



MACHINE TRANSCRIPT • CORAGGIO CONSULTING

## Prince William Board of County Supervisors Meeting, Tuesday, January 21, 2025

Date Tuesday, January 21, 2025  
Place Prince William County Board of County Supervisors, Virginia  
Length 3h 20m (video)

- Official meeting video (Prince William County, Granicus): <https://pwcgov.granicus.com/player/clip/3593>
- Official Board of County Supervisors page (Prince William County): <https://www.pwcva.gov/departments/board-county-supervisors>

Machine transcript (speech-to-text) produced by Coraggio Consulting. Not an official county record. Names and numbers may be misspelled; check the video at the timestamp before quoting.

Speech-to-text: whisper.cpp, model large-v3-turbo. Produced 2026-10-30. Each paragraph starts with its time [HH:MM:SS] in the meeting video.

[00:47:00] let's get seated. It is 2-0-1 and we are going to begin with a moment of silence. Thank you. Let's move on to the Pledge of Allegiance. Please rise if you are able. Pledge of Allegiance to the flag

[00:47:25] of the United States of America, to the republic for which it stands, one nation, for God. All right, this is the fun part. We are moving on to agenda item number three, commendations and proclamations.

[00:47:45] We have three items, two proclamations and one commendations. May I get a motion? So moved, madam chair second all right it's been properly moved and seconded any comments questions concerns all right i didn't think so so let's vote all right thank you first we're going to begin with a commendation for the prince william county alumni chapter of delta sigma theta sorority supervisor angry and supervisor franklin who is a member if you can join me down

[00:48:19] all right well good afternoon everyone so we're here to commend the prince william county alumni

[00:49:09] chapter of Delta Sigma Theta Sorority Incorporated on their 40th anniversary. All right, but before I start, I want to commend them on an outstanding Martin Luther King Jr. oratorical show. So round of applause for that. That was an outstanding program. Okay, so charter on December 1st, 1984, the

Prince William County Alumni Chapter of Delta Sigma Theta Sorority Incorporated has been steadfast in its commitments to the principles of sisterhood, scholarship, and service for 40 years.

[00:49:46] The chapter has empowered communities and enriched lives through transformative initiatives focused on education, economic development, health and wellness, political awareness, and social justice. This awarded, excuse me, it has awarded numerous scholarships to students fostering access to higher education and supporting the next generation of leaders and has implemented impactful programs such as its Senior Citizens Luncheon and the Martin Luther King Jr. Oratorical Program, advancing its mission to uplift and inspire underserved communities within Prince William County and beyond.

[00:50:24] The Prince William County Board of Supervisors hereby commends the Prince William County Alumni Chapter of Delta Sigma Theta Sorority Incorporated on the occasion of its 40th anniversary and extends heartfelt congratulations and best wishes for continued success in its service to the community.

[00:50:42] We would like to invite a representative from Delta Sigma Theta to come to the podium and speak, please. Yes, we'll give you a round first. I'm going to step away and let you count down. Good afternoon, everyone. My name is Talia Fletcher-Gay, and I serve as the first vice president of the Prince William County Alumni Chapter of Delta Sigma Theta Sorority Incorporated.

[00:51:05] And as mentioned, we were chartered on December 1st, 1984, so we are actively celebrating our 40th year of service to Prince William County, as well as Manassas and Manassas Park. And Delta Sigma Theta Sorority Incorporated as a whole recently celebrated its 112 Founders Day on January 13th.

[00:51:27] So, as mentioned, we were founded on, thank you, as mentioned, we were founded on the principles of sisterhood, scholarship, service, and social action. So we are honored to receive this commendation in regards to our dedication and commitment to Prince William County.

[00:51:47] And as first vice president, I serve over the program planning and development committees, as well as the outreach committees. So our focus whenever we are planning any type of program, event, activity is to ensure that we are prioritizing and meeting the needs of the community of Prince William County.

[00:52:06] So this commendation in regards to our 40th anniversary just also serves as recognition that we are on the right track and continue to be on the right track of meeting the needs of the community. So we are excited to do it for another 40 years and another 40 years and another 40 years.

[00:52:22] So on behalf of our chapter president, Felicia Pryor, and our entire chapter, I am honored to be able to accept this commendation. Thank you so much for thinking of us, and we are happy to be here today.

[00:53:24] All right, next, we have item 3B that's proclaimed February 12th, 2025, is School Crossing Guard Appreciation Day in Prince William County.

[00:54:23] Chief Newsham, if you and, you know, the officers with you can stand to the side. Someone's getting the call. During the school year, student pedestrian safety is the primary mission for the 62 school crossing guards working 71 crossings at 47 schools in Prince William County.

[00:54:57] Prince William County is blessed with professional school crossing guards, all highly trained men and women who, by temperament and training, are fully prepared to meet the challenges of

protecting our school children and training them in pedestrian safety. In the 60-year history of the Prince William County Crossing Guard unit, there have been no deaths or serious injuries to any child at any school crossing, and that is an extraordinary accomplishment.

[00:55:28] The Prince William Board of County Supervisors hereby proclaims February 12, 2025, its School Crossing Guard Appreciation Day in Prince William County. I would like to invite Police Chief Peter Newsham to share a few words with us. Good afternoon, chair. Good afternoon, members of the board. I'm Pete Newshamon, the chief of police.

[00:55:48] We love our crossing guards. Like the chair said, they are at multiple locations. If anyone has been outside this weekend, those are the types of conditions that they work in all the time. Not only do they ensure that our kids get safely to and from school, but they also stand out there as a symbol of security at some of the places.

[00:56:12] and they're protecting our most precious commodity, which is our kids. So I'm going to have one of our leaders from our school crossing guard unit come up and say just a couple of words. But I do want to say to the chair and to the board, thank you very much for recognizing these folks for the work that they do every day. Thank you very much.

[00:56:30] Thank you. I am crossing guard Lieutenant Michelle Wheeler, and I am the unit manager, the crossing guard unit manager. And on behalf of the 68 crossing guards we have currently working all over Prince William County, I accept this proclamation and I thank you on their behalf.

[00:56:58] That may be one of the shortest speeches up here. All right. Madam Chair, while you're taking that photo, can I just say a few words? So in 2019, we had a short of crossing guards and I actually applied.

[00:57:16] And I believe it was Michelle Roble that I stirred up this ruckus. So y'all take the picture. I just want to point out that I felt this ruckus of wanting to be a crossing guard. I just want to say everything that Chief Newsom said. So there's always an opportunity to be a crossing guard and to really protect those kids crossing those streets and going to school.

[00:57:34] I just think it's a very noble and a real serious job. So that's why I want to be one, just to be out there to help where help is needed. So I want to thank you all, you know, for being out there in every one of these communities that has a school in it and a crossing guard where these kids cross these streets because you're valuable out there.

[00:57:52] And thank you for everything that you do.

[00:58:18] All right, Supervisor Vega, if you can come down for item 3C.

[00:58:37] Thank you, Madam Chair. Supervisor Gordy is also going to join us. Okay. The more the merrier. And Ryback, if you would like to come to the side and anyone else here for Holocaust Remembrance Day.

[00:58:58] Thank you, Madam Chair, for this opportunity. I'd like to also use this moment to share with my colleagues on the board. Some of you knew for folks watching here and at home that I had the privilege of representing Prince William County back in December at the annual summit against anti-Semitism in California.

[00:59:23] Would certainly like to give a special thank you to Lisa Katz for the invitation and to the city council members of Beverly Hill for their hospitality. There were over 200 jurisdictions across the United

States that attended this summit, and it was a privilege and an honor to be able to represent Prince William County there.

[00:59:41] Rabbi Shmuley, thank you for all that you do for our community and everybody else that is here to receive this proclamation as well. Monday, January 27, 2025 is International Holocaust Remembrance Day, marking the 80th anniversary of the liberation of Auschwitz-Birkenau concentration camp.

[01:00:00] It is a day of remembrance for the 6 million Jews and millions of others who were murdered by Nazi Germany between 1933 and 1945. And we should always remember the millions of men, women, and children who were killed simply because of their ethnicity, heritage, and beliefs.

[01:00:15] On this anniversary, Prince William County recommits itself to combating the global rise in anti-Semitism. And we must do all that we can to ensure that atrocities of the Holocaust are never to be repeated again. Prince William County Board of Supervisors hereby proclaims January 27, 2025 as Holocaust Remembrance Day in Prince William County and commits to honoring the memories of those killed during the Holocaust and rejecting and condemning acts of anti-Semitism.

[01:00:42] At this time, I would like to give an opportunity to either Rabbi Shmuley or the representative of the organization to have a few remarks. Just off the bat, it's always great to be back and see all the supervisors again. I want to give a special thank you.

[01:00:59] I mean, these past year or so for the Jewish community, even in our county, has been a tough one. So having the support, Chief Reddy Left, but Chief Newsham, and also the chair and the county supervisors that were able to meet me over the past year or express their support came out to our October 7th memorial events or even joined us over Hanukkah this past year, it means a lot to our community.

[01:01:21] I want to thank also Vicki and anyone who was involved in getting this proclamation done as a grandchild of Holocaust survivors, and I shared with members of the board about the trip I had this past summer, which we went back to Europe to visit towns which my grandparents grew up in.

[01:01:36] Our first step was Auschwitz because we lost 13 family members there. And as a father that's raising two children that were born and, God willing, will be here for many years in Prince William County, it really means a lot that the county is taking the moment to recognize the Holocaust, but even more so in it a little bit of a call to action because the Holocaust is not a symptom of a one-time event. The holidays that we commemorate as Jewish people, we just had a fast day a few weeks ago, which was when the Romans tried to annihilate Jewish presence in the land of Israel. The holiday of Hanukkah talks about persecution against Jewish faith.

[01:02:10] The holiday of Purim celebrates in the Persian Empire, where Jews were going to be annihilated. So the concept of the Jews being, you know, tormented or persecuted is not a new thing. And when people see graffiti calling for the annihilation of Jewish people in the land of Israel in western Prince William County and public state places you know that we know that sometimes you don't want to give too much credence to stupid acts that some people are doing but it does bring up issues and when we have a child here who's going in high school and it could be uncomfortable under certain walkouts or text curriculum which is referencing stuff and there isn't proper

[01:02:46] conversation around it there's an important need to call to action to realize that sometimes subtle things and messaging we're giving to our youth today have to be tackled have to be addressed And I

think in a very practical sense, one of the key points in the Holocaust is when we demonized each other. So we're sitting here as a board and people in this room.

[01:03:03] We just finished an inauguration. There's change of powers happening. If we can remember the human dignity that every single person has, and no matter their beliefs, we treat each other as citizens of the same country, which have equal goals of liberty and peace and prosperity, that's a big combat to the hate that's going on around here.

[01:03:20] And we can all be part of contributing to remembering the Holocaust when we go through day-to-day and never demonize someone who's of a different ideology, creed, race, or anything of us. And that's really a great way to, on a practical sense, besides for on a legal sense, what we're going to have to do to combat things, on a practical sense, all of us can take a way to combat the hatred and remember the Holocaust.

[01:03:41] So thanks again for having us all here. And on behalf of our community, really, this means a lot with this address. We know we're a small percentage of the community out here in the county, but it means a lot to us. And, again, great to see everybody again. We'll have two coffees.

[01:03:59] Yeah, that's fine. I can take one and then the organization. All right.

[01:04:05] All right, thank you so very much.

[01:05:09] Before we move on to the consent agenda, I want to go through a number of changes to the agenda, and this will be one of the few times I'll make the motion and I hope that Supervisor Franklin will second this. So the agenda has been revised to remove item 8C.

[01:05:28] 8C has been withdrawn. We are also going to move up. First, we're going to do 8D and then 8B. We're going to move this up to right after public comment time. Sadly, someone in the planning department has lost a family member, and we would like to make sure we give time for planning staff who are able to attend the funeral as well.

[01:05:55] And then items 9A through 9AL, we are actually going to withdraw that. We're going to debate certain legislation. But after having, you know, comments with, you know, our government affairs representative, some of our state legislators, and some of the members on the board, we're going to withdraw that because we need a stronger process.

[01:06:16] Some of the bills conflict with one another. And I don't want to open up a Pandora's box that would put some of our state legislators in an awkward move. So, awkward position. So, I'm going to go ahead and move all the changes to our agenda.

[01:06:32] May I get a second? All right. Supervisor Franklin seconded it. Any discussion? So, let's go ahead and take a vote on that. Oh, wait. Hold on. I have speakers. Supervisor Weir. Madam Chair, I'm just going to note that I believe we should be doing it.

[01:06:49] I understand why we're not going to discuss the legislation. I will face some wrath from some members of the delegation who would prefer that we actually took a position. But I'll deal with that. I'll go with the flow on this.

[01:07:06] Thank you. I appreciate that. So thank you very much. So with that, hopefully we'll have, you know, we're going to take a vote. But hopefully next year, I was not, I now see the need for a legislative committee. And that's something we can talk about at a different time. Vote unanimous.

[01:07:35] All right. Thank you so very much. We're now going to move on to agenda item number four. The consent agenda, I believe that we have some people who may have some items they would like to pull out. Madam Chair, I'd like to pull out item 4G. All right. 4G. Madam Chair, 4M. 4M.

