



A GUY ON X

SUHAS SUBRAMANYAM

Virginia's 10th Congressional District · Democrat · U.S. House

Questions for further inquiry

Fair questions. Not smear.

This sheet is questions and short concern framings only. It does not reprint ledgers, donor lists, dollar tables, or sealed-matter detail. Fair questions. Not smear. Not "liar."

1. Airport, chip, and project-funding dollars

Concern. Public announcements highlight large FAA and related dollar figures tied to district airports and other projects. Table listings and selection notices are one thing. Personal sole credit is another.

- Did he alone secure the FAA Airport Terminal Program dollars for Manassas and Dulles that his office highlights?
- Did he alone secure the Manassas CHIPS / Micron figure that appears in corporate and Commerce texts?
- Did he alone secure the House Community Project Funding lines attributed to him on the Appropriations table?
- Where does "proud to support" end and proven sole cause begin?

2. The NDAA Nay and the Clerk title

Concern. On one House roll, the Clerk short title on the board that day named a veterans-disinter measure. The text Members actually passed under that roll was a House substitute that later carried as the annual defense policy bill.

- What exactly did he vote Nay on when the Clerk title said one thing and the passage object was the NDAA substitute?
- Should voters read that Nay against the short title on the board, or against the substitute text that became law?
- Is an "anti-defense" label fair from that single roll, or does the version lock matter?

3. Shared sponsorship and floor overlap with Sen. Lucas

Concern. He and Sen. Louise Lucas appear together on some state bill sponsorship lists and on many of the same floor rolls. Shared paperwork is real. What it proves is limited.

- What does shared sponsorship on state bills prove — and what doesn't it?
- What do high agree counts on the floor prove — and what don't they?
- Does shared sponsorship equal a vote? Does agreeing on most rolls equal coordination or ideology?

4. Shared campaign donors on state filings

Concern. Some of the same donor names show up on both of their state campaign filings. Names on paper are association. Coordination is a different claim.

- When the same donor name appears on both filings, is that association or coordination?
- Does a shared filing string prove direction, a deal, or a quid?
- Should state donor strings ever be blended with federal totals as if they were one pot?

5. Casework dollar milestones

Concern. The office has published milestone claims about money returned to constituents through casework. Office press is one source. An independent agency ledger is another.

- Are the casework dollar milestones office claims, or amounts pinned to an independent ledger?
- Has any agency, IG, FOIA production, or Clerk ledger confirmed those totals?
- Until that exists, how should a voter weigh the milestone ladder?

6. S2 Impact / BWAV disclosure

Concern. House financial disclosures name S2 Impact LLC and BWAV Family Office as filing strings. Disclosures document what was filed. They do not invent a client list or prove a legislative conflict.

- What is documented on the disclosure forms about S2 Impact and BWAV — and what is still open?
- Is a Colorado trade-name card the same organization as the Atlanta-addressed disclosure source?
- Do the disclosure lines, standing alone, prove a vote tied to energy or data-center legislation?

7. Firefighter / AVFRD Form A

Concern. He has claimed volunteer firefighter / EMT service with Ashburn Volunteer Fire and Rescue. Published images OCR as a Form A termination recommendation. Published images are not the same as an authenticated personnel record.

- Is the published Form A image an authenticated AVFRD personnel record, or a published image whose authenticity the department has not confirmed?
- What is Documented Fact of his own claim versus what remains open on roster membership and service years?
- Should voters treat secondary termination reporting as proven separation without a department-authenticated file?

8. Monitoring statement vs sealed call content

Concern. He has said that conversations with Sen. Lucas were monitored and has tied the timing to a redistricting peak. What he said publicly is one fact. What was on the calls — and why he was a party — is another.

- What exactly did he say about monitoring, and what remains sealed?
- Does his timing statement prove that redistricting caused the interception?
- Is "why he was on the calls" answered by public remarks, or still open?

