements

[06:20:30] the applicant for the proper statement not all the improvements there's some right away that's right right away they mean you finalize with the county but yes they'll be taking over the majority of the project. Right, unless the county has to refuse, doesn't do eminent domain, right?

[06:20:47] Or quick take or other means. Yes. So that's great. So it'll be done a lot faster. I'll close with more info about Ryan Road. But that's my reason for supporting it. Thank you. Mr. Turner. Thank you, Madam Chair. I think this application, which I think is a really good application, got a lot of innovative stuff in it, is a classic case for the Randall scale. I would like to probably on a scale of 1 to 100, like grading a paper, I'd give it probably a 90.

[06:21:18] And yet staff is obligated to recommend denial because we haven't phased in the transition area, small neighborhood, phase 1 and phase 2, and this is a jump to phase 2 when we haven't done phase 1 yet by CPAM, by the board. So it's a really good application.

[06:21:34] I'm very uncomfortable when I see good applications come with a recommendation of denial, especially when, and I don't remember how they came out of the planning commission. But I think we put staff in a tough position here. So I'm hoping when we redo and look at land application process, that's one of the things that we really consider, but I'm very pleased to support this.

[06:21:53] Thanks. - Ms. Umstead. - Thank you, Madam Chair. My understanding is staff continues to recommend denial.

[06:22:09] - We don't recommend, we cannot support based on the policy, so. - Great, thank you. I'm gonna vote against. This is another application. I think that eats away at the transition policy area and I think we're just seeing application after application that is doing that and we haven't really had a full discussion of whether whether that's what we really want to see is the end of the transition policy area and its conversion to higher density housing

[06:22:45] But in addition to that concern, again, every year there will be school costs of over \$5 million and capital needs costs, one time anyway, of over \$20 million.

[06:23:03] So I will not be supporting it. Thank you. Mr. Kirshner? His light's not on. Oh, I'm sorry. If you want me to. I don't.

[06:23:20] it's 10 19 like you said we've been over this a lot i'm i'm oh man it was an accident coming on you i've got three minutes left and my clock's not even running so i remember i warned you before this meeting remember i warned you before this meeting you're not you're not doing you're not taking care of it you're not i warned you mr kirschner you want to keep going i'm still not even running but my time's still going i can filibuster if you want but i don't i don't miss Ms. Bricksman.

[06:23:50] Thank you, Madam Chair. Just two questions for staff. What was the Planning Commission vote? It was for approval. Approval, okay. The vote was... That's okay. Approval's good. 6-2-1, Barnes and Frank opposed. Okay. I lied.

[06:24:06] Three questions. Do we look at amenities in these sorts of applications, like where the grocery store is and stuff like that? Because it seems like this is just so far away from anything like that.

[06:24:22] They'll have no choice but to get in their cars. We do look at contacts in the region and policies do anticipate those services that are available. In this instance, it wasn't necessarily a criteria of the place type. Not a criteria of the place type.

[06:24:37] Okay. And in this place type, would we be allowed to put in duplexes by our zoning ordinance? Not in this development, no, ma'am. Because? the zoning district that's requested and the policy wouldn't support it as well okay because we don't allow any sort of attached units in the tpa is that springs had the clock quadruplexes you're about to correct me no i'm not correcting you essentially just because that's the way the place type was designed when the plan was adopted certain most of the tpa was focused on detached

[06:25:11] housing with a limited amount allowing um duplexes or higher density units yeah okay um and then but if if we were to make a change could we not have duplexes without increasing density we could um that would make for less expensive units no they would the decision in 2019 was that those units weren't appropriate in dpa but yeah it's all um the board's prerogative okay All right. It just feels like suburban sprawl to me, but we need the housing.

[06:25:48] We need the attainable housing, so I will support. Thank you. When you all are putting what the cost is, do you factor in what the taxes would be that they pay, that new residents pay? That's not part of our evaluation, no, ma'am.

[06:26:03] We evaluate what the capital impact is, but we do not generate. We evaluate what they cost, but we don't evaluate what they give. Correct. So when we hear numbers of how much somebody's going to cost, that is not factored in that they're going to actually pay taxes, both property taxes and taxes.

[06:26:19] Because we don't know what the value of those properties should be. And sales taxes. Do you evaluate whether or not they might be a volunteer firefighter or a teacher's aide or give back to the community in different ways? Is any of that in there? The human capital cannot be evaluated, right?

[06:26:35] It's definitely not something that we're prepared to evaluate at this time. Yeah, I understand that. I think people are more than numbers. I think there is a human capital, and I think making people

nothing but numbers just takes away all humanity. I'm happy to support this application. Would you like to have a closing, Ms. DeCrony?

[06:26:53] Chair Randall, so I believe this application does meet the vision of the transition small lot neighborhood. It is single-family detached, and it does have 50% open space, and, you know, I do think it meets the vision.

[06:27:11] it's in the right location. I also think the proposal completes a regionally important widening of Ryan Road at no cost to the taxpayers. Ryan Road improvements are estimated to cost \$35 million in our county CIP, and we won't have the money until 2027, 2028. The applicant can construct this needed improvement sooner, and it will allow the county to focus funding on other important CIP projects.

[06:27:46] So I'm happy to support this. I'm very excited about the LIHTC cottages, and I'm happy to support, and I hope my colleagues will support it. Thank you. All right, on paper, say aye. Aye. Any opposed? The motion will pass 7-1-1 with Mr. Letourneau being off the dais and Mr. Umstead voting against the application.

[06:28:10] Happy 4th of July, everybody. Happy 4th. Thank you, Mike.



Coraggio Consulting · aguyonx.com