[01:07:51] Anyone else? Well, the good one gets good. It's good. All right. So I would like a motion for 4A through 4F, 4H through 4L, and 4N and 4O.

[01:08:12] So moved, Madam Chair. Second. All right. Any discussion? I see Supervisor Franklin and Supervisor Bode. And 4J is included, right? No. I'm sorry. 4J should be included in the motion.

[01:08:29] Gotcha. Okay. Yeah, I just wanted to highlight items 4-H and 4-J. 4-H appropriates money for improvements to the Riverbend Park in North Whibbridge on Regency Road. So we're continuing to do improvements and upgrades to the Belmont Park back there.

[01:08:49] So I would appreciate my colleague's support. And then for item 4-J, this is to approve a work plan for the Prince William County Historic Preservation Foundation's annual work plan calendar. year 2025. And this will include the Museum and Environmental Education Center at the Ripon Lodge historical site in the Woodbridge District. And so just a little bit of background. The plans for this project include the design and construction of a museum focusing on the history of the Potomac River, including Native American history, European exploration and settlement, development into an economy based on enslavement, the role of the region in the founding of the United States,

[01:09:28] as well as the rich environment and natural sciences of the Potomac River. So I just wanted to just put that out there. And other projects include the Bushy Park site interpretation, the Williams Dahl House Stabilization Project, the Ned Distiller House Stabilization Project, and the Williams Ordinary Site Interpretation.

[01:09:49] So doing a lot of good things in terms of historic preservation across the entire county. And also just wanted to point out those projects in Woodbridge. So thank you to Seth and his team, and I hope for my colleague's support. Thank you. Supervisor Bode. Thank you, Madam Chair.

[01:10:06] Just a couple items for me. Really excited about 4A. I know that we had a long discussion about the prospect of potentially having a town center over in the landing at Prince William. Really looking forward to us beginning that process, seeing what happens as staff can do their work there.

[01:10:24] And a lot of folks have been waiting a long time for us to get that flyover ramp done and that intersection improvement over at Occoquan, over in Occoquan on Old Bridge Road and 123. So we're looking forward to us continuing to build up the funding to continue that piece.

[01:10:41] And just a lot of other great projects that we're sort of applying for grants for. And we're also moving the ball forward on the Occoquan projects in terms of intersection projects, road projects, and some transit-oriented things as well. I just also wanted to throw in my support for what Senator Franklin just said, especially as it pertains to the Rippon Lodge historic site.

[01:11:01] I had a chance to go out there last year and meet with some folks about the plans they were already conceiving about that environmental education center and the museum there. It'll be a really great resource. It'll teach a lot of folks about a lot of the sort of untold history of not only that part of the county, but throughout the county as well.

[01:11:17] Because a lot of the families and the folks that lived there and worked there have ties throughout the county. so just wanted to highlight that as well thank you and supervisor franklin did you have something else i actually forgot 4k um just want to just highlight uh the turf sinking fund that will include woodbridge middle school um located on york drive so just we got some resources going towards that so thank you all right thank you so very much we don't have any other speakers so

[01:11:44] let's go ahead and take a vote vote unanimous all right we have a couple others um 4g was removed from Consent Agenda. I would like to get a motion and a second.

[01:12:02] So moved. Did anyone second? I said so moved. Oh, I was going to let you. Oh, Tom Gordy. That's one. I'm going to get a second motion, but we're going to make an amendment to the motion. Oh, we're going to make an amendment. Okay. All right, then, who would like to make the amendment to the motion?

[01:12:19] A motion. I'm sorry. Thank you. I'd like to amend the resolution and request that the staff use the Brentsville proper funds to the greatest extent possible, rather than the fire levy to pay for the purchase of this parcel so the amended motion i'm sorry supervisor you second it franklin second it so the amendment is to use the brentsville proffer fire proffers um would you like to completely draw it down or are you saying to the um as much as they possibly can we have about three million um in the brentsville proffer funds um however uh we'll

[01:12:56] need the staff to go through and evaluate each proffer until we can get to the amount of money for the purchase of the land and then if there's plenty of funds according to the proffers to pay for it they will utilize those funds if there's any balance that is required then they would go to the fire levy to pay for the remainder of the balance. Okay and again so that will be the use of Brentsville fire proffers. Madam Chair would the maker be open to a friendly amendment? Wait so So we're not, I want to be careful here.

[01:13:30] I've been doing my parliamentary procedure classes. We can't make amendments to the amendments, so. Madam Chair. Hold on. Yes. I accept his amendment. Is that the proper way of doing that? No. Okay. We are going to vote on the amendment, and then we will go and accept a new amendment.

[01:13:49] Okay. And I see that the queue is going up. The people who are in queue are, do you want to speak to the amendment or to the main motion? If you want to speak to the amendment, I will leave you in queue and we can have the discussion. If you want to offer a new amendment to amendment or something else, then I ask that you wait.

[01:14:09] So with that, I have Supervisor Angry. Thank you, Madam Chair. And so I want to thank Supervisor Gordon. We met earlier with staff, discussed this. One of the oversights, I'll say, was that we had options here, and so we chose this option, and to me it's the better of what we were going with.

[01:14:32] For the simplicity of with that fire levy, we want to look at that for opportunities of true maintenance and true operational upgrades in stations that we have that fund for. So being that this proffer is designed for situations such as this, We felt this was best.

[01:14:52] So the key here is that every one of them have to align with being used for the purchase of land. And if there is a shortfall there, then we will go into the fire levy to cover that shortfall. So much more to talk about fire levy, but for this particular motion, I want to be specific in saying I think this is the right direction and right path.

[01:15:16] So thank you, Supervisor Gordy, for it. Thanks, Madam Chair. And I do apologize. We can't have an amendment to the amendment, so I will let Supervisor Warr make his amendment to the amendment, and then Bailey, if you want to second it. What is your amendment to the amendment? And after that, we can't go any higher. Madam Chair, I would offer that rather than restricting the motion to the Brentsville Proffer Funds, given the nature of Proffer Funds and the nexus required, I'll probably butcher this in the county attorney's view by oversimplifying it,

[01:15:47] But given the nature of the nexus required after the 2016 changes to the proffer language, requiring a nexus with respect to how they were offered, I would suggest that expanding it to all fire proffers would be a better solution to arriving at a full payment or a full funding of the project in case there aren't enough in the Brentsville District funds alone.

[01:16:17] as we have numerous proffers, at least from my reading of the material we've been given, the data we've been given of proffers that have been around for a significant number of years. Second. All right, then it's been moved. Hold on, hold on, hold on.

[01:16:32] He needs to accept it. Yes, actually, we have to discuss it. It has been moved by Supervisor Weir. He offered an amendment to an amendment, and it's been seconded by Supervisor Bailey. So, the act of discussion is whether we are going to accept all fire proffers to the extent possible.

[01:16:53] We are going to discuss this. If it fails, we will go back to the original amendment, which is Brentsville proffers. So, I see people who are in, oh, my queue is not working. So, I know Vega was, and I'm going to make sure that I get to everyone.

[01:17:11] Supervisor Vega. Thank you, Madam Chair. I'm not opposed to the original amendment made by Supervisor Gordy, and I do appreciate the dialogue that's been going on between him and Supervisor Angry. I will say that adding last-minute suggestions is not prudent, in my opinion. This requires an in-depth conversation, more so about policy, and I'm okay with the original proposal, but I'm not okay with the friendly amendment that has been proposed because we haven't had the proper time to discuss it and think about the unintended consequences that that might bring forth.

[01:17:44] All right, let me see. I know, did you have anything you want to say, Supervisor Angry? Well, I was really hoping Supervisor Gordon was jumping on this. So the one issue with that is that I discussed the very same thing, but that would require, and we probably need Michelle Latre to explain this, because what you're talking about, we can't rule each of our district proffers to cover another district, and because of how it's written.

[01:18:10] So I recommended that we have a discussion about adding language to our fire propers that say because fire and rescue is a county system that then we could, if we need, because, you know, I even offered that I would even use Neyap School District fire propers to cover if Brentsville didn't have the proper amount to do that.

[01:18:31] But there's an issue that I think Michelle Latree needs to explain if we're going to have this discussion. So let's have our county attorney weigh in, and then after that I see Bodie. Madam Chair, members of the board, My understanding is the question is whether to look at using proffers.

[01:18:50] You said the county attorney, correct? Yes, you. There's two Michelles. Beautiful name. Madam Chair, members of the board, with the use of proffers, whether it's restricted to the Brentsville District or

all proffers, we would work with the county executive and staff to see whether the proffers are able to be utilized for this.

[01:19:10] It depends upon what the proffer statement says. It depends upon the Virginia Code in place at the time that the proffer was given. But my understanding is it's just to look to see our proffers that are available in Brinsville and all of the districts. Could they be utilized for this purpose?

[01:19:26] And we will certainly work with the county exec and his staff to see whether or not the proffer, if it's legally defensible to utilize those funds. All right. I'm going to go to Bodie, then Franklin. Yeah. Thank you, Madam Chair. I appreciate the creativity here and the thought process.

[01:19:41] I agree. To me, it makes a lot more sense to look at the proffers first before we go to the fire levy. um and why i like the idea of us looking at it broader and i understand the thought of hey first dues don't know district boundaries right the first due of a new fire station wouldn't necessarily just be in princeville right and we know that when they're doing a mutual aid situation the closest one may go into the gainesville they may go into coals we don't know where that is so i get the the principle there but to go back to something that surazer vega said i'd want us to look deeper to what those what those downstreams are so let's say Brentsville doesn't have enough then how much

[01:20:19] do we pull from each one does that matter so is there a situation where we can say hey yeah let's I'm fine with the Brentsville one but if there still is a delta at the end can we come back and sort of talk through what those what what other sources and the proffers there might be um before I get to that supervisor Franklin yeah so not in support of using everyone's proffer funds for this and I'll tell you Whitbridge does not have a lot of money in our proper fund so I wouldn't be able to support that anyway and if Supervisor Gordy is willing to use his proper funds and it's my

[01:20:56] understanding that there's more than enough with with some potential limitations obviously we'll have to look at the language I'm not sure why we would need to look at this more broadly I mean we went from looking at the fire levy to now looking at proffers now it's looking at everyone's proffers all right supervisor where madam chair the reason i'm suggesting this is that proffers have a shelf life and that given the data i've been supplied it would appear that some proffers are on the verge of expiring wherein we will have to we'll have to return them to the applicant

[01:21:30] and these are largely unrestricted proffers from prior to 2016 when the law changed and absent the nexus i would suggest that we use the funds while we can before we may have to return them and thus that's the impetus for the uh the amendment being offered all right so because my um cue is not working if there's anyone else just kind of raise your hand otherwise we are going to vote on the amendment of the amendment to use all fire proffers to the extent possible i don't see anyone else

[01:22:02] so let's go ahead this is for the amendment to the amendment all fire proffers to using all fire proffers to the extent possible. This is Bob. Supervisor Bob.

[01:22:20] Motion fails. Supervisor's angry. Bailey, Bodie, Franklin, Vega, and Chair Jefferson, Bodie May. So now we are going to go back to the amendment, and that is using Brentsville proffers to the extent possible. Yeah, Madam Chair, just to clarify, these are fire proffers. So yeah, fire proffers, yes.

[01:22:51] So I just want the community to know, I mean, there's a lot of different proffers. These are proffers given by developers to support our fire system. And so right now the Brentsville District has about \$3 million in those proffers.

[01:23:09] And whereas this fire station is less than a mile from the Coles District and less than a mile from the Gainesville District, it will be situated in the Brentsville District. And so I will be happy to carry the load for this.

[01:23:27] I know we have a lot of discussion to be had about the use of fire levy and other support to our fire system and look forward to having a much more robust conversation about that in the future. But given the time of meeting the contract requirements on this, I'd rather just move this forward in order to ensure that we get this property.

[01:23:52] Thank you. All right, thank you. My cue went down just again, but I know it's Weir. I know I have Weir next. So, Supervisor Weir? Did you want to speak on the amended motion to use Brentsville fire proffers? Yes, ma'am, Madam Chair.

[01:24:07] I'm in favor of this. I would have preferred it having been presented by staff in the first place. I think we need to prioritize use of restricted funds, especially restricted funds with a shelf date before we start dipping into fire levy or general revenue funds.

[01:24:24] This is typical practice of transportation, and I appreciate that on Rick's behalf. But it seems like with other departments, we're not making full use of proffers when they come forward with a suggested CIP or other improvement that could be used to avail ourselves of proper funds, whether it's fire departments, parks, or that.

[01:24:45] So I'm in full support of Supervisor Gordy's amended motion. All right. And then I have, after we have Bodie, Angry, and Vega. Yeah, thank you, Madam Chair. Again, appreciate the conversation we're having here. And I agree. I know that not everyone has a bunch of profits they're sitting on, but we know that some districts have more than others.

[01:25:05] So we'd love for us to continue to have conversations around how we prioritize that vis-a-vis other funds that we can avail ourselves of. And just going back to fire stations specifically, we know that as the county continues to grow, we need to build fire stations, right? And again, going back to the prompt on this, fire stations and their first dues especially, but even the other service areas they may have, they don't stop at the boundaries of a district.

[01:25:30] So as we continue to have conversations around establishing, you know, buying land, building fire stations, what have you, I think there's at least some interest on the board of if there's an association where two or three districts come together with their proffer funds, knowing that a fire station is going to be predominantly affecting their districts, I think there's a good conversation to have there.

[01:25:48] Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you, Supervisor Angry. Thanks, Madam Chair. Hey, I fully support what you said, Supervisor Ware. I just don't think it's not ready to go with this motion now. So I want to be really clear.

[01:26:04] As we discussed, we do need to look and add language to how fire proffers apply to districts because fire and rescue is a countywide system. And so as some of these are written that we can only use these funds for a certain district, I think we need to add language in there that says it's about the entire county. Because, to your point, a first due of a station could end up on a worst-case scenario fire on the west of the county.

[01:26:33] And then we start backfilling stations with other stations from the west coming east. So I think there's a broader discussion to be had about how these funds are used. And I'll just use the Yasko as an example. I'm not building any more fire stations in the Yasko. I do have maintenance. I could spend that funding on buildings and apparatus.

[01:26:51] I think holistically in the whole system, we should be looking at those funds holistically in the whole system. But I do know this, and thank you, Ms. Atreid, that right now proper's aren't written as such for us to do that. So first step is we're going to have to have a discussion about what that language looks like, even if we can change it.

[01:27:10] Ms. Roble, I'm not sure if we can, but I will simply say that's another discussion we need to have if we're going down that road. So thank you, Madam Chair. Supervisor Vega? Thank you, Madam Chair. I don't want to sound redundant, but we can change. That's policy, and we can change it. and that's why I maintain my position that a more thorough conversation needs to be held in regards to this issue because I think that the main issue is utilizing the fire levy to buy the land.

[01:27:36] Well, it's kind of an oxymoron if you really think about it because let's say that Supervisor Gordy doesn't have enough funds, we're still going to have to tap into the fire levy to make up for the gap. What happens in the future if, let's say, another instance of the like comes about?

[01:27:51] Are we going to be back on square one again having the same conversation? And just to put it in perspective, while many of us may have millions of dollars, I believe the Woodbridge District sits on, what, \$60,000 and \$64,000. And so, Madam Chair, County Executive, I don't know if this is maybe an item that, you know, we put on the agenda, but we certainly need to have a conversation about this because I think that is something that's going to continue to happen.

[01:28:14] All right. And that is something, actually, I did want to have a discussion at some point about the different types of proffers and making sure that we're utilizing them as intended. But is there anyone else who wants to speak? Because if not, we are going to be voting simply. Madam Chair, point of clarification. Are we voting on just accepting the amendment or are we voting on the amendment itself for adoption?

[01:28:33] We are voting on the amendment itself and then we're going to go back to the main motion because we're still going to need to vote on that. So, all right. If there's no one else who's speaking, I don't. Let's go ahead and vote. Vote unanimous.

[01:28:50] All right, now, so with the main motion, the dollar amount has changed because we do not know the dollar amount. We do not know how much it's going to be utilized from the Brentsville proffers, but we have voted to utilize Brentsville proffers to the extent possible. So the main motion is actually whether or not we're going to use the fire levy fund balance, if we're going to tap into that. And I know that is worthy of discussion. I see Supervisor Gordy going first. You're in the queue. You have nothing? All right. If anyone has anything to say, like, please put it in as quickly as you can before this goes down again. All right, Weir.

[01:29:27] Madam Chair, I'm going to vote in favor of this because the improvement is needed. However, I take issue with buying property to construct a fire station to serve what is largely new development in an area in which we own acres and acres of property and innovation already.

[01:29:45] And I question why we're making a purchase of additional property and not utilizing property the county already owns. I know I'll hear some excuse, but given the amount of property we already own, I would suggest that a better use of county funds would be to construct on that property rather than purchase new property.

[01:30:09] All right, Supervisor, I'm sorry, not Supervisor, Mr. Shorter. Yes, Madam Chair, members of the board, thank you. So the staff have done some work to analyze the proffers out of Brentsville related to fire. All those funds can be utilized to purchase the land.

[01:30:25] So I wanted to make sure that I said that out loud so that we aren't trying to play with language around, you know, sort of leveraging proffers and leveraging fire levy. That won't be necessary. All right.

[01:30:45] I do not see any other speakers. So we are looking at the motion, and it is an undetermined amount, but we are going to use the fire levy fund balance. So let's go ahead and take a vote. We're not going to use the fire levy fund balance. We're using proffers, correct? We're using the proffers to the extent possible.

[01:31:01] so if there is hold on if there is not enough money we will be tapping in to the fire levy fund balance if there is enough money we don't have to do that is everyone clear on that this motion is for the remaining balance yes whatever's left if we don't have enough brinsville fire proffers so so madam chair we have it oh so you said we have so then we're good we are good we have 2.9 million in brinsville fire proffers all of those funds can be used all right then then i

[01:31:35] apologize and we do not need to make this vote do we because it's we still need okay yes but we took we took a vote on the amended so just as a point of clarity all right we just voted we are going to move forward we are going to use the brinsville proffers to the extent possible there is no need

[01:32:08] to top the um fire lovey correct all right thank you that that was a lot of fun y'all a lot of voices a lot of folks a lot of side conversations all right so we are going to move on to 4m that is to eliminate make an elimination of a one permanent manager full-time and create two permanent caseworker full-time positions and advocacy prevention and protection and convert one permanent caseworker part-time position into one permanent caseworker full-time position i

[01:32:46] believe um once i want to make a motion and then we'll second and we'll have any discussion so moved madam chair all right and it's discussion i believe supervisor we are you pulled this thank you madam chair yes i pulled it and i will pull every motion or every agenda item with it like this if my math is correct once again we are creating an additional fte off cycle outside of the budget uh i will fight this every time i will vote no regardless of how good or bad

[01:33:20] um the project is i don't believe we should be adding fte off cycle and increasing next year's budget without consideration into the into next year's fy budget i've seen this time and time and time again over the past few decades and that's why we end up with budget requests like we've got supervisor vega thank you madam chair uh i agree with you supervisor weir mr shorter can you provide additional context to why this keeps happening i i've noticed that this is happening more so within the last year. And I'm just curious as to why we keep getting these asks outside of the budget cycle. Sure. Happy to. And I'll also ask Phyllis to come up as well,

[01:33:59] if needed. What I'll say is that, so yes, we could have waited for this item to come through the budget process. It would have required that the agency wait until July 1 to fill this need. This is a current

need, and I did not want to have the agency wait.

[01:34:18] So what you will notice in the staff report related to this is that this is coming at no cost. And so the agency plans to use their current personnel services budget to fund this change, if you will, in positions. We are moving a manager position into a full-time caseworker position and part-time position.

[01:34:36] So this is not coming with a, as far as I'm concerned, an additional cost that will hit our FY27 budget. Thank you for that. Supervisor Bailey. Thank you, Madam Chair. And Phyllis, thank you so much for your discussion about this.

[01:34:53] And I do understand this is just an HR administrative shifting, if you will, based upon the needs here in the county. And so we thank you for your sharp eye when there is a need. Needs have changed since COVID. They're still changing.

[01:35:09] And so I acknowledge that, and I acknowledge your staff's reassessment, if you will, when there is a need. So I will be supporting this. Supervisor Weir. Madam Chair, understanding what the CXO just said, I'm having a problem with the math.

[01:35:25] And unless we're paying our permanent managers twice what we're paying our permanent caseworkers, which may or may not be the case, I don't see a net-net of zero on this, especially since we're just changing the second part from transferring one from one division to another division.

[01:35:41] So I don't, I mean, it may very well be the case, but I question as to whether it's a net zero change for the next year's budget. Well, I believe Ms. Jennings-Holt is coming down to the microphone for any questions that we may have. Good afternoon.

[01:35:58] Good afternoon, Madam Chair and board, members of the board. Phyllis Jennings-Holt, Director of the Department of Social Services. So the reason why we are able to do so is because the manager that was in this position retired after many years of service. So the pay that she was at enables us to be able to have the one senior worker and the one social worker three, so we would not be assuming any additional, asking for any additional money.

[01:36:29] In addition to the part-time going full-time, this is something that our current budget can't assume, so we're not asking for any additional funding. Supervisor Gordy. Thank you, Ms. Hope, for that.

[01:36:46] Thank you. So that's where we are for this budget cycle. What does this look like going forward? Will this increase your budget, or will this, because that manager position was being paid at such an elevated level due to seniority, I'm assuming.

[01:37:04] Yes. And now you have junior, well, junior is probably not the right word, less tenured employees that, you know, so over the next two to three years, what is the impact on your budget going forward?

[01:37:21] We will be able to assume it in our budget. Okay, so it has no budget increase in the out years. Well, let me, if I can, just, so this action will increase the FTE count for the agency. It will not immediately impact the, or increase the budget of the agency.

[01:37:39] Now, this board will make decisions about increases for staff, And in that way, any new FTEs will be included in those increases. So there will be an impact, like any FTE within our organization, when there's a market adjustment or merit adjustment.

[01:37:58] When those adjustments are made, the agency's budget will reflect that. This action alone does not increase the agency's budget, but because you asked the question the way you did, I just want to make sure that I'm clear that in FY27, even this year, when there are increases for staff, that will increase the agency's overall budget.

[01:38:22] Thank you, Ms. Shorter. And then in your fiscal impact statement, it references a 45% reimbursement rate from Virginia Department of Social Services. Can you please explain the relationship of that reimbursement to these positions?

[01:38:39] Well, these positions fall under the Virginia Department of Social Services, so all positions that are under the department have a 45% reimbursement rate, which means that it is less money that the county funds for that particular position. All right. Do we have any other speakers? Supervisor Vega?

[01:39:00] Madam Chair, I just want to say that I appreciate the clarification. The issue that I take is that it's out of the budget cycle, but the additional context was very helpful, and I do plan on supporting this. Thank you. All right, I don't see any more questions, so let's go ahead and take a vote.

[01:39:25] Unanimous, Supervisor Weir abstaining from vote. Before we move on, I know the county clerk, you want to go back to 4G. I'd just like to clarify on 4G that the first motion that was voted on was the amendment by Supervisor Weir. So let me go through this.

[01:39:41] The first, we had the motion, the main motion, and then we had the amendment from Supervisor Gordy, and then we had an amendment to the amendment from Supervisor Weir. So I just want to make the statement, because I'm getting a lot of messages from a lot of people.

[01:39:56] Supervisor Weir's amendment failed. Yes. So then we went back to Supervisor Gordy's amendment to use the Brentsville Proffer Fund, which the CXO then said we had the amount to cover the cost. So that was approved. We went back then to the main motion.

[01:40:13] Which we, honestly, we didn't. I am so sorry. We did have a lot of people in front of confusion coming at me. We didn't need to vote on the main motion, because at that point, I think. We did. We did, okay. Because the amendment was just for funding. It was just for funding. Authorized. Okay, all right. So Supervisor Gordy's amendment was approved.

[01:40:32] We went back to the main motion, which was made by Supervisor Bailey and seconded by Supervisor Gordy, which the amended motion or the amendment was for the funding. Everything else stayed the same. It was approved. The resolution will be rewritten to amend the funding source as we amended it with Supervisor Gordy's motion.

[01:40:52] Yes, all right. Everything is good. I know we have a lot of people out there asking what happened. And so that is my understanding and what has been recorded as far as the action of the board. If there's anything that needs to be amended or changed, if we could do it now.

[01:41:08] I guess, you know, everyone's saying, you know, I just want to point out a clarification because I do know that Supervisor Gordy wanted to use the Brentville Prophers to the extent as possible. But I thought that we were going to continue with the rest of the resolution, that he wasn't just substituting that. But thank you so much.

[01:41:25] Yeah, I mean, I think what will happen is the resolution will show all of Brentsville's buffer funds will be used. To the extent possible, yes. Which it seems like everything, you know, everything, I

guess, qualifies. Michelle, are you, I know you're looking at me. I'm not a parliamentary expert.

[01:41:41] I just thought the vote was to amend it, and then you needed to go back to the main motion. Yeah, we did all that. We did all that. The main motion, okay. we did that it was approved unanimously i didn't say that because the chair was explaining what had just happened so i didn't do that but that is what i have in my records i'm sorry it's also hard because sometimes we have a lot of side conversations on the dais it's a little hard to hear but i appreciate everyone we are now going to move on if we are good to public comment time we've got three folks no one online so i'm going to call call each all three of you up Please state your name, where you reside, which magisterial district.

[01:42:20] You have three minutes. I have Chrissy Falls, Jenny Jabra, and Clancy McQuig. If the three of you can go to the back. All right, first speaker, thank you so very much.

[01:42:38] Good afternoon, board. My name is Chrissy Falls, and I live in the Gainesville District. Last week, I stood here and talked about awareness, human trafficking awareness. I asked the question, why are we still here? Why, after all these years, are we still in the same place?

[01:42:55] Today, I'm here with a call to action. Because awareness isn't enough, we need to act, and we need to act now. As many of you know, before COVID, I was deeply involved in prevention work, focusing on education and raising awareness in this topic. But when COVID hit, it brought me to the front lines, where I've seen firsthand how urgent and complex the fight against human trafficking really is.

[01:43:17] This is not an issue we can afford to push to the side anymore. This is an issue we can just keep talking about. What truly frustrates me is the resources and funding we need to make real change are already here in this area.

[01:43:33] These resources have been personally invited into our community, yet they remain untapped. From tools and training to financial support for task forces, everything we need exists. The longer we wait, the more opportunities we lose to prevent trafficking and help the victims.

[01:43:51] Let me ask you this. If you were to come into contact with a victim of human trafficking, would you know what it looks like? Would you know who to call? Would you know what to do? I already know that some of you would call me, and I know, as you already have, and why I appreciate your trust, that's not the right answer.

[01:44:15] Yet, sadly, it's the only answer many people in this area think they have. That's exactly why we need to act. This isn't about creating awareness. It's about creating action. We need to come together and use what we already have to build a comprehensive, multidisciplinary approach, one that addresses human trafficking in all its forms, from sex trafficking to labor trafficking, familial exploitation, and more.

[01:44:40] We don't have a coordinated response here when we have the need to act in this area. We've waited long enough. I will be reaching out to meet with you and the right people to put this together. We've waited, and the subject is time to act.

[01:44:56] The tools are here. The funding's here. The expertise is here. Now it's time for you to show up and make it count. Now I ask that all of you please show up when I reach out. Collaboration and communication is what we lack here. Let's stop. Answer your emails when I reach out. Though I have been here to talk through January for the month of human trafficking awareness, which I see no proclamation has been put out. And again, I can't do this conversation alone. Let's not stop

[01:45:26] here. Thank you. Thank you very much. Next speaker. Good afternoon, board. Can you hear me? Thank you. My name is Jeanne Jabera, and I reside in the Brentsville District of Prince William County.

[01:45:47] I would like to thank the supervisors in advance for listening to my comments. In the past, oh, excuse me, I apologize. I'm commenting today regarding the draft 2025 Prince William County strategic plan. In the past, there's been minimal investment in parks and trails, whereas in the current draft plan, there are several excellent strategies to green up Prince William, in particular in Goals 2 and 5, Environment and Quality of Life. Thank you. So words in a plan are nice, but money

[01:46:23] is, but how money is allocated shows if the county government actually, and I quote, talks, walks the talk. The strategic plan that will be adopted in February will guide the fiscal year 26 Prince William County budget. In the past, Prince William County has operated as if proffers and grants would meet our needs for parks and trails as our population grew, and no county funding was required. But Prince William County took a different approach to roads and constantly

[01:46:57] provided county funding for car-based mobility with multiple bond issues starting in 1988. The Prince William County Transportation Department reported late last year that there is \$1 billion of road projects in development right now.

[01:47:14] As a result, we have an unbalanced transportation network. To meet the goals and objectives of the strategic plan, Prince William County needs to build trails, bike pedestrian infrastructure to create walkable neighborhoods and to link existing neighborhoods with parks and schools.

[01:47:34] I'm not asking for a billion dollars. I'm asking for five million a year to start catching up with the backlog of planned unbuilt trails and parks thank you next speaker good afternoon I am clancy mcquaque from the lake ridge aqua coin civic association and I'm here to speak today for our president Jack Union and Martin Jeter both and I'd like to claim my five minutes if I can for local this is not for a um this is not a public hearing I'm sorry sir so this is three minutes public comment time okay

[01:48:13] uh we we have item 4b which uh has passed but that's funding for the uh improvements on old bridge road in 123 we have sent four letters to every member of the board and I've had much community discussion about this for the last couple years and our concern is that we keep the promise we had that there would be public discussion before there's any final design on

[01:48:48] this thing. So far, we've seen nothing. The crickets are in charge of the road design in Prince William County, and we would rather we knew what we were talking about before we start spending a lot of money on things that we haven't worked out in the community. They asked me to emphasize that we were looking to preserve, this is just summarizing the letters a little bit, preserve our existing businesses and not destroy them for the sake of road improvement to ensure we have pedestrian access, which the young lady just mentioned, to the town of Occoquan, because

[01:49:25] pedestrian access to Occoquan is vital for the town itself, and we don't want to cut the town off from pedestrian access. As you well know, we have our couple of annual events down there where the pedestrians park up in the VDOT parking lot and walk to town, so that happens. The second thing I'd like to mention besides that, remind you all of those letters and ask you to stay current on them and stay on the staff to say, if you're going to make some design, we want to know what you're planning. Because VDOT

claims they don't know anything. VDOT claims that only PwC Transportation knows what's happening and what they're planning. And that's, like I said,

[01:50:05] a cricket problem. I am the former president of OWL VFD, and we negotiate, and LOCA negotiates proffers all the time with developers. And we negotiate them for specific reasons. The reason we don't have a lot of proffers in the Woodbridge District and in the Occoquan District is because we haven't asked for them for the fire service. They can be used for equipment and they have been used for equipment in the past and not just building property. If you have all the property

[01:50:41] you need, I guarantee you the way we run around here, the equipment is not going to last for as long as the property will. So we would, I would appreciate you all if you're going to have these discussions we ought to have it where there can be public input to it rather than just major decisions made on policy with no public input. Thank you. Thank you so very much for your time and we do not have any remote public comment time so this is it. Earlier we amended the agenda

[01:51:15] to do 8D and 8B that's 8D as in David and 8B as in boy so I'm going to go to you Mr. Shorter to queue up um our presentations or our agency time very good thank you very much madam chair we're gonna if uh if we can we're gonna have adam adam item 8b uh then ask for the board's consideration and then have director washington go into item 8d uh for the board's consideration i was requested to do d first because that was more pressing concern very good so we'll do d and then b

[01:51:55] All right, Director Washington. Good afternoon, Madam Chair, members of the Board, Tanya Washington, Director of Planning with the Planning Office. First, I just want to say thank you for your flexibility in moving us up in the agenda. We very much appreciate it. And just really quickly before getting into this agenda item, I'd like to introduce Tony Alston. Please stand up.

[01:52:19] Tony Alston is our new assistant planning director for our long-range planning division. He joined the planning office in December and he comes to us from the Atlanta area where he was previously director of community development for the city of Union City.

[01:52:35] He worked in a couple of other cities in Georgia and also worked in Maryland. He has both an architectural and a planning background, has a lot of experience with zoning ordinances, long-range planning, all the things that we need him to work on. So I just wanted to introduce him briefly.

[01:52:51] So I'm going to just briefly introduce this item. This is an initiation of the comprehensive plan amendment to develop a corridor plan for the Vent Hill Road corridor. And then I will turn it over to Yolanda Hipsky.

[01:53:07] She is our principal planner with our community development division who will give a background and more details on this request. And then I will return at the end to close this out. So the purpose of today's discussion is to request the board to initiate the Vent Hill Corridor Plan.

[01:53:28] In addition, we also request the board's authorization of a transfer of \$150,000 from the FY25 contingency fund to the planning office for consultant services to support development of this corridor plan. And we request the board to transfer and appropriate \$100,000 from the Brentsville Magisterial District Transportation Roadway Improvement Program funds to the planning office for the transportation infrastructure analysis component of the Vent Hill Corridor Plan.

[01:54:00] And before we proceed with, again, more details with this request, I just wanted to note that the planning office has been in multiple communications with Supervisor Gordy on this request, and as we will describe in just shortly, we received a directive from Supervisor Gordy to do a corridor study for the Vent Hill Corridor area, and in discussions with him, determined that this is a new small area plan which is what this project will be and so again we hope that we have captured the guidance and feedback and issues that Supervisor Gordy has raised with us

[01:54:39] in terms of the overall scope of this corridor plan. So with that I will turn it over to Yolanda Hipsky to walk us through this request and again I will return at the end. Good afternoon my name is Yolanda Hipsky. I'm a principal planner in the planning office, community development division.

[01:55:08] And thank you very much, Director Washington, for the introduction. The board may recall at the April 9th meeting, there was a directive issue to staff to consider the costs and implications of conducting a corridor plan in the Vent Hill area. This area would generally run from Route 28 to its intersection with Rowland Ford, and the plan would include but not be limited to design guideline evaluations, mobility, schools, compatibility, and et cetera. And should the board support this additional study, the board may consider adopting the attached resolution to

[01:55:44] conduct the study. So how did we get here? During the 2024 comp plan update, the board heard several comments and modified a few of the proposed long-range land use classifications in and near the Vent Hill Corridor. Since then, the community has not been able to provide additional guidance to the comprehensive plan of those modified land use classifications. And this has led to confusion for both the development community as well as the residents. So for example, staff has

[01:56:18] received six rezoning applications for 1,322 acres. This is 37.38% of the study area. So this shows you the significant impact that we have seen. As a result, we are proposing the Vent Hill Corridor study. The purpose of the study, as we have already noted, is to do an in-depth evaluation of the Vent Hill area and see if the board wishes to amend the plan to include a small area plan. This would be an in-depth evaluation of land use planning analysis and

[01:56:57] would place specific guidances for future development infrastructure for this area, which is predominantly rural. The Vent Hill Corridor Plan would help direct development and growth in the area while seeking to preserve its unique... Thanks. Oh, I'm going the wrong way.

[01:57:20] Okay, so there we go. Sorry about that. So basically it would help shape and direct the future housing for development and would help balance the rural and agricultural character with future development. It would also help with planning for transportation and other infrastructure investments such as schools, fire, and public safety. And it would also preserve the area's historic and cultural environmental resources.

[01:57:50] so here's a draft of the plan air boundary that we have I would add that we did receive an inquiry about one of the properties of one two three oh one vent Hill Road which which is located adjacent to the Grizzly Park I think it's right there so this current this property is currently located outside the study area however the board may choose to include it in the study area this is the current zoning of the area

[01:58:27] Okay, so just a quick summary, you can see that the largest land use category, as we noted, is rural and agricultural. And you can see that here the agricultural forestal zoning is over 3,000 acres, 3,300 acres.

[01:58:51] Before getting to the fiscal impact, I also just wanted to kind of reiterate a couple of points from Yolanda's portion of the presentation. The reason why we are particularly focusing on doing a small area plan for the Van Hill corridor at this time It's because there is a lot of development interest in a lot of development pressures for this area And as noted there are already six rezoning applications That our staff are currently reviewing and also having discussions with supervisor Gordy about this as well

[01:59:26] And so it really highlights the need for a broader land use vision for this community And, you know, this small area plan is not just focused on those six rezoning applications. The scope of it, as Yolanda noted earlier, let's see if I can go back, is much broader.

[01:59:46] So it's really the opportunity for the county and with the board's guidance to develop a longer-range vision for the Vent Hill corridor area as it changes, continues to change in the future, but also to look at how can we preserve and maintain this agricultural area, How can we balance future development with its rural character?

[02:00:07] How can we preserve its historic character? How can we look at making sure that the county will have sufficient infrastructure of all kinds to support future land uses in this area? So moving ahead to fiscal impact in terms of the project cost, the Vent Hill Corridor Plan is expected to cost a total of \$250,000 to complete.

[02:00:35] As noted earlier, the project is to be funded through two sources, again, a request to appropriate and transfer \$100,000 from the Brentsville Magisterial District Transportation Roadway Improvement Program, or TRIP funds, to the planning office to fund the transportation infrastructure planning that component is part of the Smart Area Plan in partnership with the county's Transportation Department, and to request a transfer of \$150,000 from contingency funds for all other plan development components. We will be working with a team of

[02:01:12] consultants on this plan that is our game plan for this effort. And we'll note that the planning office will be very much involved in this. This is not a situation where we will just simply hand this over to a consultant and have them do all of the work and give it back to us. We will be very heavily involved. Yolanda Hipsky from our community development division will be the project manager, I certainly will be very involved. Our whole team will be supporting this effort, but we will need consultant services for the variety of analysis that we will need to do for a small area plan. As noted, this includes land use analysis and policy development, including

[02:01:52] housing, design, cultural resources, and environmental resources, transportation, infrastructure, and community facilities planning. We also want to use a portion of the budget to help support community engagement for this plan and to support development of a draft and final small area plan. So again, we request for board action, we request the board initiate the Vent Hill Corridor Plan. We also request the board's authorization of a transfer of \$150,000 from the FY25 contingency fund to the planning office for consultant services to support development

[02:02:29] of this corridor plan, and we also request the board's authorization of a transfer and appropriation of \$100,000 from the Brentsville Magisterial District trip funds to the planning office for the transportation infrastructure analysis component of the Vent Hill Corridor Plan.

[02:02:45] We also have Paolo Belito for the Transportation Department to help address any questions related to that component. And that concludes our presentation. I'm happy to answer questions. Thank

you. Supervisor Gordy. Thank you, Madam Chair.

[02:03:03] Ms. Washington, thank you for this. And I thank you for all the hard work your team's been doing to help bring this to fruition. As Ms. Washington said, we've had lots of conversations, lots of meetings. And not to just include with the planning staff, but there's actually now seven developments.

[02:03:21] There's one that was just submitted, making this seventh, or will soon be submitted. So the projects are coming in. The challenge that we have is that most of the land that we're talking about here was not evaluated for long-range land use planning during the comp plan process.

[02:03:43] I was on the planning commission, and none of those parcels were included with what came to us on the planning commission. It was added between planning commission and the night of the board hearing. So now we're having to go back and look at this from a long range land use plans, particularly on the south side of Enhill Road, which has a lot of environmental features and it abuts a lot of agricultural activity.

[02:04:07] And ag and residential or suburban development are not compatible uses. So how do we create a transition zone between the suburbs and the agricultural activities that are there? And so there are some unique opportunities that we're looking at to how do we incorporate agriculture into these projects in order to protect what's around there.

[02:04:34] And so this one will be a little different than what we've experienced so far in the county. Looking forward to an opportunity to approach this from a different perspective. And I appreciate all the hard work. I can make a motion now to move this item.

[02:04:55] And so I'd like to make a motion to approve item 8D and move it forward. Thank you, Madam Chair. Second. All right, it's been moved by Supervisor Gordy and seconded by Supervisor Bailey. Let's move on to Supervisor Bodie, then where?

[02:05:12] Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you, Ms. Washington, for the presentation. Thank you, Suraza Gordy, for sort of turning, sort of like you said, sort of a situation where we had to be reactive and if we wanted to be proactive and put in some really good planning and proactive strategy around this.

[02:05:27] I wanted to commend you for that because that isn't always what's done, and we run into issues where we're reacting to submissions, reacting to spot, you know, and especially knowing that timeline of those projects that are coming in, even one that hasn't been maybe been submitted, maybe not. We don't want to Frankenstein monster this, which is what often happens, so I hear you on that.

[02:05:45] A couple questions. So earlier on it was mentioned that there's interest in a parcel that isn't currently in the study area. This is a question not only for you all, but for Senator Gordy as well. Is there going to be consideration of expanding that study area to maybe contemplate that parcel? Yes. When we were initially going through the map, that land was privately owned.

[02:06:08] It was not part of any comprehensive plan changes. But since then, I think it's just been in the last few weeks um the owner of that parcel has been in contact with the county about the potential inclusion of that because next door is a parcel where the grizzlies are but it's also a school the land was donated uh by Brookville for a school site yeah um and so uh the county still owns that land so if that parcel gets included we'll be looking at it from a county

[02:06:42] um use not necessarily and not from a in my perspective to it and not a development use um but if you look at the map it is really anchored by county-owned land right we've got the golf course on one end that needs a lot of uh improvements in some of its infrastructure uh and that's why i include asked for it to be included so that we can if if we're going to have a development next door then um how do we leverage that asset uh and as part of the recreational opportunities in this corridor um and then if you look to the to the north um the other parks

[02:07:20] are an open space that is rollins ford park which we just opened and we still have vacant land uh that could be utilized by the county for other purposes so we want to have that conversation as well so um so that so you can see this is anchored and bounded by county on land um but that af piece right between uh schaefer lane and um the grizzly site uh it now that it's been part of the conversation i think it should be added to the map so that said did you want to maybe amend your own motion yeah i'll amend that a motion to include that as well do you second that i will The seconder needs a second.

[02:08:00] No, the seconder needs a second. All right, so we don't have another. What are you amending exactly? It's a friendly amendment, if I could, to expand the study or to include that parcel we were just discussing.

[02:08:16] And what is the particular portion? Is it between the POS and the RN1? Right there. Yes, all right. i believe the address is one two three oh one mint hill thank you very much all right so you have that just want to make sure the clerk has that all right supervisor weir and then vega so i wasn't done with my questions i just that was my sorry that's my first one oh sorry oh yeah okay go on so thank you so thank you for that sir i just didn't want us to have to go and do that later

[02:08:54] if it's already in the conversation so thank you for that my two other questions very quickly um understanding that like sir sir gordy just said so the the north side of this is going to be more suburban development the south side is going to be more sort of cluster uh uh you know agricultural what have you sort of mix um i would imagine that mobility and transportation is going to include more than just road building is that right into your in terms of your analysis well is that actual mobility transit and some of those portions of it considering it goes up to rn2 one of the transportation team is coming up i'd like to also make mention that the northern boundary is broad

[02:09:33] run the southern boundary is kettle run so you've got two natural features that serve as the boundaries and of course we have the linear park along broad run and so whatever happens on kettle run um you know we we would also want to make sure that we're preserving that and protecting that because those are essential to our water quality madam chair members board paula bolito with the department of transportation surprise ability you're correct we will look at all modes of transportation right now it is four lanes in the comp plan but the study will look at other modes right now there's no transit there but what do we want our trail network to look like what do we

[02:10:09] are there other roads we want to consider as part the network so that's all included as part of the analysis appreciate that and the final question and appreciate that paulo actually goes back to what you just said uh sirs or gordy which is that southern piece to me seems like a prime look at because it was mentioned that the rural preservation study is going to be part of the consideration on this so to me you know the arts and agritism overlay district really getting that that agribusiness agritourism side of it and also conservation easements to really like you just said around those variant uh sensitive environmental features getting conservation easements so they

[02:10:44] can't be touched in perpetuity okay appreciate that thank you all right supervisor weir thank you madam chair to supervisor gordy's point this is a study that should have been done before what i deem the friends and family comp plan amendments at the 11th hour of the 2040 comp plan um i'm asking i would seek confirmation from the county attorney to the extent possible that the the proposed corridor study plan and i'm going to support it but that the proposed corridor study plan and any initiatives or thoughts or anything with respect to it cannot be used in the

[02:11:21] consideration of the seven current rezoning applications which may or may not result in a dramatic change from the area that we're being studied essentially studying studying the barn after the horse has already left it madam chair members of the board when a land use item comes before you you have to to move with regards to what is in place at the time not speculation as to what may or may not happen in the future i don't know what to do with that all right so Do you have anything you want to add on to that?

[02:12:00] Sure. So with small area plans, they can take up to two years to go through the entire process. So it's likely that these rezoning applications will come to the board before we complete and bring forth a small area plan, a Van Hill corridor plan to the board.

[02:12:15] So, yes, we do acknowledge that ideally it would have been great to have had this plan done earlier, but we are where we are now. And Supervisor Gordy has noted, you know, this is really the time to make sure that we do embark upon this larger planning effort.

[02:12:32] But having said that, with regards to the applications, we anticipate that they will come to the board before this corridor plan will be completed. Thank you. Any additional questions, Supervisor Weir? No, Madam Chair. The county attorney has confirmed my suspicions. All right, then we're going to move on to Supervisor Vega.

[02:12:50] Thank you, Madam Chair. My question stems from slide 25. I've brought this up at our previous meeting in terms of how much money we're spending on consultants. I see here that it is needed. I guess, Ms. Washington, I just want reassurance from your office that our experts within the planning office that are very familiar with Prince William County are also going to be in the driver's seat because they know what our expectations are and what our needs are.

[02:13:14] And so can you give me that reassurance? Yes, very much so. You know, as I noted earlier, while we will be hiring consultants, they will be supplementing the work that the planning office will be doing to lead this study, this small area plan. And I can also just say from my own experience, I've worked on multiple, multiple small area plans.

[02:13:34] They always involve consultants because the level and breadth of analysis that needs to be done for a small area plan is very extensive. And that occurs even when you have a team of staff who are assigned to that small area plan. But we do need very specialized assistance, consultant assistance, to help us with the work.

[02:13:54] But again, having said that, you know, we very much will be leading this effort. We will be very much, you know, advising the consultants on how to approach their work and providing feedback as we work on this effort. Thank you for that. And I know this is still in the preliminary stages and I may be jumping ahead, but I assume that there will be an opportunity for the general public to give their opinion before any drafts are proposed by the consultant?

[02:14:16] Absolutely. Thank you. Absolutely. And as noted, again, the community engagement, again, from my experience and from our staff's experience with small area plans, community engagement is something that you do throughout the plan. You do it at the beginning, you do it in the middle, you do it before you start writing anything down because it's very much, especially the first phase of it, it's not just an analysis of what the existing conditions are, but you talk to the community to get their feedback in terms of what we should be looking at.

[02:14:43] What are particular issues that are a concern of them? What are some ideas for improvements that we should take into consideration? So that's very much anticipated as part of this effort. All right, thank you so very much. Supervisor Bailey. Thank you, Madam Chair.

[02:15:00] Supervisor, well, Tonya, thank you for your presentation and the clarity in it. It makes perfectly good sense that this is the direction we should take. But I also wanted to commend my colleague, Supervisor Gordy, for his level of expertise on the Planning Commission and how he used it towards this project because this is a sheer example of how we make lemonade out of lemons.

[02:15:26] And so by using your level of expertise, it really shows us, well, it certainly shows me how we can be more creative with the old and bring out the new for a corridor that needs life breathed into it. So just thank you for that, and thank you, Tanya, for your detail in explaining, particularly, as my supervisor said, with consultants' piece.

[02:15:49] I think that's key as we go forward. Thank you. All right. Thank you very much. All right. So I don't see any more comments. We are going to vote first on the amendment, and that is to expand the study to... All right.

[02:16:04] Thank you. So then we'll go to the main motion. Thank you, Supervisor Bodie. Vote unanimous.

[02:16:22] All right. Thank you. Thank you. So I believe we're going on QDU to go on to 8B. All right. I think, Director Washington, you're going to cover 8B as well. Can we bring up the...

[02:16:51] Good afternoon again, Madam Chair, members of the board, Tanya Washington, Director of Planning. We are here to bring this item, Chapel Springs Station, National Historic Preservation Act, Section 106 Consultation, Memorandum of Agreement, Requested Concurring Party Signature Cultural Resources Project 2025-0052.

[02:17:22] I will turn it over to Eric Griffiths with our cultural resources team in our long-range planning division to lead us through this presentation. Good afternoon, Chair Jefferson, Supervisors.

[02:17:44] It's my pleasure to be here with you today to discuss the Chapel Springs MOA, to discuss what's in the MOA, how we got there, and what's left to do. The planning office has been, along with the Office of Historic Preservation, we've been a consulting party on this project through this process since it began in October of 2023.

[02:18:11] It was a negotiation process to get through to the MOA, and the MOA was executed in November of this last year, 2024. So why are we there? Well, the Army Corps of Engineers has requested the county to sign as a concurring party to the MOA, and that's why we're before you today. And the project area is located at 10535 Bristow Road.

[02:18:41] It's adjacent essentially to the Bristow Station Heritage Battlefield Park, and it's also part of the battlefields of Bristow Station and Kettle Run. And we are requesting that the board authorize county

signature as a concurring party to this MOA.

[02:19:04] The project itself is a Manassas Logistic Land Venture led by Trammell Crow, has has bought this 95 acre property and is proposing to develop six warehouse industrial buildings with some parking areas access areas and stormwater management it's important to note that this is a by right development in an m2 uh industrial zoning districts where warehouses industrial recreation centers are primary uses in such districts this this development project did

[02:19:41] require permitting under the Clean Water Act. The Army Corps of Engineers had to issue a wetlands permit, and this is what has triggered the Section 106 process. Just a little bit of background as far as land use. You can see that the subject property is in an I-3 zoning district surrounded by other industrial zoning district and also office mixed use on the opposite side of the

[02:20:14] railroad tracks parks and open space which is the area land that has been the the battlefield trust has acquired for land preservation again as far as zoning we're in an m2 zoning district and a lot of the surrounding property is also m2 as i mentioned before that that railroad was essentially a pivotal, important part of the Battle of Bristow Station. I mentioned that the project area is part of two battlefields, but it really is Bristow Station, which is

[02:20:50] where it really has its most significance. And if you look at the area right here, this is essentially our project area. It was an area during the battle. The Battle of Bristow Station was a very, very short battle, just a few hours. But that area was the only area where the Confederates actually breached the Union line. They were essentially thrown back. The Union won the battle. So it's a very important part of the battlefield that we're going to potentially lose with this project. And this is kind of why there has been a lot of discussion among the consulting

[02:21:24] parties for land preservation, as much land preservation as we can get. As far as Section 106 background, a lot of you know this from previous projects, but Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act requires federal agencies to consider adverse effects of federal undertakings upon historic resources and federal undertakings or projects, activities, or programs that require federal funding, permitting, licensing, or approval. In this case, we have the wetlands permitting, which is what is triggering Section 106. Section 106 requires evaluating and resolving

[02:22:04] adverse effects through a consulting party process. The county is a certified local government in the state of Virginia, and that allows us to be automatically invited to any Section 106 consultation.

[02:22:25] Some definitions, historic properties or resources that are listed or determined eligible for the National Register of Historic Places, and adverse effects are those actions that alter or damage a historic property. And, you know, through the 106 process, adverse effects are normally resolved through a memorandum of agreement document. So in terms of the Chapel Springs MOA, again, it was initiated because of the wetlands permitting, which makes the Army Corps engineer, it's a lead agency. We were invited to participate in a consulting party,

[02:23:02] as well as a mixture of federal, state agencies and private organizations that have an interest in the battlefield. Certainly for the county side of it, the county staff and the historical commission both represented the county during this process.

[02:23:27] The advisory council was invited but declined to participate. That's not unusual. You think of the advisory council really in this process as the referee, they only get involved if they have to get involved

and they really just make sure the process follows the norms and which is what they did.

[02:23:43] As far as the process itself, there were five consulting party meetings where we discussed issues related to this project. The early issues discussed determining what historic properties were going to be adversely affected, and there were two.

[02:24:06] One was archaeological site 971. This is a multi-component archaeological site that includes a 1730s Euro colonial settlement. So this is a very early settlement in the county, which is why it makes this site significant and eligible for the National Register.

[02:24:27] And it also includes a cemetery, and I believe there are some prehistoric components. The cemetery will be preserved as part of this project, but the rest of the site will likely be destroyed. And obviously, the battlefields themselves are also going to be adversely affected.

[02:24:47] There were a number of studies that were done to sort of better understand effects, and there was a ground penetrating radar to understand where the limits were for burials in the cemetery. Unfortunately, that study was not really effective enough to determine what that outcome and there was a visual test, visual impacts test through balloon testing to understand what the visual impacts were going to be to the surrounding locations of the landscape.

[02:25:22] So, some of the other things that were discussed as part of the 106 meetings, you know, treatments for the archeological site. Again, you know, how is that going to be done? It's going to be done through phase three archeological data recovery. Since the site can't be saved, that means that the site will have to be, the data from the site will have to be recovered.

[02:25:48] Obviously, cemetery treatment, unanticipated discovery plans, those are, there's a potential for unknown burials because this was a battlefield and those plans are being drawn up to, you know, address what happens if we encounter those areas of, if we do encounter any burials.

[02:26:07] Viewshed mitigation, that's a big one. Vegetative screening and the color scheme of the buildings are considerations for that. Land preservation, land preservation is also a very large mitigation point that was addressed.

[02:26:26] You know, certainly that includes areas of avoidance for historic significance as well as land donation to make up for the fact that, you know, we're going to lose this important part of the battlefield. So what can we get to make up for that fact?

[02:26:42] Professional studies, there's been a lot. There was discussion of that, but there's been a lot of professional studies. This has been a very studied battle. So there's not much more that we can say that can be done to increase knowledge of the battle, but we certainly did discuss that.

[02:27:01] And interpretation, that was also a part of the discussions. And that involves around a sort of a crosswalk trail interpretive signage program. So just the MOA itself, you know, the process that we went through, that the early drafts were completed in the spring of last year.

[02:27:27] We had two review periods for that before the final MOA was, you know, basically drafted and executed. The county was not invited as a signatory for that. Signatories are generally those agencies or entities that have some responsibility to execute the MOA.

[02:27:48] And in this case, that was obviously the Corps, the developer, the Virginia Department of Historic Resources, and the Office of Army Cemeteries. But all the other consulting parties, including the

county, were asked and requested by the Corps to sign on to the agreement as concurring parties.

[02:28:11] To my knowledge, I don't know, I don't think anybody has signed on yet to it. As far as what's in the MOA, as far as resolution for adverse effects mitigation, there's provisions for the phase three archaeological data recovery for site 971, unanticipated plans, discovery plans, viewshed mitigation.

[02:28:39] The land preservation includes the adjacent golf course property and a one-and-a-half-acre Assembly of God property. There's certainly, at this time, there's no known entity that has been identified for that acquisition or to acquire that donated land, although the county has expressed interest in the land, and the developer has approached us about the county's interest.

[02:29:14] There is going to be a national register nomination for the cemetery. That is the component for Site 971, and there are provisions for the historic interpretation as well. And in discussing the land donation, I mentioned the golf course property.

[02:29:36] This is the property that's adjacent to the current site, roughly 90 acres, and the church property was adapted after the consulting parties asked for more land preservation along the railroad tracks, because that was a very, very important part, as I mentioned, of the battle.

[02:29:58] so um what are what's not included um you know as i mentioned this is a negotiated process staff asked and and other consulting parties also asked for a lot of provisions that were not provided uh we asked that you know the archaeological curation you know go to the county we have you know archaeology curation facilities that meet federal standards you know these sites are represent part of the county history we we certainly believe that they should you know those artifacts should remain in the county. We tried to address that multiple times, but the MOA

[02:30:35] provides for curation with the state and not the county, unfortunately. Additional land preservation, we, you know, the consulting parties argued or sort of tried to negotiate the developer to sort of, I want to say, scale back to the development or just push it further to north to preserve some of more significant area near the railroad. The developer said that would basically require them to remove buildings from their proposal and would make it economically unfeasible, so they did not

[02:31:10] agree to do that. Obviously, preservation included additional lands near the railroad tracks, private holdings. They did identify, and they did give us that church property, but they didn't provide any additional properties there. Funding for land restoration. The golf course is a developed property. We requested funding to return that to a state, a more natural state. Again, something that was not provided or supported by the developer or provided in the MOA. And the National Register nominations for the battlefields. You know, the larger, you know, the battlefields are really going

[02:31:50] to be affected by this. So we believe that if there's a National Register nominations, it should also it should be for the battlefields and not just the cemetery. That's the more appropriate mitigation. Again, the developer didn't agree to that, and the Corps did not support our efforts for that. And directional signage. There's certainly an interpretive trail. At this time, we don't know where that trail is. It's going to require some consultation with VDOT. It has to go over the developer's land to join the golf course property with the current um bristow station park

[02:32:27] and while there is interpretive sign as a part of that interpretive plan there's no directional signage we'll need direct signage for parking we'll need the signage you know to point people to the crosswalk trail uh that's unfortunately not part of the moa and we did request that uh from the county's

end so next steps as i mentioned the the moa has was executed in November 2024. So it is an executed document. And there are essentially many plans that are in the works, have been submitted, you know, a treatment plan for the archaeology site,

[02:33:06] bioarchaeology monitoring. Again, that deals more with the discovery of human remains if they should be encountered during construction. Land preservation easement plan, the land that will be donated will be under a conservation easement. Again, there's been no clear identified recipient for that that will be identified as part that process through that plan. Vegetative screening and interpretation and pedestrian access will also have to be negotiated. And the county will continue to be a consulting party for review and comment of these

[02:33:46] various plans. And we'll also continue to advocate for the county to acquire the land for preservation as well. So the considerations before you today is whether or not to sign this agreement. And, you know, Signature will identify the county as a concurring party to the executed MOA. It really does not affect the execution of the MOA in any way. But it's important also

[02:34:18] So to point out that the MOA also does not, you know, abridge or circumvent any compliance with local ordinance as well and must designate a signatory. We've requested that the board authorize the planning director to sign only because she's currently on the document.

[02:34:42] Unfortunately, they did not consult with us on who should sign this. They just put the planning director. So that's why we've requested authorization for the planning director to sign. Should you not consider signing, non-signature would identify the county as not being an agreement of the MOA.

[02:35:02] And again, that signature, if we don't sign, it's not going to in any way impact the execution of the MOA. Staff is recommending the board authorize the planning director to sign the MOA for the following reasons.

[02:35:19] know why we didn't get a lot of what we wanted and requested we we do concur with the archaeology treatment mitigation uh the monitoring and land preservation are appropriate for the scale of the project and treatment of adverse effects the moa continues to allow the county to consult as part of the process as it moves forward and it also shows a little bit of goodwill uh on on our behalf and hoping that we are eventually just chosen to to be that recipient of the land that's offered for preservation.

[02:35:54] And that's what I have for you today. Thank you so much. Thank you so very much for your presentation. I'm just seeing if there's any, no one seems to have any questions. All right, this is a first. I would like to, sorry, did you say something?

[02:36:10] Okay. All right, Supervisor Gordy. Madam Chair, I move that we approve item 8B to sign this memorandum of agreement. Second. All right.

[02:36:26] Thank you so very much. Any further discussion? If not, we can just go ahead and take a vote. All right, let's vote. Vote unanimous. All right, thank you so very much.

[02:36:45] Can you quickly turn off the microphone? We are not going to go on to you just yet, Rick. We voted to move up earlier, 8D and then 8B. So we're going to go back now to our county executive time.

[02:37:01] So Mr. Shorter, the floor is now yours. Thank you very much, Madam Chair. Really quickly, just wanted to, so there were no directives, no formal directives issued last week and no directives closed during the week. I did want to make mention that we are extending our hours at the Filazo drop-in center.

[02:37:21] We do that when the temperature drops 34 degrees or below and when chills drop 32 degrees or below. So those hours are extended. So I wanted to make sure that I said that out loud and certainly to the general public. The pit count tomorrow, I wanted to make sure that I said this, we always do it every year this time.

[02:37:45] So are other jurisdictions around the country, making sure that we count our sheltered and unsheltered population for those who are experiencing homelessness. I want to thank the entire continuum of care for the work that they do to support our residents experiencing homelessness.

[02:38:03] Director Washington mentioned it a bit earlier. She did, and I sent to the board guidance around updates to the land use applicants around community engagement. She and the team have done just a phenomenal job updating, based on her conversations with the board and with community, the process that we will undertake and guidance that we provide to applicants for land use applications.

[02:38:29] So I just wanted to make mention that that is in your inbox for those who do not see it. And with that, Madam Chair, that is all for me. Much appreciated, Mr. Schurter. We will now go on to item number seven, and that is county attorney time.

[02:38:45] Thank you, Madam Chair, members of the board. This afternoon in closed session, there will be consultation and discussion with legal counsel and briefing by staff members pertaining to the discussion or consideration of the acquisition of real property for a public purpose of a homeless navigation center west where discussion in an open meeting would adversely affect the bargaining position or negotiating strategy of the public body.

[02:39:06] and this item is appropriate for closed session pursuant to 2.2-3711A3 of the Code of Virginia. So moved. Second. All right, let's take a vote. Vote unanimous.

[02:39:28] All right, thank you so much. Now, Mr. Schur, we're back to you for our remaining agency time. Thank you very much, Madam Chair. So with item 8A, there is no formal presentation, but Rick is certainly here to answer any questions related to this item. I don't know, Rick, maybe if you want to give just a brief overview and we'll take any questions.

[02:39:48] Madam Chair, members of the board, Rick Canizales here, Director of Transportation. As County Executive Shorter has mentioned, there is no formal presentation. We're here and requesting funding for the beginning of four projects.

[02:40:03] The first one being \$4 million in debt financing, which this is a 2019 bond project for the Old Bridge Road-Gordon Boulevard intersection. This will get the engineering done for this project so that we can continue on and continue to request federal funds as we have been for this project and have received some to date to be able to move forward.

[02:40:28] The second project is the Clover Hill and Prince William Parkway Interchange. As you know, we were looking to do a bow tie here. The project, because of the amount of traffic that the airport would generate with their improvement plans that they're planning for the airport, That type of intersection improvement would not be able to support that type of traffic, so we had to look at other methods.

[02:40:51] And what has popped has been an interchange, divergent diamond. So we want to be able to look at that divergent diamond, get the information necessary to be able to move forward to get additional grant fundings for the design to construction of this project.

[02:41:06] The next project is the Sudley Manor Interchange Project over on the Prince William County Parkway. This project has received \$150 million of NVTA funding that we're looking to forward appropriate to start an unsolicited PPTA process that we're going through.

[02:41:23] This money that we're asking for assists us in that process as well as assists us in making sure that the proposals on that project remain property of the county to develop a better proposal for that project. And finally, the Route 1 project between Cardinal and Dumfries Road, as you know, that is the last section of Route 1 in that area that widens the road.

[02:41:48] That is the one section in the middle between the areas that are already widened or getting widened between that. We're looking to do a similar to what you know as a STAR study or as a pipeline study that VDOT does to be able to then go out and get those design right away and construction fundings that we need for that project.

[02:42:08] And that is what I have for you today. We look for, again, your approval on this. Supervisor Weirth and Bodie. Thank you, Madam Chair. Rick, I've got just one question for you. Yes, sir. Why have you delivered what is essentially six budget adoptions as one resolution, take it or leave it, take them all or take none?

[02:42:27] Sir, we were trying to be efficient in putting together a package of projects that we're looking to ignite to get going and get it shovel-ready in a way for either a grant or the additional funding necessary. It all had funding sources from different sources, and we just wanted to be efficient and put it in one board item for you. I would simply note that if somebody has an objection to one, it will create some issues potentially, although those aren't insurmountable. Thank you. Understood. Thank you, sir. Vote, Ethan Bailey. Thank you, Madam Chair.

[02:42:57] Just very quickly, Mr. McQuig, who's one of my constituents, mentioned earlier that not really sure what's going on. You know, Crickets, as he termed it, in terms of the design of the Old Bridge uh uh gordon boulevard project now i know we've we talk ad nauseum but i know that there have been conversations around design and other things that we had extensively two years ago especially could you just update for the public's benefit where we are in that project sure we we finally completed the concept plan as as adopted by the county to be able to move forward the ramp movement from the project uh this will take it to that next level of design which will do the actual geometry

[02:43:35] the actual work and what we're looking for in an approved 100% plan from VDOT to be able to move forward into right-of-way authorization. Like I mentioned at the beginning of what I was speaking, this is envisioned to be a federal project, so not just a bond project, but it will be funded by federal funds.

[02:43:53] So we have to do it the federal way and go through the federal requirements, which this is one. Appreciate it. Thank you. Senator Bailey. Thank you very much, Madam Chair. Rick, thank you for your discussion, your assistance on this early on. I've got three questions for you, and if you would indulge me, please.

[02:44:08] Yes, ma'am. One is, what opportunities will this study allow for the public input? Every study that you see in here, all four of them, will include a public component and public input into the study, ma'am. Okay, and secondarily, how will the public's feedback be used to determine the next step for staff and for the county to proceed?

[02:44:30] As I mentioned earlier, these are all the beginnings of projects, the beginning of designs and concepts. We will be talking to the public ahead of everything to make sure that we're outreaching to the public to get their ideas before we move forward with the completion of the concept. Then lastly, what multimodal options like bicyclists and walkers could this bring back for the board and the community to consider?

[02:44:54] Every single project you see on the list, ma'am, consists of pedestrian and bicycle improvements that will be included as part of the concept plans. They will all look at potential bus facilities, depending on the corridor, and if there's bus service on that corridor.

[02:45:10] And we will examine all modes of transportation as we look at these. These are not just road projects. These are transportation network projects, mobility projects that we are doing for the county. Thank you. Supervisor Vega. Thank you, Madam Chair. Hi, Rick. Hi, ma'am. I have a quick question for you, and I'm just going to read it verbatim.

[02:45:27] I got it from the Trails and Blue Ways Committee. Yes, ma'am. It says, in the Clover Hill intersection and Sudley Manor intersection drawings, there is no sign of the shared use path that is supposed to be running along Route 234 bypass, according to the current comp plan.

[02:45:43] Can transportation develop a plan for the shared use path along Route 234 to I-66 so that they apply this plan for these projects and comply with what has already been approved? Thank you, ma'am, for that. And I understand the question. With the projects that we're proposing here, both on the parkway, both the Clover Hill and Wellington-Sudley Manor projects, those projects will come with bicycle and pedestrian components that will cross 234.

[02:46:10] But they are correct in mentioning that we have not yet proposed a project of the biking pedestrian facility beyond Brentsville Road 266 on 234. As we do a couple things, number one, as we expand and look to expand 234 to a six-lane facility, as it's ultimately planned to be, we could look at those facilities.

[02:46:32] And as I have mentioned earlier, and I know that we have done this and requested this from VDOT before, we want to make one large corridor-sized project out of that project. So we are looking for ways that we can get a STAR study or a pipeline study that will look at that entire corridor from Brentsville all the way to 66 as one long trail or pedestrian facility project.

[02:47:00] Okay, thank you for that. Yes, ma'am. Supervisor Gordy. Thank you, Madam Chair. I appreciate Supervisor Vega. That was exactly what I was going to ask, the same questions, because I've also been receiving the same questions. And happy to see, you know, we're making progress on Sudley Manor, and that interchange, there's not a day that goes by that it's not red on my Waze map traffic trying to get through there.

[02:47:30] So making progress on that is essential. But it's also about that, the trails and, you know, the ability for bikes and things to travel. And as we're already starting to see Innovation Town Center and University Village start to do construction to go vertical, we know that there's going to be a lot more folks that are going to want that access for bike mobility.

[02:47:56] So I really appreciate those questions, and I just want to identify myself with them. Thank you. Thank you, sir. All right. Does that look like we have any additional questions? Move for approval, Madam Chair. Madam Chair, move the divide.

[02:48:14] Okay. You want each of these to be a separate resolution? No, Madam Chair. I would be satisfied with just dividing out the Old Bridge Road-Gordon Boulevard transfer, the \$4 million in debt financing to the Old Bridge Road-Gordon Boulevard intersection improvement project.

[02:48:41] So you want that as one resolution and the rest of it as a separate resolution? That would be fine. Second. Madam Chair.

[02:48:59] Supervisor Vega? Will we have discussion on this before we vote? Yes. What we're going to do, we have a motion. I am waiting for her to get a second to get caught up. We're going to discuss this, and then we'll move on to the second, or the rest of it is one part. Madam Chair, is this a vote on the motion to divide, or is this a vote on the item itself?

[02:49:19] Okay, so it's a motion to divide. Madam Chair, I initially said move for approval, and then he said move to divide. So how do you want to proceed? What we're going to do, all right, I would like to go ahead. Let's treat this as a motion to divide. We are going to take the first part out, the \$4 million debt financing.

[02:49:37] So that is going to be one separate resolution, and that's going to be separate for the rest of this. Is that okay with everyone? Any concerns? I'm sorry. Point of order, Madam Chair, we do have a motion and a second on the original motion. But I thought that was a motion. Hold on. I'll stop.

[02:49:53] If there was a motion, there was a second. I believe Supervisor Bailey, please speak the motion again. Yeah. I said move for approval. Are you going to move for approval? Yes. Yes. Two. For a second. Oh, I thought there was a second. I thought it was a second. Okay. Okay, I thought, I'm sorry, I thought I heard.

[02:50:09] I thought I heard a second. All right, so please give me the motion again, and we'll ask for a second.

[02:50:25] Can you, I'm sorry, can you, your mic. Turn your mic on. Sorry about that, I thought I hit the button. Move to divide separating the budget-inappropriate \$4 million in debt financing to the Old Bridge Road-Gordon Boulevard intersection improvement project from the remainder of the motion.

[02:50:41] Madam Chair, I'll second it, but I want to engage in discussion, obviously. We are going to have a second, so I just want you gut that you gut Weir is the motion. Okay.

[02:50:56] And now we are going to hear from discussion. I do apologize.

[02:51:10] So then I will go with Supervisor Weir. I assume you have, and then Supervisor Vega. Madam Chair, I would just simply suggest that my rationale for the motion to divide is I would vote differently on this appropriation or this allocation than the other four, And I don't want my votes on the other four slaved to another component of the resolution.

[02:51:36] I would suggest that in budget and appropriation motions, particularly of this magnitude, they should be done separately. They can be adopted as a group. But for purposes of, you know, moving allocations of this nature, I would suggest that in the future they be supplied as separate motions.

[02:51:56] All right, then, Supervisor Vega. Thank you, Madam Chair. I'd like to first defer to Supervisor Bodie to see if he has anything that he'd like to add. I did receive an email from the HOA and other folks in opposition to this. I haven't formally seen anything official from our congressional representative stating opposition to it, but based on the email that I got, I guess the folks representing the HOA did engage with

our congressional representation, and they stated that they were not in agreement with this, and so I don't know if you've heard anything about this or what your opinion is one way or the other.

[02:52:29] So I appreciate that question, Surazer Vega, and that's part of the reason why I had Rick come up and explain where we are in the process. We don't even have a design for people to be opposed to yet. So basically right now, as per the resolution, it's basically just budget-appropriating bond financing that's already been approved by the voters from 2019.

[02:52:51] It's just moving the process down the road so we can spend the money to do the designs and what have you we're not even a place we get where there is a design for a project to oppose so i think there's folks that have been in this process and out of this process in various points of it i know there was concerns about some of the conceptual uh drawings that were were given to the public two years ago and that's why we stopped the process and went back to the drawing board and had folks take another look at it and that's why the process has been moving so slowly so we and have an interim process where folks are very up-to-date on what's happening.

[02:53:25] So, again, the HOA is probably opposed to some component of something that was shown in the past. But, again, right now there isn't even a design to approve. This is basically just approving money that's already been allocated based on the bond referendum to continue the process of getting to a design to begin with. Madam Chair, if I may.

[02:53:42] Thank you for that, Supervisor Bodie. You know, just to go on the record, the letter that I'm referencing comes from the Concerned Citizens United for Belmont Bay. I think it's the Mootings, Mornings of Occoquan. I can't make this. Okay, and then Locopel, the Woodbridge Potomac Community Civic Association and Occoquan Landing Community Association.

[02:54:02] So in their letter, they do state that they believe that it's premature. But, again, I just wanted to be on the record acknowledging this letter that was sent to me. I don't know if anybody else on the board got it, and just to hear from you since this is in your district. All right, is there anyone else?

[02:54:19] Again, because it's down, I'm just looking around. I do not see anyone else with any questions, comments, or concerns. So what we have in front of us is to divide the motion, and that is the \$4 million in debt financing from everything else.

[02:54:38] So let's go ahead and take a vote on that. Vote unanimous. So then we're going to go back to that first one, since now it's going to be its own standalone resolution. Motion to approve, Madam Chair.

[02:54:54] Second. Not allowed. Any discussion, any further discussion on this? Very quickly, Madam Chair. So thank you, Madam Chair, and thank you again, Suraz Vega, for raising that. Appreciate you at least acknowledging, giving light to that. Again, we've been working with those associations, that community, for years at this point.

[02:55:14] And I want to, again, commend Rick and his staff for taking the time with me to take that step back two years ago to really take some time to refine some of what VDOT was suggesting, some of the things that we were talking about, and frankly, vetting every single one of those alternatives that the community has been given.

[02:55:30] Some of those alternatives, I'm not relegating every single one, but frankly, some of them were not able to even be considered because they did make engineering muster. Some of them would not have

been saved from an engineering standpoint. There's a whole litany of issues and reasons why some of those feedback were taken and incorporated into what we gave VDOT.

[02:55:49] Other ones simply could not be. So I want everyone that's watching right now may review the tape to know that we've been working collaboratively with these organizations for quite some time. And to the extent possible, we have incorporated a lot of things, including things that, frankly, at the outset, VDOT wasn't willing to do.

[02:56:06] Our transportation team was able to argue in spirited discussion with them to agree to, including using portions of the VDOT lot there as part of the redesign of that intersection. So I want to make sure people are clear as we continue to go through this that we are taking those folks loud and clear.

[02:56:23] There are still considerations around some of the impacted downstream intersection, including at the moorings. There's still going to be possibly and probably impact some of the businesses. But to the extent possible, we can continue to work through that as we move towards a design. Thank you, Madam Chair.

[02:56:40] Supervisor Weir. Thank you, Madam Chair. Well, the reason I wanted this divided out is I share many of the concerns that the residents have and these organizations have, and I have some of my own based on what I've seen of the project. I'm not going to stand in the way, but I can't vote in favor of it, so I will be abstaining on this project.

[02:56:57] All right, I'm looking around. Anyone else before we vote? All right, let's go ahead.

[02:57:13] Unanimous Supervisors Vega, Weir, and Gordy abstaining from vote. All right, so we are going to move on to the next one, or the next group, the next cluster, and that is transfer budget and appropriate million dollars in NVT, 30% funds to Clover Hill.

[02:57:32] this will also include um we sever we just yeah i'm going to don't we have the vote on the rest of this and i was reading through the rest of it yes that was the only piece that we approved right so miss madden do you need a couple seconds to fix that before we move on okay that's what i

[02:58:03] was now we're voting on the rest so motion to approve the rest of it second all right so you

[02:58:45] have everything squared away for the rest of it yeah we're voting on the rest of that yes the Remainder, yes. So it's been moved. It's been seconded. And I have Supervisor Franklin in queue. Yes, thank you. Just wanted to just highlight, again, the Cardinal Road project along Route 1 going to 234.

[02:59:04] This is something that a lot of residents have been asking about because this is the last stretch that has not been widened. But yet, we do have a lot of support to do so. I do want to just clarify that there is no funding available at this time to widen, but we are applying for the smart scale grant application to see if we can get some funding behind it.

[02:59:26] And so, again, \$500,000 recordation tax for this, for the widening project, and it will cover preliminary engineering to support smart scale grant application and or MVTA 70% grant applications to make this project more competitive to receive future grant funding.

[02:59:44] Again, this is the widening of Route 1 from Cardinal Road to 234. And it also has been approved, actually, for a while, even before, I believe, this board. So there's certainly support for this, and hopefully we can get some funding behind it. Thank you. Supervisor Bailey.

[03:00:02] Thank you, Madam Chair. I just want to make sure that everyone knew that this is a combination of a stretch of land that Supervisor Franklin and I do share going south. and it is a continuation from Supervisor Franklin's district into mine and we appreciate the focus that you've had, Rick, you and your team on this and I've had positive responses in my town halls about this, waiting for this piece of land.

[03:00:30] So thank you so much for the focus and for the collaboration with VDOT. Supervisor Angry. Thank you, Madam Chair. Yeah, this is a huge step in the finishing up of that Route 1 corridor.

[03:00:46] You know, all that ends right there at Neopsco Mills Road, and it is a nightmare to tell you the truth. So I just want to prepare Stafford for their job next in pushing this thing further down the road. So thanks.

[03:01:04] All right. It doesn't look like we have anyone else. We can go ahead and take a vote. Vote unanimous.

[03:01:24] All right. Let's go to nine. Again, we have pulled off the discussions we're going to have on individual bills. So it doesn't look like, again, we have anyone to nominate or appoint right now to vote on. So we're going to go around the horn. I think I started last time with Bailey, so I'm going to go with be ready, Gordy.

[03:01:41] It's you. Just to clarify, you started with Supervisor Angry last time, so I think you should start with Supervisor Bagley. Oh, yeah, I did. I did. You know what? It's going to be you, okay? It's you. I just like to have a little fun.

[03:01:59] Well, thank you, Madam Chair. You know, I don't have a lot to say today other than, you know, I'm going to be remiss not to join my colleagues down in Richmond for VACO due to civilian work requirements.

[03:02:16] But I really wish my colleagues successful meetings in Richmond and hope, you know, while we're not addressing a lot of the legislative items today, that you can certainly encourage our delegation in Richmond to support initiatives important to Prince William County.

[03:02:37] Other than that, Madam Chair, I look forward to seeing everybody in fair work. Thank you. Supervisor Franklin. Sure, yeah. Just want to highlight the MLK oratorical contest sponsored by Delta Sigma Theta Sorority. Had a great showing. The kids, obviously, were amazing as they are every year.

[03:02:56] So congratulations to all the winners who participated in this contest. Upcoming event, I will be at VACO, as Supervisor Gordy mentioned later this week. And I will also be hosting our Woodbridge District Community Town Hall that we host annually. This will be on Zoom, January 29th at 7 p.m.

[03:03:16] And you can go to our website and social media for additional information. And with that, I yield. Supervisor Vega. Thank you, Madam Chair. Before I issue this directive, I just want to go on the record stating that I did share with the county executive.

[03:03:33] and my colleagues prior to issuing it, so I think that we should not have any issues. Madam Chair, I would like to issue the following directive. On February 20th, 2024, the Board adopted Ordinance No. 24-02, which amended County Code Section 14-4B.

[03:03:50] This amendment of the noise ordinance limited the exception for heating and cooling systems from the nighttime maximum permissible sound levels to systems serving residential dwellings. The

board also included a sunset clause for the provision which will expire on February 20th, 2025 at 11.59 p.m.

[03:04:07] Without objection, I would like to direct county staff to draft the proposed amendment and schedule a public hearing on February 18th, 2026 at 11.59 p.m. The extension of the sunset clause will provide county staff the time needed to assess the noise impacts associated with data centers and develop recommended changes to the noise ordinance for the board's consideration.

[03:04:32] I hear no objections. All right. Madam Chair. Supervisor Vega? Supervisor Vega, and the last part of your directive I'm not clear on because I didn't have an opportunity to dialogue with you about this at all. So could you just kind of explain in layman's terms what you want to see happen?

[03:04:49] Wade, do you want to come forward? And also thank you, Wade, for your help in all of this. Really appreciate it. Madam Chair, members of the board, Wade Hugh, Deputy County Executive. So the Sunset Clause is set to expire at the end of February, and that is for the provision where we exempt the rooftop equipment on commercials, buildings, which has been what the data centers, that's where we're having a lot of the issues with the noise.

[03:05:18] So we want to make sure we extend the sunset while we still continue to work on the noise ordinance. Thank you, Ate. Thank you. I don't see any other questions. All right. We good. That means we're going to move on to you, Supervisor Bailey.

[03:05:36] We good. You have nothing else? Anything else? Yes, Leigh? You good? That's all, Madam Chair. She good, so we're moving on to you. Thank you. Thank you. Appreciate the lobby. Good afternoon everybody. I too want to congratulate the women of Delta Sigma Theta for their 40th annual MLK Day. We have some extraordinary young men and women in our community and they did an outstanding job. I want to thank my chief for attending the event for me. First one I've ever missed and I am, but I got all the videos and I got everything and I'm just really proud of the great work that our Divine Nine does in the community.

[03:06:13] Also on the 18th, first FAMPWC Community Book Club event was held by Alpha Kappa Alpha Sorority Incorporated and the Alpha Phi Alpha gentlemen. And we appreciate the county execs, fraternity, and my sorority for joining us.

[03:06:30] A black theology of liberation. It was extraordinary. Congratulations to the Buck Hall Volunteer Fire Annual Installation of Officers Awarded Abort Banquet. But that's an annual event as well that's beautifully done, and it honors our volunteer firemen.

[03:06:46] And so to our own Mr. Supervisor, that is our volunteer firemen, congratulations. On the 20th MLK Junior Day of Service, I was asked to be the guest speaker with Alpha Phi Alpha Fraternity Incorporated for our Brown Sugars and Covington Harper Elementary School students.

[03:07:08] We had at least 300 kids in the audience, and they had a blast. And so thank you to Chick-fil-A for being the sponsor of that event and making sure that they participated in the event at the same time. And for the parents, I congratulate you on your efforts to get the kids there.

[03:07:26] This morning, accommodation was bestowed upon our adult detention center. They do a phenomenal job in the restoration for our own citizens that are incarcerated. So we appreciate you. And to Captain West and First Sergeant, I think it's NACV, and their staff, you rock.

[03:07:46] I will be attending also the VACO Government Day. I'm looking forward to that and making sure that we advocate for the things that are important to us here in this county. The annual state of the district for the Potomac District will be held on January the 29th.

[03:08:02] And I'm going to take the lobby and the home run from Madam Chair when she... bestows the county on the 27th so thank you for that as well that's all I have and everyone have a good evening supervisor we're thank you madam chair i'll keep this short i just want to remind everybody that we have the gainesville district town hall tomorrow night from 6 30 to 9 battlefield high school please feel free to bring your questions complaints whatever you want it's a no holds barred thing budget land use legislation just don't ask me for marital advice um you've You've been married a long time, Bob.

[03:08:39] You don't feel that you're qualified? 30-plus years. No, I'm not qualified. Ask my wife. I would, however, like to CXO, in response to what was said at public comment time, to kind of give us an update sometime in the near future, formally, informally.

[03:08:56] I don't particularly care. But it's my understanding that the county has missed some meetings or opportunities to discuss human trafficking information, seek revenues, grants, that sort of thing that we've been essentially a no-show at a couple of different forums, be they held by, I guess, charitable groups, government foundations, other police departments. If we could just kind of look into that and give us a report, I'd really appreciate it. That's all I have, Madam Chair.

[03:09:33] Thank you, Madam Chair, if I can. Actually, thanks for the timing, Supervisor. I just received a flyer invitation earlier today. There's a human trafficking awareness seminar being held actually here on next Monday, January 28th from 9 a.m. to 1130 a.m. The Prince William Human Trafficking Task Force is inviting residents and anyone interested to hear experts and professionals working to combat human trafficking in our community. Speakers will include members of

[03:10:09] the Greater Prince William Human Trafficking Task Force, the Department of Homeland Security, Prince William County's Police Department, and the National Center for Missing and Exploited Children. I say that to say that there is significant work happening in the county.

[03:10:25] This is one opportunity. I will maybe make staff available at a future meeting to come and give an update on some of the work that's happening. Anything else? All right. Thank you so much.

[03:10:41] Thank you, Supervisor Weir. Supervisor Bode. Thank you, Madam Chair. Just two quick items for me. I just wanted to also highlight the annual MLK oratorical competition. You know, alongside some of my colleagues attend, I know the CXO was there as well, alongside other elected officials and other community leaders and members of county staff.

[03:10:59] Again, just want to highlight how much of a landmark event it is and how much it showcases the immense talent and vibrancy of how our young people think and the deep issues that frankly a lot of adults don't even think about that these these young people were speaking to um it gives me a lot of hope it was said several times during the the uh program itself but it gives me a lot of hope for the future that we have so many talented young people in princeton county we're not only thinking about it and orating about deep issues but they obviously care i would would not be surprised if a few of them didn't uh run for our seats here

[03:11:37] in a few years once they once they get old enough and ready to do that but again it speaks to a lot of you know the vibrancy of our students here in Princeton County and the and our school system itself

we're having coaches we're able to bring so much out of our young people so just wanted to highlight that and this last piece is it's been said a couple times you know I know that we're not going to be taking up any bills today but I know that we will be in Richmond on Thursday we will miss you so as a Gordy we had a great time last year but I do hope again in the future in In the near future, we can talk about having and setting up that legislative committee

[03:12:13] because I think that there is an interest, at least broadly among myself and my colleagues, to have an actual process, heard you loud and hear clear from some of my colleagues, an actual process for looking at legislation. We know that other localities have legislative committees that look at those issues, look at pieces of legislation, look to advocate and oppose them.

[03:12:31] So really looking forward to having that conversation with my colleagues in the future. Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you, Supervisor Ingrid. Thank you, Madam Chair. We heard a lot about the MLK Oratorical. I just want to say yesterday we celebrated the birthday of a legacy of the nation, which is Dr. Martin Luther King Jr., and we had an inauguration that same day.

[03:12:52] It was a very busy yesterday. Flashing back to the Oratorical, all of those young people should be commended on those speeches they gave, and they all centered really around their future. And that's what we're here on this day and across many other counties and cities and towns and delegations and Senate and Congress.

[03:13:13] We're here for those young folks and many of the folks around America's future. And so I think as you watch that, and I'm pretty sure that was a quarter, you can watch any of the thousands of young people across the country who gave many speeches those days.

[03:13:29] We should really take those to heart and go back and rewind those tapes and understand what we're here to do because it clearly, truly is not about us. And for us to be here is an honor to really make those decisions that we made yesterday, whether that was five years ago for me, four years ago for many of you all here on this day, it's two years ago, one year ago for us on here.

[03:13:52] It is not about us, and those decisions will affect the futures of our young folks going forward. So I'm just amazed at listening to that, and it reminds me of why I do what I do on this day. So just want to thank the Deltas once again for putting on one of the most phenomenal programs I've seen, from the bands to the spoken word.

[03:14:18] I mean, it was every year they seem to do that thing one up. And so I don't know what they got planned for next year, but it was awesome. I want to commend you again on an outstanding performance. And just, you know, let's just all take a look at those and see what we can do.

[03:14:35] And I'll see everybody down in Richmond for the rest of the week. Ready to roll up my sleeves and get back to more work. So thank you, Madam Chair. All right. Thank you so very much. I do have the tag on. And I invite, you know, I would hope to see more board members, you know, at the Delta Sigma Theta Oratorical Contest.

[03:14:54] Because it's amazing. It is really amazing. Those young people. I did speech in high school, but I'm not sure if I really could have done that in such a large audience. So I wanted to name that they did an amazing job, and Delta Sigma Theta does an amazing job working with these young people, as do the schools that they come from.

[03:15:14] You know, they have coaches who are working with them. And, I mean, it's just phenomenal. It's really phenomenal to see, and I want everyone to have that experience. Gainesville Town Hall, I am going to do my best to be there. I hope I can ask questions. I may have questions, you know. I mean, it's your town hall. Can I ask questions?

[03:15:29] No, you're not from my district. Damn, you know what? I'm going to call you up. But I might make an exception. I'm going to call out because we need to do a town hall together, okay? You know, that could have been a joint one. That was a missed opportunity, Bob, missed opportunity, but that's okay. You are more than welcome to attend and sit up front with me.

[03:15:45] I may do that. We'll see what I feel like. I might have questions. I might want to sit. I might do both. You may not want to hear some of the questions they asked. I'm sure. It's Gainesville. All right. No one's except. Again, I have the State of the County Forum on Monday, January 27th, Hilton Performing Arts Center.

[03:16:01] Doors open at 630. We will begin, hopefully, properly at 7 o'clock. And I will also do my best to attend your event, Supervisor Bailey, because I am a resident of the wonderful, majestic Potomac District. You're welcome to ask questions, too, Madam Chair. Oh, I can ask. See? See, Bob? I can ask questions.

[03:16:18] Does that make Gainesville the trash heap of Prince William County? Oh, no. See, no, you're... No, no. Potomac's just extra special because they have two people who live there. But January 29th is also my birthday, my 49th birthday. you know 50s coming really rapidly so i'm not sure maybe i won't be able to make it might be a little tired oh wait i'm kind of in the middle i think i'm the only person in the 40s on this board i'm not sure but you know we got a little we got some youngins we got some other gen x's you know you're right behind me but you ain't there all right um and then finally i look forward to

[03:16:54] seeing everyone on thursday as we go down to richmond to lobby harass whatever you call it our legislative delegation. There are a lot of things that we... I don't even know what we're doing. All right. I've seen the loss of control. I'm looking forward to having some robust discussions with members of our delegation to Richmond because there are a number of bills that we've been watching.

[03:17:16] Some things have not lived to see another day. But also fostering greater cooperation with, again, with our Richmond delegation and hopefully other elected officials around the county, including some of our mayors and some of our council members within our various towns.

[03:17:34] But with that, I'm not going to prolong this. I may or may not again show up to some town halls this week and next week. And with this, we are going to mosey over onto closed session. I'll give everyone 10 minutes. And 10 minutes means 4.41 p.m.

[03:17:51] Please don't make me ask Michelle or Chris or anyone else. Let me come find you. So, all right, we out.

[03:18:22] All right, thank you so very much.

[03:19:00] we have returned from closed session there is nothing to um something to certify a report out you still need to certify motion to certify second all right let's take a vote oops vote unanimous move to adjourn second i would you know what i think so actually you know what we i i did

[03:19:33] not say that we have moved on madam chair the motion is undebatable he's right all right it is I, I, all right, let me just sit here and think about what I want to do.

[03:19:56] I'm waiting for all of them to go in. Oh, come on, Margaret. No, it's not her. You're stupid. You know, no, no, no, no. It's the supervisor we are. Okay, everybody, okay, we're good now. All right, vote unanimous. I know, I know. I was going to let you know.

[03:20:12] We didn't see anything. We didn't see anything. There's no evidence. They could change my vote. There's no evidence. We didn't see it. I, um, we want to call Steve.



Coraggio Consulting · aguyonx.com